Physician Perceived Barriers and Solutions to DASH Diet Recommendations for Hypertension Prevention and Management
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Abstract

Background: The Dietary Approach to Stopping Hypertension (DASH) is considered first-line therapy for hypertension, yet clinical practice implementation remains suboptimal.

Methods: We designed a survey to assess four domains: (1) Provider beliefs/knowledge of DASH benefits, (2) Patient characteristics influencing likelihood of recommendation, (3) Practice barriers to provision of DASH diet advice, and (4) Resources of perceived value. The survey was sent to University of Colorado School of medicine primary care providers practicing in the Denver metro area.

Results: The survey was sent electronically to 149 providers, with 49 (33%) responders. Most (65%) believed DASH diet is as effective at lowering blood pressure as adding a medication. The most common patient and practice barriers influencing the decision to provide DASH dietary advice included perceived low patient motivation (90%) or ability (86%) to implement DASH diet, lack of provider time (71%), and lack of patient-directed educational resources (67%). Resources providers identified as useful included resources accessible through the electronic medical record, (88%), a dietician (83%), and printed patient-education materials (59%).

Conclusion: Interventions to increase provision of DASH dietary advice should focus on identifying eligible patients, accessible and well-disseminated educational materials, and expanded access to evidence-based interventions involving dieticians.
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Introduction

Hypertension contributes to 25% of cardiovascular-related morbidity and mortality, affects 29% of the US population [1], and is an area of focus for many healthcare systems, especially given it’s prominence in many value-based programs. Lifestyle modification is first-line therapy for patients with elevated blood pressure (BP) (SBP 120-129 and DBP < 80), or stage 1 hypertension (SBP 130-139 or DBP 80-89) with an ASCVD 10-year risk of < 10% [1]. The Dietary Approach to Stopping Hypertension (DASH), first published in 1997, is proven to lower systolic and diastolic blood pressures by 4.5 to 7.8 mmHg and 2.6 to 3.7 mmHg, respectively, compared to usual diet controls in treated and untreated hypertensive patients [2]. This is comparable to the lowering of 6-9/4-5 mmHg with blood pressure medications such as lisinopril, amlodipine, or chlorthalidone [3].

Despite the DASH Diet’s effectiveness, DASH diet education implementation into clinical practice is suboptimal. Less than 1% of U.S population consumes a diet consistent with DASH [4,5]. An NHANES study examined an individual’s degree of adherence to target for the nine food categories outlined within the DASH regimen (total fat, saturated fat, protein, fiber, cholesterol, calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium) by using a DASH accordance score. Americans with diagnosed and undiagnosed hypertension met less than 3 out of 9...
9 of these targets [6]. A manual chart review of outpatient visits where blood pressures were in the elevated stage 1 hypertensive range at an internal medicine clinic in our own system found documentation of DASH diet counseling in only 2 out of 330 visits over a one-month period.

Multiple factors contribute to the low level of DASH diet implementation, including the US food environment, socioeconomic factors, clinical factors, and patient knowledge. The first step to a patient making lifestyle changes to reduce blood pressure is often advice from their physician. However, a host of barriers prevent physicians from recommending dietary and other lifestyle changes [7]. Physicians cite lack of time to provide proper counseling on general nutrition, perception that patients lack interest and motivation in making lifestyle changes, and lack of training in nutritional counseling as barriers to consistent provision of lifestyle advice to patients, though data specific to DASH diet is lacking [4,7]. One observational study showed provision of each additional lifestyle counseling point (e.g. smoking cessation, low-sodium diet) was associated with 2.05 min increase in visit length [8].

Regular provision of lifestyle advice, including DASH diet, could positively impact a large number of patients, and provider advice is a potentially influential, perhaps critical first step. Understanding of the most important provider perceived barriers to providing this advice could facilitate development of more effective resources. This study aimed to identify provider-identified barriers to provision of DASH diet to patients who may benefit for lowering of blood pressure.

**Methods**

This cross-sectional study was conducted from December 2019 to January 2020 at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus with General Internal Medicine, Family Medicine, and geriatrics providers. This study was reviewed by the Colorado Multiple Institution Review Board and granted exempt status.

The University of Colorado School of Medicine faculty providers who care for patients at 149 different family medicine, general internal medicine, and geriatric clinics across the Denver/Boulder metro area. The system uses Epicas an electronic medical record. Within this platform, patient educational materials related to hypertension, low sodium diet, DASH diet, and anti-hypertensive medication are available through a third-party vendor.

A self-administered questionnaire was developed based on qualitative interviews with five primary care providers that included open-ended questions covering four domains: (1) Provider beliefs/knowledge of DASH diet benefits, (2) Patient characteristics influencing the likelihood of recommendation, (3) Practice barriers to provision of DASH diet advice/education, (4) Resources that are or would be useful [Supplementary material]. Interviews were conducted until data saturation was reached and no new information or themes were reported. Provider responses to the qualitative survey were compiled and used to inform a 7-item Likert-scale based self-administered questionnaire.

The survey link was sent via email to all eligible primary care providers in December, 2019, with a second request sent 10 days later. Survey data were collected electronically and anonymously. Descriptive statistics were used to describe provider-identified barriers to the provision of lifestyle advice, including the DASH diet.

**Results**

Among 149 primary care providers who received the email, 49 (33%) responded to the survey (Table 1). Two thirds (n = 32, 65%) of providers believe that the DASH diet is at least as effective at lowering BP in adherent patients as adding medication. The most commonly

| Table 1: Survey questions and responses [n (%)]. |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Significantly less effective | A little less effective | About as effective | A little more effective | Significantly more effective |
| 1. How effective do you believe the DASH diet is, for an adherent patient, at lowering BP compared to adding a medication? | 3 (6) | 14 (29) | 24 (49) | 5 (10) | 3 (6) |
| Not a factor | Minor factor | Somewhat significant factor | Very significant factor |
| 2. What patient characteristics make you less likely to recommend DASH diet or other dietary therapy to reduce blood pressure? | Low income | 6 (13) | 5 (31) | 19 (40) | 8 (17) |
| Low lack of familial support | 6 (13) | 16 (33) | 17 (35) | 9 (19) |
| Low health literacy | 2 (4) | 17 (34) | 18 (37) | 12 (25) |
| Very high BP so diet not likely to be sufficient | 4 (8) | 11 (22) | 21 (43) | 13 (27) |
| High complexity/concurrent disease states | 4 (8) | 11 (22) | 20 (41) | 14 (29) |
Perceived low ability of patient to implement DASH diet | 0 (0) | 6 (12) | 22 (45) | 20 (41)  
Perceived low patient motivation | 2 (4) | 4 (8) | 19 (40) | 24 (50)  
| Not a factor | Minor factor | Somewhat significant factor | Very significant factor  

3. What barriers do you face in practice that prevents you from providing DASH diet education?  

| Personal lack of belief in DASH effectiveness | 31 (65) | 12 (25) | 4 (8) | 1 (2)  
| Lack of formal training on the DASH diet | 12 (25) | 18 (38) | 11 (23) | 7 (15)  
| Lack of dietitian to whom I can refer | 16 (33) | 8 (17) | 10 (21) | 14 (29)  
| Lack of patient-directed educational resources | 6 (13) | 10 (21) | 17 (35) | 15 (31)  
| Lack of time to explain | 4 (8) | 10 (21) | 16 (33) | 18 (38)  

4. What resources do you currently use to recommend DASH diet, if any?  

| Verbal education of diet during visit | 4 (8) | 26 (53) | 19 (39)  
| Electronic resources currently available in Epic | 22 (45) | 14 (29) | 13 (27)  
| Dietitian | 20 (41) | 22 (45) | 7 (14)  
| Printed materials describing the DASH diet available through my practice site | 29 (59) | 17 (35) | 3 (6)  
| Websites | 32 (65) | 14 (29) | 2 (4)  
| Printed materials describing the DASH diet I have personally collected | 41 (84) | 5 (10) | 1 (2)  

5. What resources would you most find useful to provide DASH diet and other lifestyle advice?  

| Additional training on the DASH diet | 16 (33) | 19 (39) | 14 (29)  
| List of good websites | 10 (20) | 17 (35) | 22 (45)  
| Printed materials that I could hand to patients | 3 (6) | 17 (35) | 29 (59)  
| Access to dietitian for patient referrals | 0 (0) | 8 (16) | 40 (82)  
| Electronic resources in Epic to add to AVS or print | 0 (0) | 6 (12) | 43 (88)  

6. How appropriate are the following processes for implementing dietary education for eligible patients in your practice?  

| All HTN/pre-HTN patients have a visit with their PCP to specifically discuss DASH diet | 11 (22) | 11 (22) | 21 (43) | 6 (12)  
| All HTN/pre-HTN patients receive a referral to a dietician or group class led by a dietician | 4 (8) | 10 (20) | 26 (53) | 9 (18)  
| All HTN/pre-HTN patients receive brief (2 min) description of the DASH diet, plus written materials during HTN or preventive visit | 1 (2) | 3 (6) | 17 (35) | 28 (57)  
| HTN/pre-HTN patients who express interest and motivation to receive a referral to a dietician group class led by a dietician | 0 (0) | 1 (2) | 6 (12) | 42 (86)  

identified patient-related barriers reported were low perceived patient motivation (90%) and low patient ability to implement the DASH diet (86%), while the most commonly identified practice setting barriers were lack of time and lack of patient-directed educational resources, with 71% and 66%, respectively, reporting these as somewhat or very significant factors. Methods or resources providers reported using to educate patients about DASH diet included verbal education (39%) and electronic resources available through the EMR (27%). The resources they reported would be most useful were electronic materials accessible through the EMR (88%), dieticians (82%), and printed materials (59%).
The workflow providers deemed most appropriate for DASH diet education was having patients with hypertension or pre-hypertension and interest in making lifestyle changes attend a dietician-led class (86%); the majority of providers (57%) also approved of a process where hypertension and pre-hypertension patients receive DASH diet written materials during a hypertension or preventative visit.

Finally, 88% of providers believe that DASH diet advice should be provided to patients who might benefit from the DASH diet because they may only learn about DASH diet education through a healthcare provider (Figure 1).

Discussion

This study demonstrates that most primary care providers believe the DASH diet effectively reduces blood pressure. However, provider-perceived patient characteristics, time, and lack or perceived lack of accessible patient education materials limit the provision of DASH diet counseling in pre-hypertension and hypertension patients likely to benefit.

Physician-perceived low patient motivation (90%) and ability to implement the DASH diet (86%) reflects previously-reported physician views towards nutritional advice in general [7]. Although physician perceptions don’t necessarily reflect actual patient motivation or ability, there is evidence that cost, personal preferences, low availability of healthy foods, and cultural aspects are barriers to DASH diet adherence [9]. However, such barriers may be surmountable. An intervention involving multiple peer-group sessions involving shared DASH diet meal plans, grocery shopping ideas, and budget management increased fruit and vegetable intake compared to receiving only a DASH diet educational packet [10]. Thus, to improve the patient’s ability to implement the DASH diet, a healthcare team and even supportive peer programs should be developed to provide individualized plans for patients to follow.

Deficiency in nutrition training was identified as a barrier by just 38% of the physicians, though most medical students and educators consider current medical school nutrition education inadequate [12,13]. Providers universally endorsed access to dieticians to provide nutritional counseling, and outpatient DASH diet and exercise counseling by dieticians has demonstrated improved patient’s cholesterol, blood pressure, and weight management [14]. More extensive interventions may be more effective, as evidenced by the PREMIER study, where multiple dietician-led group sessions improved DASH diet uptake and reduced blood pressure better than a 30-minute counseling session [15].

This study is limited by a relatively modest sample of university-based primary care practitioners. Second,
this survey only examined the provider’s perspective, limiting the view of patients. Third, the cross-sectional survey does not provide the relationship between the cause and effect of the response, limiting analysis of the result. However, this study has multiple supportive studies to mitigate this problem.

**Conclusion**

Providers identify perceived patient inability or unwillingness to implement DASH diet, lack of time, and real or perceived lack of accessible resources as significant barriers to advising patients to follow DASH. Interventions to increase provision of DASH dietary advice should focus on identifying eligible patients, accessible and well-disseminated educational materials, and expanded access to evidence-based interventions involving dieticians.
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Supplementary material: Provider qualitative survey.

1. What benefits do you believe the DASH diet provides to patients with elevated blood pressure?
2a. What patient characteristics make you more likely to recommend DASH diet? (e.g. BP level, history of adherence to treatment, concurrent disease states, etc.)
2b. What patient characteristics make you less likely to recommend DASH diet?
3. What barriers do you face in practice that prevent you from recommending or educating on the DASH diet?
4. What resources do you currently use to recommend DASH diet, if any?
5. What resources would be most useful to you to support education of DASH to patients and other lifestyle modifications?

Example resources include (but are not limited to)
- Brochure or folder of written information
- Internet educational resources
- Patient decision aid
- Availability of dietician or cooking classes to which you could refer patients

EPIC resources that could be given to a patient with their after-visit-summary