Long Term Triptorelin Therapy in a Large Endometriosis Nodule Arising in an Episiotomy Scar
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Abstract

Introduction: Endometriosis is the extra-uterine presence of endometrial glands and stroma, most often in the pelvis. It is very occasionally found in extra-abdominal sites. Perineal endometriosis is the presence of endometrial tissue in the perineal sites. Endometriosis of the perineum and vulva is extremely rare, with the most common site being episiotomy scars.

Case presentation: We present the management of a 41-year old patient presenting with a 60 mm-diameter endometriosis nodule in an episiotomy scar. Due to the large nodule size, exclusive medical treatment was proposed instead of radical surgery. The patient received continuous therapy by triptorelin associated with add-back therapy for 36 months, leading to a decrease in nodule volume by 67% and the disappearance of all painful complaints. The treatment was then followed by a progestin upon absence of symptoms. No bone mineral density changes were revealed or other adverse events recorded. The patient is willing to continue medical therapy until menopause.

Conclusion: Medical therapy can successfully be used in the treatment of endometriosis nodule in an episiotomy scar when aesthetic and functional results cannot be assured by surgical treatment. Triptorelin can be used on a long-term basis when associated with an add-back therapy with no adverse effects.
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Case Presentation

A 41-year old woman was referred to our department in April 2011 for advice concerning a large endometriosis nodule which had grown over time into an episiotomy scar. She had delivered twice 18 and 13 years before, with a right medio-lateral episiotomy performed each time. A year after the second delivery, the patient felt a small swelling at the episiotomy site and ten years later, when she completely abandoned contraceptive pill intake, she observed rapid growth of the swelling, which became larger and more painful during menses. Clinical gynecological examination revealed a solid tender mass 5 to 6 cm in diameter, on the episiotomy site and close to the internal anal sphincter. Biopsy of the lesion revealed multiple endometriosis foci surrounded by fibrous tissue. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a large endometriosis nodule of diameter attaining 60 mm, with an estimated volume of 37.94 cm³ (Figure 1).

As wide excision would have led to an uncertain esthetic result, with the most common site being episiotomy scars.

As wide excision would have led to an uncertain esthetic result, we report a case of a large episiotomy scar endometriosis nodule, for which esthetic considerations rendered wide excision inappropriate. Treatment was thus exclusively medical and resulted in excellent outcomes, which will be followed until the menopause. Menopause is a natural biological process defined as occurring 12 months after the last menstrual period and marks the end of menstrual cycle. While on treatment as used in our case, menopause can be determined by the appearance of menopause-associated symptoms which may include hot flushes and night sweats.

Case Presentation

A 41-year old woman was referred to our department in April 2011 for advice concerning a large endometriosis nodule which had grown over time into an episiotomy scar. She had delivered twice 18 and 13 years before, with a right medio-lateral episiotomy performed each time. A year after the second delivery, the patient felt a small swelling at the episiotomy site and ten years later, when she completely abandoned contraceptive pill intake, she observed rapid growth of the swelling, which became larger and more painful during menses. Clinical gynecological examination revealed a solid tender mass 5 to 6 cm in diameter, on the episiotomy site and close to the internal anal sphincter. Biopsy of the lesion revealed multiple endometriosis foci surrounded by fibrous tissue. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a large endometriosis nodule of diameter attaining 60 mm, with an estimated volume of 37.94 cm³ (Figure 1).

As wide excision would have led to an uncertain esthetic result,
first line medical treatment by triptorelin was proposed (Decapeptyl® 11.25mg every 3 months, IPSEN Pharma, Boulogne Billancourt, France) associated with add back therapy by percutaneous estradiol (Estreva® 0.1%, 0.5 mg/day, TEVA Santé, Paris-La Defense, France) to induce prolonged therapeutic amenorrhea. Three months later, the patient was completely pain free, and the treatment thus prolonged for a year. The patient was reviewed yearly thereafter with a follow up MRI before every visit for clinical evaluation and an assessment of bone mineral density (BMD).

MRI examinations showed progressive significant reduction in the nodule size with volume measurements of 21.74cm³, 16.47cm³ and 12.44cm³, at 1, 2 and 3 years respectively, representing an overall volume reduction of 67.2% (Figure 2, Supplementary Figures 1-3). Volume was calculated using the ellipsoid volume formula (volume = 4/3πr₁r₂r₃, where r is the radius in each of the 3 axes). Bone mineral density was assessed yearly showing unchanged normal results. Three years later, the patient is asymptomatic and has not experienced any adverse effects. Clinical examination shows a significant reduction in tumor size with a lack of tenderness on palpation (Supplementary Figures 4,5). Due to the patient’s entire satisfaction and the positive results obtained from medical treatment, it was decided to carry on the therapy up to menopause. Due to the high expenses related to the use of triptorelin, the success of medical treatment and the ability to thus obtain similar results, decision was made to continue treatment with progestins instead, using chlormadinone acetate thereafter.
is large, repair of the vulva and vaginal vestibule can also be difficult and responsible for postoperative dyspareunia. These circumstances were the determining factors in our case, leading us to privilege medical treatment over surgery.

Since hormonal therapies are not curative of endometriosis but only suppressive, they should be continuously administered. Triptorelin is a gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRHa) which inhibits the release of luteinizing hormone and follicle stimulating hormone, and leads to ovarian suppression similar to a reversible post-menopausal state. When administered for 6 consecutive months, GnRHa can reduce the volume of deep endometriosis nodules by up to 30% [7]. However, disruption of the therapy is immediately followed by nodule growth [7]. In our case, 3-year administration of GnRHa was followed by significant regression in nodule volume to 33% of baseline value. In a recent randomized trial, Angioni et al. [8] showed that GnRHa administered in patients with incomplete resection of deep endometriosis allowed for an improvement in pain similar to that recorded in women with complete surgery. However, favorable outcomes disappeared shortly after finishing treatment, leading to the conclusion that medical therapy is a valuable tool when the main goal is reducing symptoms over and above mandatory resection of endometriosis implants, and when it is administered continuously.

In our case the GnRHa was administered until a significant reduction in nodule volume and the disappearance of symptoms was achieved, allowing the administration of medical treatment by progesterins, a decision made mainly due to the high expenses of such treatment and the possibility to maintain the same results already achieved.

The major adverse effect of GnRHa is the loss of BMD, and is therefore recommended as a second line therapy [9] with administration from 6 to 12 months [10]. To prevent side effects of GnRHa, add-back therapy should be routinely used without impairing the therapeutic result [9]. Several authors have reported long time administrations of GnRHa in combination with add-back therapy, with no adverse effects [11]. On this basis, exclusive medical therapy can be used up to menopause in older patients presenting with deep endometriosis in which wide excision can lead to unfavorable functional or esthetic outcomes. Patients should be carefully selected when initiating add-back therapy as estrogen treatment may increase the risk of morbidity in a small number of women. Serious risks are vascular and may include deep vein thrombosis or stroke. When GnRHa therapy is administered, we perform a yearly assessment of BMD to evaluate the absence of risk of osteoporosis. To date, no patient has had medical treatment disrupted due to abnormal BMD results.

In patients where add-back therapy by estradiol is contraindicated, the AMM (Drug administration agency) in France recommends the use of GnRHa for a limited duration of up to 6 months to avoid loss of BMD.
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