Uterus-Sparing Treatment Options for the Management of Fibroids
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Abstract

Currently, different treatment options are available in the management of uterine fibroids: Medical therapy aims at relieving symptoms, while semi-invasive or non-invasive procedures aim to treat symptoms and eventually to reduce the fibroids’ size. A wide spectrum of treatments may be confusing to implement correctly, and the gynecologist should be familiar with the features of each procedure, to provide a personalized therapy, with each patient being directed towards the most suitable therapeutic option according to her specific characteristics. A thorough knowledge of the properties of each therapeutic strategy is fundamental for a correct orientation of the specialist in the management of symptomatic uterine fibroids; the final purpose has to be the establishment of an individual-centered care system, within each woman will be addressed by the most suitable among the available treatment options. This work provides a panoramic view of the main available uterus-sparing strategies for the management of symptomatic fibroids (with particular attention on specific indications, patient selection, advantages and adverse events), as well as a practical and comprehensive guide for the choice of the most appropriate option.
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Introduction

Currently, symptomatic uterine fibroids can be managed with a wide array of different strategies. The gynecologist has a vast choice among consolidated therapies, and additional innovative procedures are emerging as valuable alternatives.

This scenario may be, sometimes, confusing; a common misconception is to consider these treatments as exclusive competitors in contrast with each other: On the contrary, they should be regarded as alternative therapeutic options with specific strengths and shortcomings, and the gynecologist should be familiar with the characteristics of each one, in order to provide a personalized therapy.

The purpose of this work is to describe the main available uterus-sparing strategies for the management of symptomatic fibroids, as well as to provide a practical guide for the choice of the most appropriate option.

Background

Historically, the most established uterus-sparing techniques are on the extremes of the spectrum of invasiveness: on one hand, there is medical therapy; on the other, there is surgical abdominal/laparoscopic myomectomy. Between these two extremes there is the minimally invasive hysteroscopic resection. A general description of these three main pillars of treatment will be provided in this section, including the main characteristics and the eligibility criteria of each one. The grade of evidence will be presented for some of these procedures from highest (grade A) to lowest (grade C), with the highest grade presented by large randomized controlled trials and the lowest small observational studies and the like.

Medical Therapy

Currently, available medical treatments cannot make
fibroids disappear (LE1); therefore, there is no need for medical therapy in case of asymptomatic patients (grade A) [1].

In the management of symptomatic fibroids, medical treatments usually aim for a short-term control of symptoms because of the risks related to long-term therapy and the lack of evidence about the balance between benefits and risks in prolonged treatments.

The most commonly used agents are gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogues (GnRHa) and the selective progesterone receptor modulators (SPRMs). However, a huge variety of medications has been proposed as alternative, such as levonorgestrel intrauterine device (LNG-IUD) [2], selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) [3], combined oral contraceptive and progestins [4], aromatase inhibitors [5], somatostatin analogues [6], androgenic agents (gestrinone and danazol) [7,8], tranexamic acid [9], antifibrotic factors (vitamin D and epigallocatechin gallate) [10,11]. In addition to these treatments, more research is being performed to find and evaluate new potential and promising medications. Main features of medical therapy are summarized in Table 1.

### Selection of patients

The clinical management of fibroids has to consider the individual characteristics of each patient and requires meticulous previous counseling: Factors as age, type and severity of symptoms, and the desire for future pregnancies should always be evaluated before starting any treatment.

Medical therapy is generally used as a ‘stand-alone’ treatment to obtain a temporary relief of symptoms for short periods. Women in peri-menopausal age represent the most ideal category of patients. In fact, fibroids are hormonally-responsive and tend to regress independently after the natural cessation of steroid hormone exposure; thus, medical therapy can be safely used during the short period that precedes menopause, relieving symptoms until they naturally disappear. The same principle can be applied to women scheduled for surgery: medical therapy is useful in the pre-operative period to control symptoms, improve hemoglobin (Hb) levels and reduce the size of fibroids. In this way, it is possible to reduce operative time and often to allow for a laparoscopic approach instead of an open one. After the intervention, medical therapy is no longer needed and the patient can be dismissed.

Patients not suitable for surgery may also be managed with medical treatment. For example, women with contextual polycystic ovary syndrome or endometriosis may take a benefit from medical therapy for these associated conditions as well. However, due to increasingly brilliant results of non- and semi-invasive interventional approaches, patients not suitable for surgery are currently guided towards these techniques rather than medical treatment, because the specific inclusion criteria are usually attained.

### GnRH analogs

GnRHa have been approved by the FDA as safe and effective in reducing fibroid size and in relieving symptoms, representing the most common medical treatment in fibroid management; Lupron Depot (leuprolide acetate) was the first medical treatment ever approved by the FDA for the treatment of fibroids.

The analogs have a similar structure to GnRH and can bind the same receptor, but have a greater and longer biological effect. A single injection of GnRHa produces an initial stimulation of pituitary gonadotropins, resulting in an increased secretion of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH), with the expected gonadal response and consequent central down-regulation (drug-induced menopause, with a hypogonadotropic hypogonadal state) [12]. On fibroid tissue, the effect consists in an increased apoptosis and a decrease in both angiogenesis and inflammatory reaction [13].

GnRHa have shown to induce fibroid shrinkage and decrease of tumor-related symptoms [14,15]. The therapeutic effect has shown to be comparable to the decrease of estrogen levels [16] and is directly proportional to the percentage of cells that express the ER receptor [17]. However, the studies have shown that the beneficial effects are limited to short periods of treatment (usually 3 or 6 months), after which a rebound effect occurs: the mass grows to reach pre-treatment size again [18,19].

It has been reported that the use of GnRHa prior to surgery determines an improvement in Hb and hemat-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical therapy</th>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Suitable patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GnRHa</td>
<td>Short-term control of symptoms in symptomatic women.</td>
<td>Women in perimenopausal age, women scheduled for surgery, patients not suitable for surgery because of contextual medical reasons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRMs</td>
<td>Absence of estrogen deficiency effect; long-lasting effect/ Prolonged therapy without menopausal symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>GnRHa</th>
<th>SPRMs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Good symptom relief for limited periods.</td>
<td>- Transient/Rebound Effect.</td>
<td>- Transient/Rebound Effect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mifepristone: - Endometrial Hyperplasia.

GnRHa: Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone analog; SPRM: Selective Progesterone Receptor Modulator.
crit (Hct) levels, a shrinkage of the uterus and the fibroid volume, a reduction of symptoms and operating time, a more frequent laparoscopic approach rather than open surgery and a minor duration of hospitalization after surgery [20].

The greatest disadvantages of GnRHa include their costs, their transient effect, menopausal symptoms, and (for prolonged therapy) bone demineralization. The latter represents the most critical adverse event that prohibits longer treatment periods. However, an add-back therapy has been proposed as a strategy to reduce the side effects during GnRHa treatment. Agents like progestins and estrogens, alone or combined, have shown to reduce adverse events without any loss in therapeutic effectiveness [19].

Selective Progesterone Receptor Modulators (SPRMs)

The role of progesterone and the progesterone receptor in the proliferation of fibroid cells has been widely demonstrated [21]. Therefore, SPRMs have been investigated as a therapeutic option.

SPRMs induce apoptosis and decrease cell proliferation through the inhibition of the effects of progesterone on the neoplastic tissue, turning off the fibroid growth [22].

The first drugs to be studied were Mifepristone and Asoprisnil: They both showed to be effective in reducing fibroid mass size and associated symptoms [23]. Laterly, ulipristal acetate (UPA) showed its effectiveness and safety and was approved for fibroid medical management [24]. It appears to have few serious (functional ovarian cysts, uterine hemorrhage and thickening of the endometrial lining that reverses when discontinuing treatment) and some minor (most frequently hot flushes, breast pain and headaches) side effects that appear reduced with repeated courses and is not recommended for patients with moderate and severe hepatic impairment. Following seven cases of severe liver impairment causing four liver transplantations (causality uncertain in some of these cases), the European Medicines Agency has started a review on UPA to determine any serious risks that have, until now, fallen under the radar [25]. Considering the symptomatic and not curative effect of UPA, the Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee has concluded to provisionally limit the use of UPA to patients currently under treatment and monitor their serum transaminase level at least monthly and immediately in case of signs or symptoms of liver injury. Serum levels of two times the upper limit of normal should be considered as the threshold in which the discontinuation of treatment is recommended [26].

As SPRMs do not lead to estrogen deficiency, a decrease in bone mineral density as observed in GnRHa therapy is not a problem; they are associated with a reduction of pain, bleeding and size of fibroids, providing an overall improvement in quality of life [23]. For these reasons, GnRHa have been proposed as the better choice for pre-operative “bridge” treatment. Moreover, their therapeutic effect seems to be longer than GnRHa after treatment interruption [27].

Initial studies with Mifepristone showed that its use was limited because of the effects on endometrial tissue (hyperplasia), especially when administered for more than 3 months [28]. A combination of mifepristone and the LNG-IUD could prove especially useful as the IUD would avoid development of endometrial hyperplasia while also promoting a reduction in menstrual flow.

Other Medical Therapies

Antifibrinolytic agents: Tranexamic acid is a synthetic antifibrinolytic drug that is often used as first-line therapy for symptomatic relief. Fibrinolysis contributes to fibroid-related bleeding and use of tranexamic acid was shown to reduce menstrual blood flow and improve quality of life without any effect on fibroid size [9,29,30]. Tranexamic acid is well-tolerated with a favourable safety profile [9,29]. Initially, there were concerns about a possibly increased risk of venous thromboembolism due to its mechanism of action, but this has not been observed in clinical trial [9]. It should not be associated with oral contraceptives [31].

Levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device (LNG-IUD): This intrauterine device reduces menorrhagia and increases the hematocrit, hemoglobin, and ferritin serum level of patients [32-34]. Compared to combined oral contraceptives, the LNG-IUD significantly reduces the menstrual blood loss (90.9% vs. 13.4% p < 0.001) [35]. The effect on fibroid size is more controversial. Even though the released progesterone would be expected to increase fibroid size, size remained constant or even decreased in some studies [36-38]. Being a local treatment, the side effects are minimal; the most common ones are irregular bleeding and ovarian cysts which resolve spontaneously over time [39]. Apart from ovarian cysts, no other adverse effects on ovarian function have been noted [38]. Concerns have been about the long-term cardiovascular effects of levonorgestrel, but this requires further study [40].

Once inserted, the effects last 5 years. This has numerous advantages in terms of costs and patient compliance. Due to a higher risk of IUD expulsion, it should not be implanted in patients with endometrial distortions [34] currently there are no studies studying the correlation of expulsion rates with specific fibroid positions.

Combined oral contraceptives: Epidemiologic studies suggest that combined oral contraceptives reduce fibroid-related heavy menstrual bleeding with no effect on their size [30,41]. A randomized controlled trial showed that they are efficient, but less so than LNG-IUD [35].

Though initially considered to be a contraindication...
for the use of combined oral contraceptives due to the potential risk of fibroid growth, a recent metanalysis suggests that uterine fibroids should not be considered a contraindication for their use [41].

**Progestin:** There is only low-quality evidence of their efficacy, with clinical trials reporting mixed results. To date, some studies showed a tendency for improvement of bleeding and reduction of fibroid size using depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) [42,43]; some noted a recurrence after or even significant growth during treatment [44,45]. Overall larger, better designed studies are needed to gain conclusive results about the efficacy and risks of progestins.

**Aromatase inhibitors:** Uterine fibroids show a high expression of aromatase, especially in African-American women [46,47]. Therefore, its inhibition may have a significant effect on the hormone-dependent fibroid growth. The reduction of uterine volume induced by aromatase inhibitors is comparable to GnRH analogues [48]. Side effects are usually mild, the most common being hot flushes, vaginal dryness, and musculoskeletal pain. With long-term use, hypoestrogenemia, loss of bone mineralisation and increased risk of bone fractures may also be found [49]. A Cochrane review in 2013 concluded that the evidence of the efficacy and safety of aromatase inhibitors for the treatment of uterine fibroids is still not sufficient [5]. Therefore, further studies are needed before these agents can be recommended.

**SERMs:** Tamoxifen was compared to placebo for the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids. Even if it resulted in reduced bleeding, the side effects of this therapy outweigh the benefits and cannot be recommended [50]. A Cochrane review analyzing three studies using Raloxifene for the treatment of uterine fibroids concluded that the efficacy of treatment is not clear and larger randomized controlled trials are needed before recommending this agent [51].

**Somatostatin analogues:** In 2001, De Leo, et al. evaluated the efficacy of Lanreotide in seven women with satisfactory outcome (24% total uterus volume and ~42% myoma reduction over the course of 3 months) [6]. The study demonstrated important evidence suggesting an involvement of growth hormones in the pathophysiology of uterine fibroids. No further clinical studies have been conducted.

**Androgenic agents:** Danazol and Gestrinone seem to be efficient for controlling some of the fibroid-related symptoms in the short term. Nevertheless, due to the higher rate of side effects and the lack of reliable evidence from large randomized controlled trials on their benefit or harm, they cannot be currently recommended [40].

**Myomectomy**

Myomectomy is a uterus-preserving surgical procedure that combines an effective local treatment with low morbidity rates. Because of its “partially invasive” nature, it is considered as the standard surgical procedure for women with the desire for future pregnancies.

The first description of an abdominal laparotomycomyomectomy dates back to the 1930's [52], while the laparoscopic approach was introduced at the end of the 70's [53]. Open myomectomy requires a large (about 12-cm long) transverse incision of the abdominal wall, with large superficial sutures once the fibroid is removed; on the contrary, laparoscopy uses tiny key-hole incisions through which operative instruments are introduced and resected masses are excised. Mini-laparotomy and laparoscopically-assisted mini-laparotomy are other emerging procedures: they can be considered to be open surgery techniques, while requiring only a minimal access (5-cm-long incision).

Overall, laparoscopic myomectomy has proven clear benefits and is currently the dominant technique, ensuring a lower traumatic impact, shorter hospital recovery times, lower analgesic doses used and a faster return to daily activities [54]. Laparoscopic surgery has shown an average full recovery time of 10.58 ± 6.68 days [55] and the results about quality of life in women who underwent this technique are comparable to Uterine Artery Embolization (UAEM) [56]. Clinical benefits are stable in time, even though the recurrence rate is not negligible: A 2007 study examined treated women with trans-vaginal ultrasonography and showed that the recurrence rate steadily increases from 11.7% to 36% to 53% at one, three and five years of follow-up, respectively [57]. Nonetheless, it is estimated that re-treatment is required only in about 37% of recurrences [58].

The most predominant aspect of myomectomy is represented by its impact on reproductive outcomes: A prospective cohort described a pregnancy rate of 70% in treated women with desire to conceive [59]. According to another study, post-myomectomy pregnancy rates are higher in women who do not have additional infertility factors. These results suggest that the removal of fibroids benefits especially patients with infertility due to an otherwise unknown cause: Surgery should be strongly recommended for these patients [60]. The most controversial issue is referred to intramural fibroids not distorting the endometrial cavity: in this case there is a clear reduction in benefits rates and an increase in miscarriage rates [61]. Available data are still insufficient to understand if the myomectomy is the most appropriate kind of treatment for these patients.

The most common postoperative complications are wound infection, fever, urinary tract infection and ileus. Adhesions may contribute to pain, intestinal occlusion and infertility. Major complications are represented by unscheduled returns to the operating theatre because of ileal perforation and diffuse peritonitis. Rare cases of uterine rupture in subsequent pregnancies have been described.
Table 2: Summary of myomectomy main features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laparotomic/Laparoscopic myomectomy</th>
<th>Laparoscopy over laparotomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indications</strong></td>
<td>Stable and effective control of symptoms and mass removal in symptomatic women with a wish for future pregnancies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Selection</strong></td>
<td>Subserosal fibroids/intramural fibroids protruding into the uterine cavity no more than than 50% of their size. Wide selection in patients not suitable for non-invasive procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myomectomy</strong></td>
<td>L - Effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L - Well tolerated (good QoL).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L - Good fertility potentials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages</strong></td>
<td>L - Lower traumatic impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L - Shorter hospital recovery time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L - Lower analgesic dose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L - Faster return to daily activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adverse events</strong></td>
<td>L - Invasive surgical procedure (even if the invasiveness has different degrees).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L - Recurrences increasing during postoperative years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L - Postoperative complications (infection, fever, urinary tract infection, ileus, adhesions, diffuse peritonitis) - Heavier in laparotomic approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L - Malignant cells spreading during power morcellation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QoL:</strong> Quality of Life.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, a laparoscopic approach has shown a lower postoperative complication rate than open surgery [62]. Another controversial aspect is represented by electromechanical morcellation. Its introduction has allowed the technical exportation of voluminous resected masses through the tiny laparoscopic door, extending myomectomy up to even the largest fibroids; however, it has been suggested that power morcellation may cause seeding of inner degenerative leiomyosarcoma cells into the abdominal and pelvic cavity, with a serious aggravation of life expectancy [63]. For that reason, in 2014 the FDA discouraged the use of power morcellation in the laparoscopic treatment of fibroids and recommended that every operator in the U.S. informs patients of potential risks when the use of this technique is unavoidable. Currently, the main orientation to overcome this risk is towards the use of the isolation sack, or mini-laparotomic approach. The main features of myomectomy are summarized in Table 2.

**Selection of patients**

Despite the introduction of innovative and sophisticated non-invasive treatments, surgery remains a fundamental solution, in particular for women with large or symptomatic fibroids [64].

The inclusion criteria initially proposed for laparoscopic surgery were: A uterine size less than or equal to 14 weeks of gestation after 12 weeks of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist therapy; no individual fibroid larger than 7 cm; no fibroid near the uterine artery or the tubal cornua if fertility was desired; and at least 50% of the fibroid to be subserosal to be accessible and to allow adequate repair of the myometrium through the laparoscope [65]. Through technical improvement (e.g., power morcellation has allowed to successfully [66] remove 20 cm-large fibroids), most of the initial limitations have been overcome. Nevertheless, the localization of fibroids in the layers of uterine wall remains a key-factor for the choice of intervention access: while intracavitary fibroids can be removed by hysteroscopic access, intramural and subserosal lesions are usually removed through open or laparoscopic myomectomy.

Myomectomy is the technique of choice and currently doesn’t present very strict inclusion criteria, being suitable in a wide range of patients. Therefore, it is employed as a “safety net” in our algorithm, offered to all those patients that cannot undergo non-invasive procedures such as UAE or MR-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS). In particular, myomectomy guarantees optimal results in women seeking a stable and lasting effect (hence, excluding medical therapy as an option), with features that do not meet the requirements for MRgFUS treatment and who have a desire for future pregnancies (thus wanting to avoid UAE). However, in this decisional process, a previous counseling is essential, so that eligible patients can be informed about the risk of symptoms persisting and that the fibroids may recur and require further surgery (grade A) [1].

**Leiomyomatosis peritonealis disseminata**

One uncommon disease that should be noted here is leiomyomatosis peritonealis disseminata (LPD). Though to date only about 150 cases have been noted, the rate of reporting seems to be increasing after laparoscopic myomectomy; this has led to propose morcellation and myoma fragment scattering around the abdominal cavity as a mechanism [67,68]. Though usually of benign course, in rare cases malignant transformation may occur and may thus be reason for revaluation of some morcellation techniques, though larger studies will be needed to properly assess their risk in this new light and the overall morbidity and mortality of LPD [59]. Presently, the only offered solution is the use of...
an endoscopic bag that appears promising [60]; some challenges are that this requires advanced laparoscopic skills to prevent complications and worsens the visualization of structures. In 2015, the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy proposed an algorithm to help deciding for or against morcellation based on the characteristics of fibroids to avoid treatment of an occult sarcoma [61].

**Hysteroscopic Resection**

A simple, well tolerated and effective procedure, hysteroscopic resection is a variant of myomectomy that removes submucosal fibroids through the cervix. During a hysteroscopic myomectomy, an endoscope is inserted through the cervix and fibroids extruding into the endometrial cavity are removed with electrosurgical (thermal loops and vaporizing electrodes) and mechanical instruments (cold loops). An intrauterine morcellator may also be used to perform the procedure.

This technique is discussed separately from myomectomy because it differs markedly from the traditional open/laparoscopic approach and spares the abdominal wall from any incision; thusly, the benefits of laparoscopic myomectomy are further enhanced: shorter recovery times (about 8 hours), lower postoperative pain, faster return to normal activities, lower risk of complications, no cosmetic damage. The salient features of hysteroscopic resection are summarized in Table 3.

**Selection of patients**

The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics identifies three subtypes of submucosal myomas depending on the proportion of fibroid within the myometrium: A type 0 myoma is located entirely out of the myometrium, type 1 and 2 lie within it less or more than 50%, respectively [69]. Hysteroscopic myomectomy is particularly suited for symptomatic submucous fibroids in women who wish to maintain their fertility. Type 0-1 fibroids up to 5 cm and type 2 myomas up to 4 cm can be removed safely (though it is possible to treat lesions of 4-6 cm) [70,71]. It has been shown to be a safe and cost-effective approach resulting in high patient satisfaction [61]. The thickness of residual myometrium wall just above the serosa should be measured and shouldn’t be less than 5 mm to avoid complications: this way, the risk of rupture of the gravid uterus after the intervention is negligible.

**Techniques**

The intervention itself is performed by using bipolar resectoscopes, hysteroscopic morcellation, or hybrids. Bipolar resectoscopes are equipped with U-shaped wire electrodes at the tip of their sheat that use cutting currents to remove strips of the fibroid with each pass. Hemostasis can be achieved with activation of intermittent or coagulation current. The removed tissue fragments are then extracted by hooking with the resectoscope wire or blind removal with polyp forceps. Hybrids add an automatic tissue aspirator to this setup. Hysteroscopic morcellators operate via mechanical, sequential and progressive morcellation of the fibroid. They are composed of a cylindrical blade within a windowed sheath to allow for precise tissue removal. The tissue fragments are aspirated via an integrated suction device [72].

Optimal benefits are referred to heavy menstrual bleeding and infertility, while other conditions are not so favorable, even though they can be improved by previous treatment with GnRHa.

**Uterine Artery Embolization (UAE)**

First described by Ravina, et al. in 1995 [73], UAE is a minimally invasive angiographic percutaneous technique, consisting in the occlusion of the end branches of uterine arteries with embolic particles; it induces ischemic necrosis and subsequent shrinkage of uterine fibroids, leaving the rest of myometrium able to recover and develop collateral supply [74,75]. UAE is considered as a global procedure, treating all fibroids at the same time, without the opportunity to specifically select the dominant tumor responsible for symptoms [32].

**Table 3: Summary of hysteroscopic resection main features.**
Selection of patients

Selection of patients is a fundamental process to minimize the risk of treatment failure and the need for further interventions [76]. UAE should be preferred to other procedures when specific anatomical characteristics and tumor features are met (Table 4).

UAE is indicated for the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids in women who do not wish future fertility but want to avoid surgical procedures or have contraindications to surgery [41].

Absolute contraindications are: pregnancy, active uterine infection, and gynecological malignancies [77]. Relative contraindications are: contrast material allergy, coagulopathy and renal failure [75,77].

Despite initial studies, recent evidence suggests that there are no differences in the results and complication rates for treatment of very large fibroids [78,79]. AAGL guidelines advise for some caution when using UAE in submucosal fibroids due to a possibly increased risk of complications [80]. French guidelines do not recommend UAE for the treatment of a single submucosal intracavitary fibroid (type 0 and 1) nor a single subserosal pedunculated fibroid (grade C) because of the risk of possible complications [1]. The presence of an IUD has been considered a relative contraindication, but a recent study found no difference in infectious complications with or without the IUD in place [77]. Given that the impact of UAE on future pregnancies is not fully known, it is currently not recommended as a first line treatment in women desiring future fertility; it can be carefully considered in those cases when fertility is desired, but myomectomy is contraindicated [1,77].

Procedure

Before treatment, patients undergo a gynecological visit including a thorough medical history and physical examination [74,75,77]. Trans-abdominal or trans-vaginal US can establish the diagnosis, but MRI allows to better characterize the fibroids. Anatomical variations being common, important aspects to evaluate are the shape, size, signal intensity, anatomical location, and assessment of the exact vascularization [74,77]; mandatory laboratory tests include a complete blood cell count, coagulation studies, a metabolic panel and a pregnancy test [74,77].

UAE procedures last 30-90 minutes, on average [81]. An IV access is obtained and medications are administered for pain and nausea prophylaxis. The insertion of a Foley catheter and administration of prophylactic antibiotic coverage are not recommended [77,81].

During the procedure, the patient is under conscious sedation or local anesthetic; vital functions are monitored [81]. The standard procedure requires overnight hospitalization [81].

After treatment, fatigue is common [82,83]; most patients experience moderate to severe ischemic pain, decreasing after 12 h and usually resolving within one week [83]: It is easily controlled with NSAIDs and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia, but epidural anesthesia may be required [77]. A rather common cluster of symptoms referred to as “post-embolic syndrome” sometimes occurs within the first day, including pelvic pain, nausea, vomiting, malaise, loss of appetite and low-grade fever [82,83]. It is managed with NSAIDs and, unless complications occur, lasts for less than one week [77]. Some menstrual irregularities, spotting and light vaginal bleeding can occur, but within 2-3 months the menstrual cycle should return normal [74,84]. Patients can generally return to normal activities within 8-14 days [41]. A follow-up visit is done about six months after the procedure [77].

Complications

Complications can be immediate (periprocedural), early (within 30 days) or late (after 30 days) (Table 5) [81]. The FIBROID registry reported a rate of 0.66% and 4.8% for in-hospital and 30-day major complications, respectively, but most complications occur as late events [82].

Immediate complications are uncommon: non-target embolization should not occur if the procedure is adequately performed, though sometimes uterine-ovarian artery anastomoses may not be immediately evident [77].

Among early complications, vaginal discharge is common; post-embolic syndrome is considered as a complication in the 3-5% of patients experiencing symptoms severe enough to require rehospitalization [81]; deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism due to a generalized state of hypercoagulability after the procedure are severe but rare occurrences [75,77].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibroid characteristics</th>
<th>Anatomical considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-responsive</td>
<td>Fibroids not enhancing on contrast MRI: Are already degenerated and will not be affected by embolization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less responsive</td>
<td>Broad ligament fibroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cervical fibroids, for the possible collateral flow from the cervical blood supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedunculated subserosal fibroids if stalk diameter is at least 50% narrower than the diameter of the tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intracavitary fibroids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Fibroid and anatomical characteristics to consider when preferring UAE to other options.
Among late complications, fibroid expulsion associated with pelvic pain and vaginal discharge may occur, more commonly with submucosal fibroids [82]; amenorrhea ensues in 7.3% of patients, even if lower percentages were reported in some studies, and represents a considerable issue in patients of fertile age [77,85,86]. This may result from non-target embolization to the ovaries and is related to age, being more common in perimenopausal women (86% of cases were patients 45 years or older) [85]. It is transient but may be permanent in fewer than 2% of patients, more commonly at older age [77,86]. Other non-target embolic complications are very rare when performed by a skilled operator [81]. Four cases of death following UAE have been reported: One from pulmonary embolism, one from non-target embolism leading to multi-system infarction, and two following uterine necrosis and sepsis with multiorgan system failure [87-90].

Outcomes

The clinical success of the procedure is defined by the complete resolution or a satisfactory improvement of symptoms without any additional therapy [77]. At one year after the procedure, 80%-90% of patients were successfully treated, and at 5-7 years 75% have persistent effects [1,81]. At 6 months the reduction in uterine volume and the dominant fibroid are 30%-60% and 50%-80%, respectively [1].

A Cochrane meta-analysis comparing UAE to medical and surgical therapies concluded that embolization is comparable to surgery in terms of patients’ satisfaction and complications rate at 2 and 5 years, and in terms of costs at 5 years. UAE has more minor complications and a higher reintervention rate but shorter treatment times, hospital stays, recovery times, and blood transfusion requirements. There is some evidence that myomectomy may be associated to a better fertility outcome, but only few studies addressed this topic [76]. Therefore, UAE is a valid alternative to surgery but the selection of patients is paramount to reduce the need for further interventions to a minimum.

The REST and EMMY trials compared UAE and laparoscopic hysterectomy in terms of quality of life (QoL) and clinical efficacy, and the HOPEFUL study compared the cost effectiveness of UAE to hysterectomy. UAE is superior for its shorter procedure times, lower procedure costs and due to shorter periods necessary to resume normal activities; the drawbacks are its higher re-intervention rate, rehospitalization rate and follow-up visits that on the long term (5 years) make the cost of the two procedures comparable [91-93]. The efficacy on symptoms and satisfaction of the procedure are comparable at 12 and 24 months, as is the QoL, that remains comparable at 5 years. Hysterectomy has a higher rate of major intraoperative complications and causes more intense pain in the 24 hours following the procedure, while minor intraoperative complications are higher for UAE. The rate of major complications remains higher for surgery for up to 6 months, while UAE reaches similar rates after one year.

The FUME trial comparing UAE to myomectomy evidenced that both procedures result in a significant and comparable improvement in QoL. UAE results in shorter hospital stay and less major complications but has higher reintervention rates [94].

Radiation exposure represents a concern because of the age of patients and the vicinity of the ovaries. When considering radiation dose in balancing risks and benefits of UAE vs. other surgical procedures, UAE is justified if all available techniques to decrease the dose to a minimum are employed [95]. In this case, the total radiation dose (highly variable among studies) can be similar to routine diagnostic procedures [82]. Factors influencing the overall exposure are: experience of the operator, number of projections, collimators’ characteristics, and frequency of images acquisition. Pulsed fluoroscopy is recommended over continuous fluoroscopy, and the new generation flat panel devices can reduce the radiation dose by five times when compared to Digital Subtraction Angiography [77].

Successful pregnancies after UAE have been reported. Concerns remain surrounding the risk of amenorrhea and possible effects on embryo implantation and complications during pregnancy, fetal development and delivery. The aim of the ongoing FEMME trial is to compare specifically myomectomy and UAE in terms

### Table 5: Classification of main complications related to UAE procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Late</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UAE Complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Puncturing of femoral artery: Hematoma, thrombosis, pseudoaneurysm, rarely failure to cannulate the artery</td>
<td>Vaginal discharge: resolves spontaneously, but requires treatment if foul smelling and purulent, suggesting infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contrast reaction: Allergic reactions</td>
<td>Complicated Post Embolic Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-target embolization</td>
<td>DVT and pulmonary embolism (&lt; 1%)</td>
<td>Chronic vaginal discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTI (very rare)</td>
<td>Non-target embolization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of fertility outcome [96]. Some studies yet to be confirmed favor myomectomy over UAE for short-term fertility [76]. Current evidence suggests that the number of conceptions and term pregnancies are higher and the miscarriage rate is lower after myomectomy rather than UAE [97]. No differences in other pregnancy parameters have been observed, namely preterm deliveries, cesarean section rate, intrauterine growth restriction and postpartum hemorrhage [1]. An impact on ovarian reserve may equally occur in UAE hysterectomy and myomectomy [98,99]. In the long term (> 12 months), ovarian reserve may be lower in patients treated with UAE rather than laparoscopic myomectomy, as evidenced by comparable FSH and estradiol levels but higher serum anti-Müllerian hormone levels and a higher number of antral follicles following the surgery [99]; however, longer-term effects of UAE on fertility have yet to be evaluated.

MRI-guided Focused Ultrasound (MRgFUS)

MRgFUS is a recent non-invasive approach in the management of uterine fibroids. Hereby, mechanical energy is carried by high-intensity ultrasound beams originating from a source outside the patient and focusing on an internal target volume, without any need for skin cuts or invasive interventions. The interaction between ultrasound waves and biological tissues induces the heating and coagulative necrosis of cells, determining a selective thermal ablation of target lesions [100-102]. Concomitantly, real-time control with MRI thermometric map ensures a complete and effective treatment and the sparing of surrounding healthy tissues from any potentially unwanted damage [103].

The use of MRgFUS for the treatment of uterine fibroids has been approved by the FDA in 2004. Currently, there are two FDA-approved MRgFUS systems: The ExAblate 2000 and the ExAblate 2100 (InSightec, Tirat-Carmel, Israel); the Sonalleve MR-HIFU (Philips Healthcare, Andover, MA, USA) is another system, approved in Europe.

**Selection of patients**

Correct patient selection is a fundamental process in determining technical and clinical outcome [104,105]. Hence, a combined clinical and imaging evaluation for each specific case is mandatory before proposing MRgFUS as a treatment option.

The primary goal of this procedures being the control of symptoms rather than the disappearance of treated lesions, only symptomatic patients can undergo MRgFUS; candidates should complete the symptom-severity score (SSS) of the Uterine Fibroid Symptoms and Quality of Life (UFS-QOL) questionnaire, assessing the intensity of symptoms caused by fibroids. Then, every patient has to be confirmed in her diagnosis of uterine fibroids through MRI, as other conditions may mimic the symptoms; at the same time, features of dominant fibroids (defined as the fibroids most likely to be responsible for symptoms), such as number, size, signal intensity and vascularization, should be evaluated.

Specifically, inclusion criteria are extended to: women in whom dominant fibroids have been diagnosed and that are compatible with the clinical presentation; women with a desire for future pregnancies; fibroids with MRI features compatible to MRgFUS treatment. The “ideal” fibroid is solitary, with a diameter ≤ 10 cm, low signal intensity on T2w MRI, with enhancement after contrast administration; it needs to be accessible by the MRgFUS system [106].

Absolute contraindications to MRgFUS procedures include a positive pregnancy test, general contraindications to MRI (e.g. pacemakers, metallic implants, claustrophobia, etc.) or to gadolinium-based contrast agent administration, anemia (Hb < 10 g/dl), a body weight greater than 115 Kg or concomitant pathologies (such as rectal cancer, ovarian cancer, etc.) [106].

Relative contraindications are: inconsistent relationship between fibroid features and patient’s symptoms; more than five symptomatic fibroids; fibroids diameter ≥ 10 cm; pedunculated fibroids with a narrow stalk; T2-weighted hyperintense fibroids (because of high vascularization and thus “heat sink” effects); non-contrast-enhanced fibroids (because of a lack of vascularization); fibroids with gross peripheral calcifications; MRI signs of sarcomatous degeneration, requiring a surgical approach; large and thick abdominal scars on the skin surface that needs to be crossed by ultrasound (smaller scars can be shielded by cutaneous plasters); interposition of bowel loops between the abdominal wall and the fibroid, due to the risk of bowel perforation induced by cavitation phenomena within the intestinal air; fibroids with more than 50% of their volume at > 11 cm from the skin surface; fibroids close to the sacrum [106]. The latter three conditions are commonly considered relative contraindications, as mitigation techniques, such as bladder or rectal filling, can be employed in an attempt to shift anatomical relationships and make a MRgFUS treatment technically feasible. GnRH agonists may be administered in the three months (one shot every month) prior to treatment to decrease fibroid size [106,107] or vascularity [107,108]. A schematic summary of factors weighing in on the selection process of patients is provided in Table 6.

**Technique**

After an overnight fast, the patient is admitted at the outpatient MRI suite. The patient’s abdomen has to be absolutely clean, as any dirt, cream or lotion may cause skin burns during the treatment. After shaving the patient between the navel and 1 cm below the pubic bone, a urinary catheter is placed into the bladder and an IV line is placed for administration of conscious sedation (fentanyl, midazolam). Thus, the subject is placed prone
onto the MRgFUS table and acoustically coupled to it by means of a gel pad that lies between the patient’s abdomen and the ultrasound transducer.

The acquisition of multiple MRI sequences allows a correct treatment planning whilst taking into account potential critical factors that may contraindicate performing the procedure. Treatment is performed under continuous and active monitoring of vital signs; rectal and bladder filling (US gel and saline, respectively) is considered after the evaluation of the position and, possibly, mobility of the uterus with low-resolution fast-acquired localizer images. If patient positioning and alignment (transducer-fibroid) is considered adequate for treatment, full-resolution T2-weighted images are obtained for the planning phase. Before starting the treatment, low-energy sonications are delivered to verify the correct positioning of the treatment focus and the absorption rate of the fibroid. Once these elements are confirmed, the energy can be increased and the real treatment begins. Lastly, T1 fat-saturated gadolinium contrast-enhanced images are acquired to assess the resulting necrosis within the fibroid, calculated as the non-perfused volume (NPV) ratio, which is defined as the non-perfused tissue volume divided by the whole fibroid volume before treatment.

### Outcomes

Documented outcomes have, overall, been positive with a general immediate effectiveness of treatment and hospitalization times of 1-3 days with return to full activity after 4-6 days, compared with 8-28 days, 12-37 days or more than 5 weeks with UAE, myomectomy or hysterectomy, respectively [109-111]. Most importantly, self-reported quality of life was increased with treatment [112]. In one study, symptomatic relief has been shown to be, respectively 86% (90/105), 93% (92/99) and 88% (78/89) at 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up [113].

One large cohort study reported re-interventions in 77/180 (42.8%) and 96/162 (59.3%) patients at 3- and 5-year follow-up, respectively; the use of GnRH agonists pre-treatment appears to be related to a significant reduction in re-intervention rates: 36.04% versus 48.7% at 3-year follow-up and 51.25% versus 65.7% at 5-year follow-up [114].

A predictive factor for the outcome of the treatment appears to be the appearance of the fibroid on MRI, with the hypointense fibroids being, in general, more responsive than the hyperintense ones. In T2w images slight enhancement was predictive of a higher NPV after treatment compared to irregular or regular enhancement - especially when GnRH agonist therapy is administered prior to MRgFUS treatment, fibroid image characteristics seem to be of predictive value. NPVs of 85%, 63%, 72% and 32% have been reported in one study for contrasted prior to MRgFUS treatment, fibroid image characteristics seem to be of predictive value. NPVs of 85%, 63%, 72% and 32% have been reported in one study for heterogeneous hyperintense, heterogeneously hypointense, hypointense fibroids and isointense fibroids, respectively [116].

A 24-month follow up of 359 patients showed that an increased volume ablated led to an improvement of Symptom Severity Score (SSS); the reduction in fibroid volume was sustained, meaning that the treatment was somewhat durable [102].

Undergoing MRgFUS treatment at a younger age is associated with an increased risk of additional treatments because of possible fibroid recurrence before the
onset of menopause. There is a high risk of additional procedures in women with multiple fibroids and with larger fibroids compared to patients with single and/or small fibroids [117].

Using MRgFUS it is possible to treat only selected fibroids; with UAE, all fibroids can be treated at one time; non-treated fibroids during MRgFUS may become the cause of persistence or relapse of symptoms.

Although being previously labeled as not indicated for women who wish to have future pregnancies, several cases of spontaneous pregnancy have been reported after treatment. Rabinovici, et al. [118] reported 54 pregnancies in 51 women after treatment with MRgFUS; the average time to conception was 8 months after treatment. 41% of these resulted in life births, 28% were spontaneously aborted and 11% were electively terminated. Compared to UAE, the possibility to have a pregnancy after MRgFUS is increased [119].

**Complications**

As reported in a fairly large cohort study, mild to moderate pain was reported by 18 of 280 women (6.4%) in the absence of any other significant complication. 3.9% (11 out of 280 women) of patients experienced minor complications (UTI, urinary retention, vaginal bleeding, transient buttock pain); only 3 out of 280 women (1.1%) experienced severe complications: one fibroid expulsion, one major skin burn requiring surgical repair, and one case of persistent neuropathy [114]; the former can, in our experience, be considered a desirable event. First-degree burns may cause some discomfort during the first week after the procedure. As with all uterine-preserving treatments, MRgFUS may bear the

---

**Figure 1:** Decisional tree for the management of uterine fibroids.

- **Asymptomatic**
  - Future pregnancy desired?
    - Yes
    - No/PM

- **Symptomatic**
  - Future pregnancy desired?
    - Yes
    - No/PM

**Imaging**

Multidisciplinary evaluation

**Patient preferences**

- No treatment necessary
- UAE
- MRgFUS
- MyoM
- LapHys

- MRgFUS
- MyoM
- HysR

PM: Postmenopausal
UAE: Uterine Artery Embolization
MRgFUS: Magnetic Resonance guided Focused Ultrasound
LapHys: Laparoscopic Hysterectomy
MyoM: Myomectomy
HysR: Hysteroscopic resection.
risk of fibroid regrowth and reappearance of symptoms. Overall, the treatment is deemed very safe.

**Conclusion**

The management of symptomatic uterine fibroids appears laborious and confusing because of multiple available treatment options. Uterus-sparing approaches can rely on a continuously growing range of therapeutic alternatives that have already proven their efficacy and may lead to difficulties in choosing among these for both the physician and the patient. For this reason, a multidisciplinary approach has always been advocated for, involving specialists from different areas of interest, such as the gynecologist and the interventional radiologist.

In our personal experience, a combination of clinical evaluation and imaging studies is the fundamental starting point for patient management; each symptomatic woman diagnosed with uterine fibroids should undergo a pelvic contrast MRI scan for the assessment of the fibroids’ features, such as number, dimension, location, vascularity, contrast enhancement, etc. The need for a pelvic MRI in all cases unavoidably leads to a rise in management costs that does, however, not make the approach unreasonable, as the unchallenged accuracy of MRI in precisely describing different fibroid tissue compositions offers a fundamental guide for a correct choice of the most appropriate treatment; hence reducing the risk of treatment failures, retreatments or adverse side-effects, MRI could even bring on an effective reduction of overall individual management costs.

This initial exam should be followed by a collegial evaluation involving the gynecologist and the radiologist, and a careful counseling session with the patient, during which the specialist illustrates the most suitable therapeutic options for the specific case and investigates the patient’s preferences. A general guide for the choice of the most appropriate interventional treatment is provided in Figure 1.

Given the excellent results of MRgFUS in terms of safety, non-invasiveness and potential for future childbearing, this approach is the primary proposal at our institute, especially in subjects with a desire for future pregnancies or for a conservative approach regarding the uterus: if the patient fulfills all the eligibility criteria and does not show any kind of contraindications, MRgFUS is always proposed to the patient as the first option (see Figure 1).

Among patients who cannot undergo MRgFUS or refuse treatment, we usually reserve medical therapy to women in perimenopausal age or imminently scheduled for surgical intervention; transabdominal/laparoscopic or hysteroscopic myomectomy is generally proposed to patients with a single dominant lesion and with the desire to conceive: The specific surgical access can be chosen according to the specific features of the patient and the fibroid; UAE is usually preferred for those patients who want to avoid surgery, who have multiple dominant lesions and who did not express a desire for future pregnancies.

In conclusion, a thorough knowledge of the properties of each therapeutic strategy is fundamental for a correct orientation of the specialist in the management of symptomatic uterine fibroids; the final purpose has to be the establishment of an individual-centered care system, within each woman will be addressed by the most suitable among the available treatment options.
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