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Abstract

Introduction: Hepatitis B infection is endemic in sub-Saharan Africa, where transmission predominantly occurs in infants and children by perinatal and horizontal routes. The risk of chronic infection peaks when infection is acquired early.

Materials and methods: Records of Hepatitis B surface and envelope antigen results in Federal Teaching Hospital, Gombe between May 2000 and May 2015 were retrieved and analyzed.

Results: Individuals tested for Hepatitis B surface antigen totaled 22862. Children between 0-18 years were 2487 (10.9%). Among children tested, males accounted for 1307 (52.6%) and females 1180 (47.4%). Infants contributed 57 (2.3%), 1-4 year old children 282 (11.3%); 5-9 year old children 519 (20.9%) and adolescents 1629 (65.5%). HBsAg sero-positivity was 17.7% (441/2487) among children tested. Sero-positivity was (3.5%), (2/57), 11.3% (32/282), 17.3% (90/519) and 19.5% (317/1629) in infants, children ages 1-4years, 5-9year old children and adolescents respectively.

HBsAg sero-positivity was 17.7% (441/2487) among children tested. Sero-positivity was (3.5%), (2/57), 11.3% (32/282), 17.3% (90/519) and 19.5% (317/1629) in infants, children ages 1-4years, 5-9year old children and adolescents respectively.

2.6% (1/38) and 5.3% (1/18) of male and female infants respectively were HBsAg positive. Among children aged 1-4years, 13% (23/177) of males and 8.6% (9/105 of females were HBsAg seropositive; 15.3% (47/307) and 20.3% (43/212) of male and female 5-9 year old children respectively were HBsAg positive. 14.7% (124/844) of adolescent females had Hepatitis B surface antigen. Adolescent males demonstrated the highest sero-positivity rate 193/785 (24.6%).

54.6% (241/441) of children who demonstrated Hepatitis B surface antigen were tested for carriage of the Hepatitis B envelope antigen. Males accounted for 152 (63.1%) and females 89 (36.9%).

41.1% (99/241) of children tested had HBe antigen. Of these, males accounted for 69 (69.7%). 1/99 (1.0%) of them were infants; 9 (9.1%) 1-4years; 21 (21.2%) 5-9 years and 68 (68.7%) adolescents.

50% (1/2) of infants; 44.4% (8/18) children aged 1-4 years, 43.8% (21/48) of 5-9 year old children and 39.3% (68/173) adolescents had dual carriage of HBsAg and HBeAg.

Conclusion: Hepatitis B surface antigen is high among adolescent males. Infants and young children have the highest carriage rate of both surface and envelop antigen. Universal screening for HBV in pregnancy, neonatal HBV vaccinations and immunoglobulin prophylaxis need urgent implementation and strengthening in Nigeria and indeed in Sub-Sahara Africa.

Introduction

Hepatitis B infection is endemic in sub-Saharan Africa, where transmission predominantly occurs in infants and children by perinatal and horizontal routes [1,2]. The risk of chronic infection peaks when infection is ac-
quired early. 1 Most of the burden of disease from Hepatitis B Virus infection comes from infections acquired before the age of 5 years. Therefore prevention of HBV infection focuses on children under 5 years of age. The United Nations selected the cumulative incidence of chronic HBV infection at 5 years of age as an indicator of the Sustainable Development Goal target for “combating hepatitis” [1,2].

Immunization is the most effective measure to prevent the transmission of HBV [1,2]. In 2014, the World Health Organization (WHO) reaffirmed the need for hepatitis B vaccines to become an integral part of national immunization schedules [2]. WHO recommends a birth dose within 24 hours of birth to prevent perinatal and early horizontal HBV transmission [1,2]. The birth dose should be followed by 2 or 3 doses of monovalent or multivalent hepatitis B vaccines [1,2].

The widespread use of hepatitis B vaccine in infants has considerably reduced the incidence of new chronic HBV infections [2].

In Nigeria, Hepatitis B vaccination was started in 2004 and currently 3 doses are administered at birth, 6 weeks and at 14 weeks [3]. The most recent estimate of Hepatitis B vaccination coverage at birth with valid evidence is 11% [4] and 49% for 3rd dose of Hepatitis B vaccine in the country (Hep3) [5] Demand, supply and systemic side barriers have impacted negatively on vaccination in Nigeria [3-5].

Low level of community participation, inadequate cold chain infrastructure and poor funding for routine immunization amongst other factors remain barriers to improving immunization coverage in Nigeria [3-5].

Worldwide, the majority of persons with CHB were infected at birth or in early childhood [2,3].

In the absence of prophylaxis, a large proportion of viraemic mothers, especially those who are seropositive for HBeAg, transmit the infection to their [1,4] infants at the time of, or shortly after birth [1]. The risk of perinatal infection is also increased if the mother has acute hepatitis B in the second or third trimester of pregnancy or within two months of delivery. Although, HBV can infect the fetus in utero, this appears to be uncommon and is generally associated with antepartum haemorrhage and placental tears. The risk of developing chronic infection is 90% following perinatal infection (up to 6 months of age) but decreases to 20-60% between the ages of 6 months and 5 years [1,2].

Infection with HBV may present as either hepatitis B “e-antigen” (HBeAg) positive or -negative disease [6,7]. Hepatitis B “e-antigen” is seen in many HBeAg-positive children and young adults, particularly among those infected at birth. Children who are HBeAg positive are highly infectious carriers whereas, those who are HBeAg negative, usually anti HBe positive, have lower risk of transmission [6,7]. Being a non-structural protein produced by actively replicating HBV, HBeAg is detectable early in the serological course after exposure to HBV, usually after the first months of infection. Clinically, HBeAg is an index of viral replication, infectivity, inflammation, severity of disease and response to antiviral therapy [6]. HBeAg is encoded by the precore/core Open Reading Frames and the basic core promoter of HBV controls the transcription of the preC/C region [6].

While the earliest [8-15], recent [16-22] and most recent [23-34] reports of Hepatitis B infection in children in Nigeria showed varying prevalence of 0.5% to 44.7% and indeed contributed significantly to the epidemiology of Hepatitis B infection in children in the country, these differences in prevalence could be related to age group, population, geographic location, sample size, behavioral cultural factors and laboratory methods used [24]. However, a pooled analysis of HBV prevalence in children and a National survey of Hepatitis B in the general population in the six geo-political zones of Nigeria showed a prevalence of 11.5% [35] and 11% [36] respectively. The northern zones of Nigeria have higher prevalence rate of Hepatitis B with Taraba state having the highest prevalence of 18% [35].

Most of these studies on HBV prevalence had small sample sizes, have used biomarkers such HBsAg and anti-HBc, but the status of Hepatitis B envelope antigen [33,34] were generally not reported. Hepatitis B envelope epidemiology is crucial and an important marker for perinatal transmission and HBV related disease burden and recently treatment [1,2,6,37].

The objective of this study was to report the dual carriage of Hepatitis B surface and envelope antigen in children in Federal Teaching Hospital Gombe from 2000 to 2015.

Methodology

Study area

Gombe is the capital of Gombe state. It is one of the six states that comprise North East Geopolitical zone in the Nigeria and one of the geopolitical zones with the highest levels of poverty and worse maternal and child health indices [5].

Study setting

This study was conducted in Federal Teaching Hospital Gombe, a 500 bed hospital serving Gombe and neighboring states. The Federal Teaching Hospital, Gombe (FTHG) started providing services in the year 2000 and serves an estimated 20 million people. It has emerged as a Centre for treatment, teaching and research in the sub region with large patient referrals especially in the wake of the daunting security challenges of Boko Haram insurgency confronting the neighbouring states of Borno, Yobe and Adamawa. The FTHG is a regional Centre for sickle cell disease, with accreditation for post graduate training of the West African and National post
graduate colleges in Radiology, Anesthesia, Surgery, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Paediatrics. Internal Medicine has sub specialty training in Cardiology and infectious disease.

**Study population**

All children 0-18 years who presented to the Paediatrics out-patient department and those that were admitted irrespective of their HIV and or Hepatitis C viral status and who had Hepatitis B and/or Hepatitis B envelope antigen test from 2000 to 2015.

**Laboratory methods**

All children were tested using the Hospital standard for Hepatitis B surface antigen test strip. The ACON HBsAg (ACON Laboratories, Incorporated San Diego, California, USA) is a rapid one step test for the qualitative detection of Hepatitis B surface Antigen and Hepatitis B envelope antigen in serum or plasma. The HBsAg test strip has a relative sensitivity, greater than 99.8% and specificity of 99.7% [38].

The ACON HBeAg (ACON Laboratories, Incorporated San Diego, California, USA). The HBeAg EIA Test Kit is a one-step enzyme immunoassay for the qualitative detection of Hepatitis B Envelope Antigen (HBeAg) in human serum or plasma.

**Principle**

The ACON HBsAg One Step Test is a qualitative, solid phase, two site sandwich immunoassay for the detection of Hepatitis B surface Antigen (HBsAg) and envelope antigen in serum or plasma [38]. The membrane is pre-coated with anti-HBsAg antibodies on the test line region and anti-mouse antibodies on the control region. During testing the serum or plasma samples reacts with dye conjugate (mouse anti-HBsAg antibody-colloidal gold conjugate) which has pre-coated in the test strip. The mixture migrated upwards on the membrane chromatographically by capillary action to react with anti-HBsAg antibodies on the membrane and generates a red line. Presence of this red line indicates a positive result, while its absence indicates a negative result. Regardless of the presence of HBsAg as the mixtures continues to migrate across the membrane to the immobilized goat anti-mouse region, a red line at the control region will always appear. The presence of this red line serves as verification for sufficient sample volume and proper flow as a control for the reagents [38].

**HBeAg principle**

HBeAg in the sample first bound to anti-HBe antibodies coated on the micro-particles, and then the bound HBeAg was detected upon addition of anti-HBsAg antibodies conjugated to alkaline phosphatase. The HBeAg levels were evaluated using ratios of sample to cut-off values (S/CO), and HBeAg positivity was suggested if the S/CO was ≥ 1.0. Verification of test results was carried out by randomly retesting 5% of the specimens using the same kit [38].

**Data Collection**

Records of Hepatitis B surface and envelope antigen results of children in Federal Teaching Hospital, Gombe

---

**Figure 1:** Children 0-18 years tested for Hepatitis B in Federal teaching Hospital Gombe 2000-2015.
The prevalence of Hepatitis B increased with age (Figure 2) with adolescents having the highest prevalence of 19.5%. Adolescents’ males have higher prevalence of Hepatitis B than their female counterparts. The difference in age and sex were statistically significant (Table 2).

Hepatitis B e antigen was tested for in 241/441 (54.6%) of children who tested positive for Hepatitis B surface antigen and 99/241 (41.1%) of children tested were HBe antigen positive (Table 3). Figure 3 shows the age distribution of dual infection with Hepatitis B surface and e antigens.

Table 4 showed that males were as twice as likely to be e antigen positive as females but this was not statistically significant. ($X^2 = 3.560, P = 0.059$). Age group 1-4 demonstrated the highest prevalence of e antigen. ($X^2 = 1.843 P = 0.606$). The sex distribution of e antigen positivity was highest in males for age group 10-18 and this was statistically significant. ($X^2 = 9251, P < 0.002$).

**Discussion**

This study reports the highest number of children between 2000 and 2015 were retrieved. Variables analysed included age, sex, year, month, and hepatitis B surface and envelope antigen test results.

**Data Analysis**

All records were imputed into Epi info Version 3.2 and analysed.

**Ethical Clearance**

Clearance for this study was received from the Research and Ethical committee of the Federal Teaching Hospital Gombe.

**Results**

During the study period from 2000 - 2015, 97942 and 17942 children were seen in Paediatric outpatient and admitted respectively. 2487 children were tested for Hepatitis B surface antigen; males accounted for 1307 (52.6%) and females 1180 (47.4%) (Figure 1).

Of these children tested, 441/2487 (17.7%) were positive for Hepatitis B surface antigen ($X^2 = 10.788 \ p < 0.001$), with a male to female ratio of 1.5:1 (Table 1).

### Table 1: Age and Sex distribution of children 0-18 years screened for Hepatitis B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number screened for Hepatitis B</td>
<td>1307 (52.6)</td>
<td>1180 (47.4)</td>
<td>2487 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age distribution of children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screened for Hepatitis B Age group (Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>38 (2.9)</td>
<td>19 (1.6)</td>
<td>57 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>177 (13.5)</td>
<td>105 (8.9)</td>
<td>282 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>307 (23.5)</td>
<td>212 (18.0)</td>
<td>519 (20.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-18</td>
<td>785 (60.0)</td>
<td>844 (71.5)</td>
<td>1629 (65.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2:** Children 0-18 years tested for and positive for Hepatitis B surface antigen.
### Table 2: Age and Sex distribution of test results of children 0-18 years screened for HBsAg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>HBsAg Positive (%)</th>
<th>HBsAg Negative (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>263 (20.1)</td>
<td>1044 (79.9)</td>
<td>10.788</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>178 (15.1)</td>
<td>1002 (84.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>441 (17.7)</td>
<td>2046 (82.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Age group (Years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (Years)</th>
<th>HBsAg Positive (%)</th>
<th>HBsAg Negative (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
<td>55 (96.5)</td>
<td>19.172</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>32 (11.3)</td>
<td>250 (88.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>90 (17.3)</td>
<td>429 (82.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-18</td>
<td>317 (19.5)</td>
<td>1312 (80.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Age group and Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group and Sex</th>
<th>HBsAg Positive (%)</th>
<th>HBsAg Negative (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1 (2.6)</td>
<td>37 (97.4)</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1 (5.3)</td>
<td>18 (94.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1- 4 yrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23 (13.0)</td>
<td>154 (87.0)</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 (8.2)</td>
<td>96 (91.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9 yrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47 (15.3)</td>
<td>260 (84.7)</td>
<td>2.164</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>43 (20.3)</td>
<td>169 (79.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-18 yrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>193 (24.6)</td>
<td>592 (75.4)</td>
<td>25.403</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>124 (14.7)</td>
<td>720 (85.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Age and Sex distribution of children 0-18 years HBsAg + and screened for HbeAg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>e Antigen Tested (%)</th>
<th>e Antigen Not tested (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>152 (57.8)</td>
<td>111 (42.2)</td>
<td>2.602</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>89 (50.0)</td>
<td>89 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>241 (54.6)</td>
<td>200 (45.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>e Antigen Tested (%)</th>
<th>e Antigen Not tested (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>1.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>18 (56.2)</td>
<td>14 (43.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>48 (53.3)</td>
<td>42 (46.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-18</td>
<td>173 (54.6)</td>
<td>144 (45.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Age and Sex distribution of children 0-18 years HBsAg + and HBeAg positive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>e Antigen Positive (%)</th>
<th>e Antigen Negative (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>69 (45.7)</td>
<td>82 (54.3)</td>
<td>3.560</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30 (33.3)</td>
<td>60 (66.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>e Antigen Positive (%)</th>
<th>e Antigen Negative (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>1 (50.0)</td>
<td>1 (50.0)</td>
<td>1.024</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>9 (50.0)</td>
<td>9 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>21 (43.8)</td>
<td>27 (56.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-18</td>
<td>68 (39.3)</td>
<td>105 (60.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sex and Age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex and Age group</th>
<th>HBsAg and e Positive (%)</th>
<th>HBsAg and e Negative (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1 (50.0)</td>
<td>1 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1- 4 yrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
screened for both Hepatitis b and e antigens from a health facility not only in Nigeria but the sub region. The prevalence of Hepatitis B surface antigen of 17.7% in children 0-18years in this study is higher than pooled prevalence of a meta-analysis in children of 11.5% in the country [36]. This was also higher than the national survey prevalence of 11% in the general population conducted in 2013 by the FMOH [35]. The higher prevalence in our report might be related to the population studied and indeed contributed by the very low levels of childhood immunization, endemic poverty and poorest maternal and child indices of any region in Nigeria [3-5].

Nigeria like of the whole of Africa is hyper endemic for Hepatitis B with the prevalence of HBsAg > 8% in the general population [1,2,35].

A pooled prevalence of Hepatitis B Virus of 12.3% in general population was reported in Ghana but most studies were concentrated in adult populations giving little information about HBV prevalence in children [39]. Similarly, systematic review and meta-analysis in Cameroon, of hepatitis B virus infection from 2000-2016 showed a pooled prevalence of 11.2% in adults with no seroprevalence data in children [40].

There was paucity of Hepatitis B prevalence data in children in Systematic review and meta- analysis in Burkina Faso 1996 to 2017 [41] and Malawi 1990-2018 [42]. However a prevalence of Hepatitis of 4.2% in newborns and 2.2% in HIV infected children were reported in Burkina Faso [41] and Malawi [42] respectively.

Recent reports of Hepatitis B in different children populations reported prevalence of 12.3% in Ghana [43], 13.7% in Sierra Leone [44], 20.5% in Cameroon [45] and 4% in Cote Divoire [46]. However, these reports had small sample sizes, limited age and sex disaggregation and duration of study but significant contributions to Hepatitis B epidemiology in the sub-region.

A hospital based study reported HBsAg prevalence of 1.9% in 33 of 1783 fully immunized children in Central African Republic, Cameroon and Senegal with country prevalence of 5.1%, 0.7% and 0.2% respectively. These were fully immunized children with Hepatitis B vaccine [47]. In Cote d Ivore, the prevalence of Hepatitis B surface antigen was found to be 13.4% in children less than 20 years of age who were volunteers or patients subject [48].

While our study subjects comprised of volunteers, patients and children who may or may not have been immunized, the prevalence of Hepatitis B in our study...
is higher than these reports [24,43-46]. This high prevalence in our study would no doubt be related to low level of routine immunization coverage of 23% and specifically of Hepatitis B vaccine in Gombe State and Nigeria respectively [44]. In addition some of our study subjects were recruited before the introduction of Hepatitis B Vaccine in Nigeria in 2004. A significantly high prevalence of hepatitis B in proportion of children below five years in our study suggests perinatal transmission due to lack of immunization or early childhood transmission [1,2].

Country level infant immunization programme for Hepatitis B was first implemented in Africa in Gambia in 1990 and demonstrated a reduced HBV burden in children, with HBsAg prevalence decreasing from 10.0% to 0.6% [49,50]. Non-nationally representative data from Cameroon, Nigeria, Senegal and Ghana showed a decline in post vaccine Hepatitis B prevalence rate [51]. Hepatitis B vaccination in children has had significant impact on infection and associated liver disease [52,53].

The prevalence of HBsAg in children increased with increasing age with adolescents having the highest infection in our study. This is similar to earlier [13-15] and recent reports [26,32-34] in Nigeria. HBsAg infection increased with age in children with peak in 10-19 year age group in the Nigeria national survey [54]. Most recent reports of Hepatitis B in children from Ghana [43], Sierra Leone [44], Cameroon [45], and Cote Divoire [46] showed age related increase in prevalence.

Most hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections in Sub-Saharan African infants and children are acquired through horizontal transmission [55,56]. At least 50% of infections in children cannot be accounted for by mother-to-infant transmission and, in many endemic regions, prior to the introduction of neonatal vaccination, the prevalence peaked in children 7-14 years of age [1,2].

In especially endemic areas, Children infected perinatally with Hepatitis B can be a source of horizontal infection for siblings and playmates [57,58]. Intra-familial horizontal transmission of Hepatitis B can occur during sharing of; bath towels, chewing gum or candies, toothbrushes and biting of finger nails in conjunction with scratching the backs of carriers of HBsAg [56].

Common risk factors for hepatitis B infection in the Nigeria National survey were uvulectomy, presence of tribal marks, sharing of sharp objects, and circumcision, which is performed traditionally on many Nigerian males [54].

Adolescents infected during childhood or in this period with HBV are at risk of infecting others especially through sexual transmission as it is a dominant route of horizontal transmission during adolescence [59,60].

In our study, more male children were infected with HBV than females and this was statistically significant. This is similar to most finding in Nigerian studies [14,17,19,22,24] and reports from Burkina Fasso [41], Ghana [43], Sierra Leone [44] Cameroon [45], and Togo [61].

Plasma clearance rate for HBsAg in males is slower compared to females and females were said to have elaborated more antibodies to HBsAg than males. Differences in tribal and sexual behaviours between males and females may account for higher percentage of HBsAg positive males with HBV chronic infection [62-64]. An Altered Pattern of Liver Apolipoprotein A-I Isoforms is implicated in male chronic Hepatitis B progression [65].

Shimakawa Y, et al. reports that sero-clearance of HBsAg is uncommon, occurs at a rate of about 1% per year [66,67]. Earlier age at HBV infection is associated with an increased risk of Hepatocellular carcinoma through persistence of viral replication [66,67].

The prevalence of Hepatitis B envelope antigen among Hepatitis B infected children in this study was 41.7%. This may be a gross underestimation of the prevalence of HBeAg amongst HBsAg carrier children as less half of the children were screened for the envelope antigen.

Before the availability of The HBV Combo Rapid Test Cassette Kit for the rapid diagnosis of HBsAg and other markers of hepatitis B (HBeAg; AbHBe, AbHBs and AbHbc), the test kits for hepatitis b and envelope antigen were separate in our environment. It’s probable a combination of factors including cost of envelope antigen test, low awareness on the significance of the test and or inadequate patient counseling [1,2] may have accounted for why only a third of HBV chronic carriers tested for this marker of viral replication, infectivity, inflammation, severity of disease and response to antiviral therapy.

The expression of HBeAg is one of the major factors influencing the frequency and mode of transmission of HBV [6,66]. Indeed maternal HBeAg is a stronger predictor of perinatal transmission than maternal HBsAg [68].

The prevalence of Hepatitis B envelope antigen in children infected with Hepatitis B has largely not been reported in Nigeria. Ndako, et al. in Jos Nigeria, reported an envelope antigen prevalence of 4% among 58 children with hepatitis B. Hepatitis B was found in 25% of these children [33]. A prevalence of Hepatitis B envelope antigen of 27% was reported in Zaria Nigeria by Sani and co-workers amongst 95 children with Hepatitis B disease [34]. In both studies sample sizes were small and there was no age and sex disaggregation. Among other factors, HBeAg expression is influenced by HBV genotypes and sub genotypes [6,66].

Forbi, et al. [23] reported a prevalence of HBe antigen of 72.7% in 22 children < 10-years-old and 42.3% in children 10-20years with Hepatitis B in North central Nigeria. In our study, the prevalence rate of hepatitis
Be antigen in children less than 10 years of age and adolescents were 54% and 39% respectively. These prevalence rates were lower than the report by Forbi, et al., but higher than report by both Ndako, et al. [33] and Sani and coworkers [34] in Nigeria. While these studies [23,34,34] had small sample sizes and limited age ranges of children thereby not the representative estimate of HBeAg prevalence, they provided a glimpse of the burden of HBe antigen in children in the country in the absence of a representative national data. The National Seroprevalence survey of Hepatitis B report in Nigeria in 2016 did not determine HBe antigen status of seropositive individuals [54].

HBeAg estimates are crucial for understanding the epidemiology of HBV and for prioritizing access to treatment for chronic HBV infection especially in the wake of WHO scaling of early diagnosis and treatment [2,6,66].

Ott, et al. [69] in 1990 reported hepatitis B prevalence of 55.6% and 42.2% in females of 0-9 years and 10-19 years respectively in the West Africa sub-region. Our study prevalence rate of 65% in the age group 0-9 is higher than the report by Ott, et al. [69]. In the adolescent females, Hepatitis Be Prevalence of 22% in our study is lower than 42% reported by Ott, et al. Our sample size in this age group was smaller.

These studies [24,34,35] showed that Hepatitis B antigen prevalence is age dependent with higher prevalence in young children.

This age dependent Hepatitis B prevalence was also demonstrated by Ott, et al. in 2005 [69] and showed prevalence of 55.3% in 0-9 years and 41.1% in 10-19 year females. This is similar to our study that showed HBe prevalence to be decreasing with increasing age in females.

While the exact function of HBeAg has not been elucidated, it has been shown to be an immunoregulatory protein, which acts as a tolerogen and an immunogen triggers an interleukin-1 response and regulates toll-like receptor 2 (TLR-2) expression [6].

In Cote d’voire a prevalence of 82.4% of HBe was reported among 34 children co-infected with HIV and Hepatitis B, while this is higher than our overall prevalence, however the sample size was small and had a limited age range [70].

Our overall Hepatitis B e antigen prevalence of 41.7% in children with Hepatitis B surface antigen is lower than the 66.3% reported in children and adolescents in Brazil [71]. In this study, the highest e antigen prevalence of 69.5% was in children 0-4 years thereafter declining to 50% in children 15-18 years of age. No sex disaggregation was reported. This decline is similar to our report; however our HBe antigen prevalence rates were lower across all age groups.

During the natural course of chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, patients with high serum levels of viral DNA and hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) may gradually and spontaneously clear HBeAg and develop antibody to HBeAg. In African countries, HBeAg seroconversion is more frequent, occurring at an annual rate of 14%-16% and half losing it by puberty [6,66,72,73].

In a multicenter paediatric cohort study of 323 children with chronic Hepatitis infection in Canada and USA, Schwartz, et al. [74] reported a hepatitis b e antigen prevalence of 74% with 65% being female. While this prevalence is higher than our finding but similar to the report by Forbi in Nigeria, the implication for mother to child transmission of HBV in our region is significant especially as HBV screening is not routinely conducted at antenatal care, HBV DNA viral load test is generally absent and access to Hepatitis B immunoglobulin or anti-viral HBV therapy for infected individuals are severely limited [75,76]. Though the risk of mother to child transmission in sub Saharan Africa is low 38% compared to Asia, annually 367,250 infants are infected with HBV which is approximately twice the number of children newly infected with HIV in the sub-region [77].

In Africa where the prevalent genotypes are sub genotype A1, genotypes D and E, carriers of these genotypes seroconvert early [6,66,73].

Achieving the WHO regional target for Africa of < 2% HBsAg prevalence in children less than 5 years by 2020 requires leadership commitment that ensures an effective and efficient Routine immunization programme [78].

Conclusion

The prevalence of both HBsAg and HBV e antigen in children in our report is high and in particular the prevalence of HBV e antigen in our study population may have been under estimated. Clinical and economic implications are profound as its epidemiology is crucial in HBV transmission and prioritizing access to treatment especially in Sub Saharan Africa.

Limitation

The limitations of our study are several: we could not determine if HBsAg positivity was new or chronic infection (HBsAg carriage for > 6months). Anti-HBs status could have determined non exposure to HBV requiring preventive HBV vaccine. Not report liver function and liver status including Biopsy and Hepatitis B viral load tests results. Viral load test started in 2018 in our centre and currently beyond the reach of most patients ($72).

Recommendations

Universal HBV testing in Antenatal clinics and neonatal HBV immunoglobulin should be implemented in Nigeria. Neonatal Hepatitis B vaccination and indeed Routine immunization requires urgent strengthening and legislation making immunization in Nigeria mandatory.
must be a top legislative agenda. A prospective nationally representative multicenter collaborative longitudinal study on Viral Hepatitis Epidemiology and treatment is urgently required in Nigeria.
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