Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: What Crucial Information can Imaging Add to the Diagnosis, Treatment and Prognosis?
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Abstract
Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, with many distinct subtypes having extremely different presentations, biological aggressiveness, and responses to therapy. Triple-negative breast cancer is a subtype with unique clinical implications because of its poor prognosis and aggressive behavior. It has distinct imaging features, often presenting as a mass with typically benign features on mammography and ultrasound and more suspicious findings on magnetic resonance imaging. Triple-negative breast cancer also has unique treatment limitations, with these cancers lacking molecular therapeutic targets expressed by other breast cancer subtypes. Fortunately, Triple-negative breast cancer is very responsive to neoadjuvant chemotherapy, which is the mainstay of treatment for these patients. Patients with triple-negative breast cancer are more likely to achieve pathologic complete remission, which is associated with improved survival. Despite this, patients with triple-negative breast cancer have an overall poorer prognosis compared to other subtypes, especially in the first few years after diagnosis. As this subtype accounts for up to one-fifth of all breast cancer cases, a thorough understanding of the unique imaging features of triple-negative breast cancer, as well as the role of imaging in predicting response to therapy and long term patient prognosis, will allow all involved clinicians to more effectively care for these patients.
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Introduction
Breast cancer is the most common malignancy among women in developed countries and is a leading cause of cancer death [1]. It is a heterogeneous disease, with many biologically distinct subtypes having widely different clinical presentations, biological aggressiveness, and responses to therapy [1,2]. Triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) is one subtype that is of particular interest due to its poor prognosis, aggressive biology, and associations with TP53 gene mutations and suppressed BRCA1 function [3]. TNBC is defined as a breast cancer that does not express receptors for estrogen (ER), progesterone (PR), or human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2) [3-5]. TNBC comprises 11-20% of all breast cancers [3,6,7] but is responsible for 23-28% of locally advanced disease [3,8,9]. It also has distinctive imaging features [3,10-13], often lacking the suspicious mammographic findings associated with other forms of breast cancer. Since TNBC lacks a molecular target, options for systemic therapy are limited as compared to tumors which express ER, PR, and HER2 [3]. Because of its aggressive behavior, unique imaging features, and treatment limitations, it is important that all clinicians caring for breast cancer patients have a broad understanding of TNBC. This review will provide an overview of this topic with a focus on the imaging features, treatment implications, and prognostic factors relevant to TNBC.

Imaging Features
Mammography
TNBC usually lacks the spiculated margins, irregular shape, and suspicious calcifications associated with
other forms of breast cancer. As such, TNBC can be mammmographically occult (in up to 18% of cases), despite often being larger than other breast cancers at the time of diagnosis [3]. The most common presentation of TNBC on mammography is a mass [3,10,12,13] (Figure 1). Circumscribed margins are described in approximately one-fourth of cases [3,10,12,13], and there are typically no associated calcifications [3,13]. Less common presentations of TNBC include a focal asymmetry, seen in 10-20% of cases, or a mass with associated calcifications, seen in approximately 15% of cases [11,13]. Isolated calcifications are a much less frequent presentation [10,11]. Dogan, et al. state that mammography alone may have limited value in screening patients at risk for TNBC [3]. These authors indicate that the low incidence of associated calcifications or ductal carcinoma in situ suggests rapid tumor growth that proceeds directly to invasive cancer, without an in situ stage [3].

Ultrasound

Ultrasound has a very high sensitivity for the detection of TNBC [3]. The most frequent presentation of TNBC on ultrasound is as a discrete mass which, as on mammography, largely lacks suspicious sonographic features (Figure 2). The predominant features of TNBC include well-circumscribed margins, reported in approximately 25% of cases [3,11-13], and posterior acoustic enhancement, present in 25-40% of cases [3,12,13]. The presence of posterior acoustic enhancement in TNBC suggests tumor necrosis, rather than indicating benignity as in other breast lesions [3,14,15].

Magnetic resonance

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is extremely sensitive for the detection of TNBC, with the morphologic characteristics of TNBC on MRI more suspicious than those seen on mammography or ultrasound (Figure 3)
Neoadjuvant chemotherapies can be discontinued to avoid the associated toxicities [25], allowing the pre-operative treatment period to be used to investigate novel therapies or treatment combinations [25].

TNBC is very responsive to chemotherapy, with high rates of pathologic complete remission (pCR) reported after neoadjuvant treatment [31,32]. In a study of over 1100 patients, Liedtke, et al. [33] found that pCR rates after neoadjuvant treatment were twice as high in TNBC patients as in non-TNBC patients (22% vs. 11%). Two separate meta-analyses also found that the absence of ER expression is associated with a greater response to cytotoxic chemotherapy as compared to ER-positive tumors [34,35]. Additionally, the response to neoadjuvant therapy in TNBC tumors can be quite rapid, with Huober, et al. [36] reporting a response after only two cycles of therapy.

The responsiveness of TNBC to neoadjuvant treatment has clinical implications, as studies have demonstrated a clear survival advantage for patients who achieve pCR over those with residual disease after neoadjuvant therapy [37,38]. Across all subtypes of breast cancer, the highest rates of pCR are seen in patients less than 40-years-old, those with higher grade tumors, and those with TNBC; of these factors, hormone receptor status has the strongest predictive value [36]. As younger patients with higher grade tumors are more likely to benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy, age and tumor grade can be used to identify TNBC patients who should receive neoadjuvant treatment. Other factors which may encourage the use of neoadjuvant therapy include large tumor size, locally advanced disease, or disease initially ineligible for resection [26].

After completion of neoadjuvant therapy, accurate evaluation of residual tumor size and location is essential for surgical planning [39]. For this purpose, MRI has been shown to be superior to mammography and ultrasound [39,40]. While ultrasound and mammography have only modest accuracy for monitoring disease response [41], MRI has been shown to be an excellent modality for assessing both residual disease extent and monitoring early treatment response [39,40,42,43]. A study of 32 patients by Bhattacharrya, et al. [39] demonstrated a strong correlation between preoperative MRI findings and operative histology, with MRI demonstrating a positive predictive value of 99.8% and a negative predictive value of 80.0% for the detection of residual invasive disease.

[16] In a study examining forty-four patients with TNBC, Dogan, et al. found that MRI was 100% sensitive for the detection of these tumors as compared to sensitivities of 91% and 93% for mammography and ultrasound, respectively [13]. The predominant presentation of TNBC in that study was an enhancing mass, seen in 34 patients. The most commonly reported mass shape was round or oval, described in 35% of patients, with dominant mass margins reported as irregular or spiculated in 47% and 41% of cases respectively. The most frequent contrast enhancement pattern was rim enhancement, present in 76% of cases. Enhancing internal septations were seen in 8 patients. The other 10 patients in this study showed non-mass enhancement. A study by Uematsu, et al. [17] reviewed 59 patients and demonstrated that findings of a mass lesion, rim enhancement pattern, smooth mass margins, and persistent enhancement kinetics were associated with TNBC [17]. Of these findings, Teifke, et al. [18] suggest that rim enhancement was the most accurate MR finding for determining ER status. Although there is a strong association between unifocal lesions and TNBC [17], multifocality has been reported in the literature in 21% of cases [19]. TNBC tend to be larger in size on MRI than other subtypes, with an average tumor size of 4.1 + 2.7 cm [19]. Prominent skin enhancement was also a frequent finding in this study, suggesting invasion of the dermal lymphatics [19]. High intratumoral T2 signal intensity, which is also associated with TNBC [13,17,19-21], has been shown to be strongly associated with intratumoral necrosis [20]. Osman, et al. demonstrated a 90% correlation of high T2 signal on MRI with internal necrosis on pathologic examination [20]. This is clinically significant, as internal necrosis is related to poor clinical outcomes and aggressive tumor biology [22]. The presence of necrosis has been associated with reduced relapse free-survival as well as increased mortality in both node-positive and node-negative patients [22,23].

Treatment

In TNBC, there is no dominant molecular factor supporting tumor growth [3]. Patients with TNBC thus lack the targeted therapy options available to those with hormone and HER2-receptor positive tumors [3,24]. As such, chemotherapy is the mainstay for the systemic treatment of TNBC [24]. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is currently the standard treatment for patients with larger tumors (> 2.0 cm) and locally advanced disease [25]. Neoadjuvant treatment offers several distinct surgical and oncological advantages. From a surgical perspective, neoadjuvant treatment can reduce tumor size, allowing patients to become candidates for surgical resection when they were previously ineligible [25]. It also can convert some patients from mastectomy to breast conservation therapy [25] and improve cosmetic results in patients who were already lumpectomy candidates [26]. A systematic review by Mieog, et al. of patients with operable breast cancer demonstrated that patients undergoing neoadjuvant treatment had a lower mastectomy rate than those receiving surgery before adjuvant therapy, with a relative risk of 0.71 [27]. Neoadjuvant therapy can also convert patients from axillary lymph node dissection to sentinel lymph node biopsy [28-30], reducing associated morbidity [26]. From an oncology standpoint, neoadjuvant therapy allows for an in vivo assessment of treatment response while the primary tumor remains intact [25]. Ineffective therapies can be discontinued to avoid the associated toxicities [25], allowing the pre-operative treatment period to be used to investigate novel therapies or treatment combinations [25].
The use of MRI to assess treatment response also necessitates a pre-treatment MRI. TNBC can be classified into several breast cancer phenotypes on pre-treatment MRI which been shown to correlate with response to neoadjuvant therapy [44,45]. A study performed by Mukhtar, et al. [44] as part of the I-SPY 1 trial divided tumors into 5 such categories, ranging from a well-defined, unicentric mass to an infiltrative and diffuse tumor (described as “septal spreading”). The primary endpoint in this trial was clinically meaningful tumor reduction (CMTR), which was defined as a tumor > 4 cm in size on pre-neoadjuvant therapy MRI which was reduced to < 4 cm on surgical pathology [44]. Higher rates of CMTR were seen in patients with well-defined tumors, the majority of which were TNBC. In this study, TNBC was also correlated with higher rates of CMTR, independent of tumor phenotype [44]. Well-defined tumors also showed an improved correlation between post-therapy MRI and surgical pathology as compared to the infiltrative, diffuse phenotype [44]; this finding has been supported by other authors [46]. MRI has also been shown to have the greatest accuracy in TNBC as well as HER2 positive tumors [46].

As stated above, one of the goals of neoadjuvant chemotherapy is to reduce the tumor volume and allow for a less extensive surgical resection. Thus, a challenging aspect of surgical planning is determining the amount of breast tissue to be removed and defining the extent of residual tumor. In patients who are or may become candidates for breast conservation therapy, it is imperative to accurately localize the primary tumor; especially in patients expected to have pCR [26]. This is routinely accomplished by placing a radiopaque marker inside the tumor under imaging guidance [47]. Sites of proven tumor pre-treatment are surgically excised to verify treatment response and ensure negative margins, even if no longer evident on post-treatment imaging [48]. Another factor which affects the extent of resection is the pattern of tumor shrinkage [49]. In cases of partial response, three patterns of tumor shrinkage have been described: a solitary residual tumor, patchlike residual tumor (Figure 4), or a main residual tumor with satellite lesions [49]. Of these types, the patchlike lesion is most associated with local recurrence following breast conservation therapy [50], as there is a higher chance of leaving residual tumor cells with less extensive resections [49]. Surgical margins need to be carefully assessed intraoperatively, as the resection of additional tissue may be required for positive margins or patchlike residual tumor [26].

**Prognosis**

There is significant variation in the rates of local and distant recurrence among the different breast cancer subtypes, with TNBC reported to have an increased risk of recurrence [51-54] as compared to other breast cancer subtypes. Dent, et al. [55] demonstrated a higher rate of distant recurrence with TNBC, with a recurrence rate of 33.9% as compared to 20.4% with other subtypes. A study by Bae, et al. [54] examined 398 women with early stage (stage I or II) TNBC and found several factors associated with local recurrence; these include a family history of breast cancer, lymphovascular invasion, mammographically dense breasts, and the lack of preoperative breast MRI. This study also showed that differences in tumor size and lymph node status, which are important prognostic factors for other breast cancer subtypes, were not associated with significant differences in the recurrence rate of TNBC [54]. The pattern of recurrence is also different for TNBC, with high rates of recurrence seen up to 5 years after diagnosis, followed by a sharp decline in recurrence risk after that time [54,56]. In contrast, the risk of recurrence for other breast cancer subtypes is steady and continues for up to 17 years after diagnosis [54-56]. Accordingly, Dent, et al. [55] found a shorter mean time to local recurrence of 2.8 years in TNBC patients as compared to 4.2 years with other subtypes.

The increased recurrence rates with TNBC make proper surgical management of these patients extremely important. As such, understanding the role of pre-operative MRI in these patients is essential. This is especially true in patients who do not undergo neoadjuvant therapy, as these patients would not necessarily have a pre-operative MR study.

The NCI and ACRIN Alliance A011104/ACRIN 6694 trial [57] is attempting to determine the utility of MRI
in patients at high risk for recurrence, including patients with TNBC (in addition to patients with HER2 amplified tumors). This is a multi-center, randomized controlled trial comparing local recurrence rates after breast conservation therapy in patients who undergo pre-operative staging with mammography alone (control) versus mammography and MRI. This study will test the hypothesis that increased rates of multifocality and/or multicentricity account for the higher reported rates of local recurrence in patients with TNBC. If local recurrence rates are higher in the control arm than the MRI arm, then the occult multifocal/multicentric disease detected by MRI is clinically relevant. If local recurrence rates are not higher in the control arm, however, then the occult disease detected by MRI is not clinically significant and may be treated by adjuvant radiation and systemic therapy. The results of this trial will have significant implications for the role of pre-operative MRI in TNBC patients.

The long term prognosis of patient’s with TNBC is overall poorer than those with non-TNBC [33]. A study of 1,118 breast cancer patients (including 255 with TNBC) at M.D. Anderson Cancer Center demonstrated decreased progression-free and overall survival rates at 3 years for patients with TNBC as compared to those with other breast cancer subtypes [33]; survival rates were similar after 3 years [33]. Multiple factors account for the poorer prognosis in TNBC. TNBC is more likely to have visceral and lung metastases, while non-TNBC more often experience metastatic disease in the bone and skin [33,58,59]. TNBC patients are at an increased risk for brain metastases [31,60,61] and TNBC also has a significantly higher nuclear grade [31]. Non-TNBC patients have access to targeted treatments (endocrine therapy, trastuzumab) in addition to chemotherapy, while chemotherapy is essentially the only systemic therapy for TNBC [3]. The prognosis of TNBC is thus largely influenced by response to chemotherapy, with TNBC and non-TNBC patients that achieve pCR having similar survival [33]. Fortunately, TNBC patients are significantly more likely to achieve pCR [33] as compared to those with other breast cancer subtypes.

Conclusions

TNBC is an aggressive subtype of breast cancer which can demonstrate benign yet particular features on mammography and ultrasound. MRI is the most sensitive imaging test for detection, with TNBC demonstrating more suspicious features on this modality. Chemotherapy is the mainstay of treatment for TNBC, with the majority of patients receiving neoadjuvant treatment. MRI has a proven role in predicting response to chemotherapy based on malignancy phenotype as well as monitoring early treatment response. It also helps with surgical planning after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and the assessment of extent of residual disease. Predicting response to therapy and pCR is of utmost importance as TNBC patients who achieve pCR after neoadjuvant treatment have improved survival over those with residual disease. Thus, it is important to remember that imaging can supplement the clinical picture, adding vital information pertinent to the diagnosis, treatment and prognosis in this subset of patients.
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