Pathological Relationship of Osteomalacia at the Site of Atypical Periprosthetic Femoral Shaft Fracture after Typical Femoral Neck Fracture Occurred in the Patient with Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Case Report
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Abstract

We describe a patient who suffered an atypical periprosthetic femoral shaft fracture two years after surgical treatment for a typical osteoporotic femoral neck fracture. This patient had been suffering from rheumatoid arthritis (RA), steroid-induced diabetes, and steroid-induced osteoporosis, and had undergone long-term administration of glucocorticoids (GCs), bisphosphonates (BPs), and active vitamin D (aVD) analog. Prodromal pain and periprosthetic cortical stress reaction had occurred in the lateral cortex one month before she sustained the complete fracture. Histomorphometric analysis of a bone taken from just beneath the fracture site revealed the involvement of not severely suppressed bone turnover (SSBT) but osteomalacia. The serum concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) was low suggesting the status of vitamin D deficiency. Although periprosthetic fractures were excluded from the established definition of atypical femoral fractures (AFFs) of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ASBMR), physicians should recognize that atraumatic atypical femoral fracture can occur even in a periprosthetic lesion during the postoperative management of osteoporosis-related fractures especially in patients with multiple comorbid conditions and vitamin D deficiency.
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Introduction

Bisphosphonates (BPs) are widely used in the treatment of osteoporosis and are highly effective at reducing the risk of osteoporosis-related fractures [1-3]. However, prolonged BP use has recently been considered to be related to the development of atypical femoral fractures (AFFs) [4-11]. Although some reports suggested that severely suppressed bone turnover (SSBT) is associated with the pathophysiology of AFFs [9,12,13], cortical hypertrophy has been observed as one of the radiological characteristics of AFFs, especially in patients with long-term BP treatment [14,15], suggesting that the new bone formation would occur on the cortical bone in patients with AFFs. There has been controversy among physicians regarding these observations linking SSBT and AFFs [16], and the pathophysiology of AFFs remains incompletely understood.

Surgical treatment such as bipolar hip arthroplasty (BHA), total hip arthroplasty (THA), and intramedullary nailing are frequently performed for the treatment of osteoporosis-related proximal femoral fractures in elderly patients. The prevalence of periprosthetic femoral fractures is 0.1% to 3.5% [17-20]. In contrast to the radiological features of AFFs represented by cortical hypertrophy [14], patients with typical osteoporosis-related periprosthetic fractures show a thinner cortex, spiral fracture, and comminuted fracture. Although the ASBMR established definition of AFF excludes periprosthetic fracture [14,21], AFFs can occur even in a periprosthetic lesion of the femur [22,23].

We report a patient who developed an atypical periprosthetic femoral shaft fracture. She had undergone BHA two years previously for the treatment of typical osteoporotic femoral neck fracture. Analyses of bone turnover markers before and after she sustained the complete fracture as well as histomorphometric evaluation of a bone specimen taken from femoral bone were performed.

Case Report

The case was a 68-year-old female who sustained a femoral neck fracture of her left hip (Figure 1A) and underwent BHA in December 2009. She had been suffering from rheumatoid arthritis (RA) for 31 years. She had been taking GCs (prednisolone, 6mg/day), BPs (risedronate, 2.5mg/day, 7 years), and active vitamin D analog (Alfacalcidol, 1µg/day, 7 years) for a long period. The bone mineral density measured by a dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
system was -2.5 in terms of the T-score. She had no symptoms in her left leg and no radiological findings of periprosthetic loosening were observed during the follow-up period from 2009 to 2010 (Figure 1B). She experienced groin pain and visited our hospital in January 2011. At this time, an anteroposterior pelvis radiograph showed a sign of “beaking” in the periprosthetic lesion of the lateral cortex (Figure 1C). Since we suspected this finding to be a cortical stress reaction related to the long-term BP treatment, we measured bone turnover markers (BTMs) (Table 1). This analysis revealed that neither bone resorption nor bone formation markers were severely suppressed (TRAP5b: 296mU/dl, BAP: 14.2µg/l, PINP: 21.4ng/ml), and serum level of calcium (9.5mg/dl) and phosphate (2.8mg/dl) were normal; however, a bone matrix-related marker, uncarboxylated osteocalcin (ucOC), was decreased below the limit of detection (ucOC: <0.38ng/ml). Although the serum concentration of 25OHD was low (25OHD: 13ng/ml, Table 1), the concentration of intact parathyroid hormone, calcium, and phosphorus were within normal range. Even though we carefully observed her clinical course, she sustained a spontaneous femoral fracture and was transferred to the emergency room the next month. A radiograph showed a periprosthetic complete transverse fracture and beaking of the lateral cortex was enlarged compared with that in the previous radiograph (Figure 1D). The fracture occurred without trauma and the radiograph showed characteristic features of AFFs, such as transverse morphology, without evidence of comminution, and cortical thickening; therefore, this case met the requirements of both the ASBMR major features and the minor features of AFFs, except for being a periprosthetic fracture.

The patient received oral tetracycline (Achromycin V, 1000mg/day) on days 3-2 prior to surgery; informed consent was obtained prior to the start of the administration of tetracycline. Only single labeling of tetracycline could be performed as this patient had a

![Figure 1: Atypical periprosthetic femoral fracture](image)

Anteroposterior pelvic radiograph showing osteoporotic femoral neck fracture (A). Postoperative radiograph four months after underwent BHA (B). Panel C shows the pelvic radiograph one month before the atypical periprosthetic femoral fracture. White arrowhead in the magnified panel indicates the sign of beaking in lateral cortex. Panel D shows the atypical periprosthetic femoral fracture. White arrowhead indicates the enlarged beaking sign.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker (units)</th>
<th>Pre-injury of periprosthetic fracture</th>
<th>Post-injury of periprosthetic fracture</th>
<th>2 weeks post-operation</th>
<th>4 weeks post-operation</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAP (µg/l)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9.6-35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ucOC (ng/ml)</td>
<td>&lt;0.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.38</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>&lt;4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC (ng/ml)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.1-12.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINP (ng/ml)</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
<td>27.0-109.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAP5b (mU/dl)</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>120-420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-NTX/Cre (nmolBCE/mmol·Cr)</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>14.3-89.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intact PTH (pg/ml)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25OHD (ng/ml)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20- (Ross, 2011) #106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Transition of bone turnover markers**

**Abbreviations:** BAP: Bone Alkaline Phosphatase, ucOC: Uncarboxylated Osteocalcin, OC: Osteocalcin, PINP: Type I Procollagen-N-propeptide, TRAP: Tartrate-Resistant Acid Phosphatase, NTX: Type I Collagen Crosslinked N-Telopeptide, PTH: Parathyroid Hormone, 25OHD: 25- Hydroxyvitamin D
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high risk of complications, such as thromboembolism, aspiration pneumonitis, and disuse syndrome from long-term bed rest. Open reduction internal fixation was performed five days after the fracture and bone sample was obtained at the same time from the lateral cortex of the fracture site. The nomenclature, symbols, and units used in histomorphometric analyses are those recommended by the ASBMR Nomenclature Committee [24]. In the histomorphometric analysis of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) staining of the decalcified section, TRAP-positive multinuclear osteoclasts as well as bone resorption fossa were observed in the bone envelope, and the osteoclast surface and the number of osteoclasts were not decreased (OC.S/BS: 2.8%, N.Oc/B.Pm (mm$^{-1}$): 0.13) (Figure 2 and Table 2).

In the analyses of fluorescence microscopy and Villanueva-Goldner staining of undecalcified section of bone embedded in methyl methacrylate, the number of osteoblasts was not decreased (N.Ob/B.Pm (mm$^{-1}$): 1.6), tetracycline was labeled at the bone surface (sLS/BS: 32.7%), and osteoid surface was markedly increased (OS/BS: 36.4%) (Figure 2 and Table 2). These histomorphometric analyses indicated that the pathophysiology of AFF in this patient was associated with the condition of “osteomalacia” rather than SSBT.

Internal fixation was performed with a locking compression plate (LCP), a distal femur plate, and a cable system (Figure 3A). Risedronate was discontinued and teriparatide (20µg/day) was started after surgery; in addition, low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) was used in order to accelerate the bone union. Callus formation was observed two weeks after and bone union was confirmed four months after the surgery (Figure 3B,3C). Bone metabolism was improved after the administration of teriparatide, especially in terms of the markers of PINP and osteocalcin (Table 2).

Discussion

We described a case of an atypical periprosthetic femoral shaft fracture that occurred in a patient who had previously undergone BHA for the treatment of femoral neck fracture due to glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Histomorphometric analysis of the fracture site revealed the pathophysiological involvement of osteomalacia. Treatment with rigid internal fixation and improvement of the bone metabolism using teriparatide successfully led to bone union.

Atypical femoral fracture was first described by Odvina et al. in 2005 [13]. This fracture was defined by the ASBMR taskforce by analyzing the published articles regarding AFFs in 2010 [14]. In 2013, the definition of AFFs was renewed by ASBMR taskforce and the periosteal stress reaction at the fracture site was changed from a minor to a major feature [25]. Although they defined major and minor features of AFFs, periprosthetic femoral fracture is excluded from this definition. Our case met the requirements of both the major and the minor features of AFF of the ASBMR, such as no trauma, transverse fracture, noncomminuted fracture, cortical hypertrophy, and prodromal symptoms (dull pain in the thigh), except that the fracture occurred in the periprosthetic lesion. Since these features were not consistent with typical osteoporotic periprosthetic fractures, we regarded this fracture as an atypical periprosthetic femoral fracture.

Table 2: The histomorphometric analysis of bone specimen obtained from lateral cortex of femora

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Abbreviations (units)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteoblast number</td>
<td>N.Ob/B.Pm (mm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-labeled surface</td>
<td>sLS/BS (%)</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoid surface</td>
<td>OS/BS (%)</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoclast surface</td>
<td>OC.S/BS (%)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoclast number</td>
<td>N.Oc/B.Pm (mm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Bone remodeling at the lateral cortex of atypical femoral fracture

Villanueva-Goldner-stained undecalcified section of bone (A and C). Mineralized bone is stained green and unmineralized bone osteoid stained red (black arrows). Scale bar: 20µm. Panel B shows fluorescence micrograph of panel A. The green fluorescence of tetracycline labeling confirms the dynamic bone formation. White arrowheads indicate bone-forming osteoblasts. Black arrowheads indicate multinucleated osteoclasts. Bone resorbing multinucleated cells were observed in bone envelope (C). Tartaric acid-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) staining of the decalcified section (D) reveals TRAP-positive multinucleated osteoclasts (stained red).

Table 2: The histomorphometric analysis of bone specimen obtained from lateral cortex of femora

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Abbreviations (units)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteoblast number</td>
<td>N.Ob/B.Pm (mm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-labeled surface</td>
<td>sLS/BS (%)</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoid surface</td>
<td>OS/BS (%)</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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<td>N.Oc/B.Pm (mm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cross et al. [22] reported a case of a bisphosphonate-induced periprosthetic femoral fracture [22]. They also warned physicians to note that AFFs do occur in periprosthetic lesions, especially in patients with prolonged BP use. In addition, Curtin et al. reported 3 patients with bisphosphonate-associated AFFs as an etiology of periprosthetic hip pain in THA patients [23]. Interestingly, two of these three patients suffered from rheumatoid arthritis and had undergone long-term treatment with GCs and BPs, similarly to our patient. Giusti et al. [8] identified 141 women with AFFs and revealed that the patients with atypical “subtrochanteric” femoral fracture had a higher number of chronic diseases [8]; furthermore, Girgis et al. [7] showed an increased risk of subtrochanteric fracture in patients administered GCs and BPs (odds ratio, 5.2) [7]. Therefore, it is likely that patients with prolonged use of BPs and GCs would become a high-risk population in terms of developing an atypical periprosthetic femoral fracture. Although periprosthetic fracture is excluded from the definition of AFFs, physicians should be aware of it and carefully manage these patients.

The vitamin D deficiency might be an important risk factor for AFFs [14]. Girgis et al. [7] reported that serum 25OHD level less than 16ng/ml was associated with an increased risk of atypical subtrochanteric fractures (OR, 3.2) [7]. Furuya et al. [26] reported that the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) was high; the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and severe deficiency were 71.8 and 11.5%, respectively [26]. In our patient, she had been suffering from RA, vitamin D deficiency existed prior to developing the fracture (Table 1). There were no clinical findings that supported the osteomalacia or calcium metabolism disorders in our patient, as it might be the effect of administration of a VD analog. However, the histological analyses showed the pathophysiology of osteomalacia at the site of fracture, suggesting that vitamin D deficiency could be partially involved in the development of atypical fracture in this patient.

Several theories have been proposed concerning the pathophysiology of AFFs. Odvina et al. [13] suggested that the pathophysiology of BP-induced SSBT is involved in the development of AFFs [13]. In addition, there have been several reported studies that investigated the dynamic bone remodeling in patients with AFFs; they also suggested the involvement of SSBT in the pathology of AFFs [27-29]. However, in these previous studies, transiliac bone biopsy was performed and they did not investigate the pathophysiology of fracture site, which is where the pathological reactions occur in AFFs. In the state of SSBT, which is defined as no or fewer bone-forming osteoblasts, it is likely that cortical hypertrophy would be difficult to occur. On the other hand, Jamal described a patient with AFF who had undergone prolonged use of BPs, namely, for nine years [16]. Histomorphometric analysis of a bone biopsy at the “femoral cortex” after the use of tetracycline labeling revealed normal bone.

Figure 3: Postoperative callus formation
Anteroposterior pelvis radiograph after the internal fixation (A). Radiograph of two weeks after the surgery (B) showing callus formation. White arrowheads indicate callus. Callus formation was gradually increased in sixteen weeks (C) post-surgery.
remodeling at the endosteal and cortical envelope, supporting the histomorphometric analysis results of our patient. In our case, newly formed osteoid surface was increased, suggesting the link between AFSs and osteomalacia. In the analyses of BTMs in our patient at the fracture site, the serum level of osteocalcin was below the limits of detection (Table 1), on the other hand, other bone formation markers such as PINP and BAP were not severely decreased, suggesting that the maturation of osteoblast would be altered on the late stage of osteoblast differentiation. As a result, increase of osteoid volume and disorder of tissue repair process leads to bone fragility. Therefore, although prolonged BP and GCs use suppress overall bone remodeling and leads to the accumulation of microdamage, it is suggested that the cause of AFSs are not always related to the oversuppression of bone turnover, but would be related to alteration of the bone remodeling process and function of osteoblasts.

It is known that patients with AFSs are at high risk for delayed healing [14]. In this case, we supposed that the atypical periprosthetic fracture might have occurred as a result of a concentration of mechanical stress at the distal part of the femoral stem in the patient with deteriorated bone quality due to the long-term use of BPs, GCs, and the presence of RA. Some reports described that teriparatide, which has a bone anabolic effect, was effective for healed atypical fractures associated with chronic bisphosphonate use [6,28,30]. Therefore, we supposed that teriparatide therapy and LIPUS use could promote fracture healing in this patient, and we performed these treatments after the surgery in order to prevent delayed healing. Improvement of the BTMs was observed two weeks after the initiation of teriparatide, especially in terms of bone formation markers (Table 1). Callus formation was also confirmed on a radiograph from an early stage (Figure 3B). The ASBMR task force recommends that teriparatide should be considered in patients who suffer AFSs, particularly if there is little evidence of healing by 4 to 6 weeks after surgical intervention [14]. The American College of Rheumatology also recommends the use of teriparatide for glucocorticoid-induced bone loss [31]. Therefore, teriparatide is recommended for use in patients with AFSs who are taking BPs and GCs in the long term in order to prevent delayed union after surgical treatments.

In conclusion, we report a patient with atypical periprosthetic femoral shaft fracture. Histomorphometric analysis, including that of bone turnover, revealed the pathophysiology of osteomalacia in the fracture site. Rigid internal fixation and teriparatide successfully leads to bone union. Although periprosthetic femoral fractures are rare, it is estimated that a large proportion of elderly osteoporotic patients would undergo hip replacement against the background of an aging society, resulting in an increase of post-operative periprosthetic femoral fractures associated with long-term BP use. Therefore, when managing patients who have undergone surgery, physicians should consider the possibility that AFF could occur, even in a periprosthetic lesion, especially in patients who have risk factors to develop AFSs including vitamin D deficiency.
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