Acute Heart Rate Responses to Resistance Exercise at Different Cadences
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Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether movement speed, or cadence, affects the heart rate (HR) response to three sets of 10 repetitions of resistance exercise at slow (6 seconds/repetition) and fast (2 seconds/repetition) cadences.

Methods: The 12 Repetition Maximum (12-RM) load on the angled leg press was determined for 16 moderately trained males (26.8 ± 6.2 yrs, 177.7 ± 6.3 cm, 77.0 ± 10.9 kg). To ensure safe, proper form across all sets, the 12-RM was tested at the slow cadence, and only 10 repetitions/set were performed during trials. Three successive sets of 10 repetitions, with three minutes rest between sets, were completed at each cadence, requiring 60 seconds to complete each slow set, 20 seconds to complete each fast set. Continuous HR was assessed by electrocardiogram from rest throughout exercise, and to recovery. Repeated-Measures (RM) ANOVA was applied, evaluating HR at each cadence for the exercises performed. Bonferroni adjustment resulted in a statistical significance of \( p < 0.017 \).

Results: Peak HR (slow) was 112.8 ± 12.2 (set 1), 115.6 ± 13.9 (set 2) and 119.1 ± 17.1 (set 3) bpm. Peak HR (fast) was 118.0 ± 10.5 (set 1), 116.0 ± 10.1 (set 2) and 116.8 ± 10.2 (set 3) bpm. Significant change occurred for the increase in peak HR at the slow cadence only, with the fast cadence showing no increase, as both conditions demonstrate different response patterns.

Conclusion: When three sets of 10 repetitions are performed on the angled leg press at a slow cadence, a significant increase in peak HR occurs for the sets, while the fast cadence does not demonstrate an increase in peak HR values. The is presumed in part due to influences of the pressor response, and the longer work duration for the slow cadence of 60 seconds required per set of 10 repetitions, as compared with the shorter work duration of 20 seconds for 10 repetitions per set at the fast cadence.

Practical applications: Acute variability of the peak HR response to resistance exercise is possible through program modification. For a traditional resistance exercise arrangement such as three sets of 10 repetitions, it is possible to limit elevations in peak HR across sets by using short duration sets with fast repetitions, which may benefit populations for whom cardiovascular workload may be of concern.
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Introduction

Acute hemodynamic responses to exercise have long been investigated primarily under cardiorespiratory (aerobic) exercise conditions, owing to reasons such as its multiple conferred benefits, nature and format, and overall popularity [1]. Nevertheless, resistance exercise is also widely performed among the general public, and special populations, to enhance health status, physical conditioning, and human performance, presenting its own unique conditions and physiological demands of interest, including those on the cardiovascular system [2,3].

As a cardiovascular variable of fundamental significance, acute Heart Rate (HR) measures are seen to increase from rest to work at the onset of resistance exercise [4,5]. Traditional resistance exercise includes a combination of static and dynamic contractions, varying in proportion to the relative effort needed to lift and lower a load [4]. A static contraction of sufficient magnitude to overcome resistance of a load must oc-
cur, subsequent to which dynamic, concentric action maintains movement, followed by eccentric action to return the load to its starting point [6].

As such, hemodynamic changes having to do with resistance exercise reflect specific elements of its performance. Acute HR changes will be manifested as a function of many variables, including load, movement velocity (or cadence), rest interval length, amount of muscle mass involved, exercise duration, age, and training status [4,5,7,8]. These factors present widely varying physiological demands, causing HR to respond accordingly to the performance of repetitions and sets.

Since HR can be readily assessed via wired or wireless Electrocardiogram (ECG) units, or wireless heart rate monitors worn around the torso or a limb, acute HR responses can be monitored across a wide variety of resistance exercise modalities, and as a part of many resistance training studies. When movement velocity has been a variable of interest, investigations have often contrasted traditional, isotonic resistance exercise (performed as one to three sets of 10 repetitions at approximately 65-70% of the 1-Repetition Maximum, or 1RM) with methods such as circuit weight training or super slow training [4,9-11].

Typically, circuit weight training occurs at a rapid pace with little to no rest in between sets performed for time (e.g. 60 seconds) on several multiple and/or single-joint exercises sequenced into a circuit, often repeated one or more times in exercise bouts lasting over 10 minutes [4,10]. In contrast, super slow training might involve one or more sets of eight repetitions of several multiple and/or single-joint exercises, using a 10 second concentric/5 second eccentric action movement velocity (15 seconds/repetition), resulting in 120 seconds/set for eight repetitions [9,11].

By their nature and durations then, both circuit and super slow training systems necessitate the use of relatively light loads, equivalent to approximately 25-50% of the 1RM, being performed for 60 seconds or longer per set. These loads are comparatively lower than those used in most traditional resistance exercise training programs, where, for example, one set of 10 repetitions may last 30 seconds or less, with movement velocity usually disregarded. These distinctions among training systems have been proposed in previous studies as responsible for producing differing effects on acute HR responses, with slower velocities typically associated with attainment of lower peak HR values in a set or session than higher ones, irrespective of load assignments [9-11].

The aim of this study was to evaluate how cadence affects the acute HR response during traditional resistance exercise, as further understanding about acute hemodynamic responses to resistance exercise can benefit specific program design goals, and applications within apparently healthy and special populations. To facilitate this objective, sets, repetitions, and load were held constant across different cadences while HR was measured continuously, and three sets of 10 repetitions were performed bilaterally on the angled leg press exercise, at both a slow cadence and a fast cadence, for a total of six sets.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Eighteen healthy male volunteers aged 18-39 were recruited for participation in the investigation. Each participant provided informed consent in accordance with parameters approved by the institutional review board and completed a health history questionnaire prior to testing. Participants were excluded based on age criteria, present or past hypertension, significant cardiac or orthopedic disease, history of other disease that would significantly affect exercise testing, current or previous tobacco smoking, and unfamiliarity with resistance exercise. Subjects had to be at least moderately trained (exercising > 2.5 hrs/week, with at least 0.5 hrs/week moderate to intense exercise for the last three months) and had to be familiar with resistance exercise, but without any current or past competitive resistance training or weight lifting experience. Based upon the inclusion criteria, as well as eventual post-testing results, viable data from 16 subjects was processed and analyzed in total. Descriptive characteristics for all subjects are listed in Table 1.

**Experimental approach**

Due to the fact that the magnitude of hemodynamic changes to resistance exercise is influenced by specific exercise selection and modality, a multiple-joint exercise using a large absolute amount of muscle mass was expected to elicit the greatest distinction among acute HR responses [5,7,8]. In order to achieve this, the angled leg press exercise was selected to be performed in bilateral fashion, and as a machine with a fixed movement pattern, also enabled consistent technique and performance across subjects.

The acute HR response was measured continuously during each set of 10 repetitions, performed for three consecutive sets of 10 repetitions. Sets were performed both at a slow and at a fast cadence. Using a metronome for audible cues, the slow cadence was maintained at three seconds per concentric and eccentric phase (six seconds/repetition, or 10 repetitions/minute, totaling 60 seconds), and the fast cadence at one second per repetition.

**Table 1:** Descriptive data of subjects (N = 16).

| Age (yrs) | 26.8 ± 6.2 |
| Height (cm) | 177.7 ± 6.3 |
| Weight (kg) | 77.0 ± 10.9 |

Values are means ± standard deviations.
concentric and eccentric phase (two seconds/repetition, or 30 repetitions/minute, totaling 60 seconds). A 12-Repetition Maximum (12-RM) load was used during all trials to ensure the feasibility and safety of performing the six total sets of 10 repetitions during testing.

**Preliminary visit**

A preliminary visit to the laboratory was held to familiarize subjects with the experimental protocol and confirm via manual sphygmomanometry that resting values for Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) were below 140 mmHg, and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) values were below 90 mmHg. Subjects were directed to avoid stimulants such as caffeine, alcohol, and vigorous endurance exercise for 24 hours, and resistance exercise for 48 hours prior to the preliminary visit, as well as for the experimental session. Age, height, weight, resting heart rate and blood pressure were recorded. If the blood pressure for any subject was greater than 140/90 mmHg, the subject was asked to remain seated quietly and motionless for 10 minutes before it was re-measured. If the second measurement was not acceptable, the subject was asked to return no sooner than 48 hours for the process to be repeated. If suitable results were not obtained during a second visit, subjects were not allowed to continue in the study.

The preliminary visit was also used to determine the 12-RM load value for the angled leg press. A 43-degree angled leg press (Body Masters, Rayne, LA) with a sled weight of 125 pounds was used in testing, however only weight plate values added on the sled were counted in determining loads, as the sled weight was fixed. Additionally, the 12-RM test was performed at the slow cadence due to its longer duration, as an “overshoot” feature in order to ensure that 10 repetitions could be performed safely for six total sets under both slow and fast cadences.

Once seated in the apparatus, each subject was positioned with the feet centered (both top to bottom and left to right) and placed hip-width on the foot plate of the angled leg press sled. Subjects were directed to maintain pressure evenly through the entire sole of the foot when lifting (pressing) or lowering the sled so that the heel or ball of the foot was not used exclusively. Upon beginning the exercise, subjects were instructed to lower the sled as far as that point at which a 90° bend was created at the knee. Similarly, when pressing the sled, they were asked to achieve a 180° angle at the knee, without locking the knee. Subjects were coached to avoid performing a Valsalva maneuver, being instructed to exhale while pressing the sled, and inhale while lowering it. Repetitions were counted audibly for the subjects during exercise.

Before determination of the 12-RM load, a warm-up set of 15 repetitions on the angled leg press was completed with a load approximately equal to half the subject’s body weight. Subjects were instructed to use a comfortable, self-selected speed during the warm-up exercise set. Subsequent to this set, the load was increased to double that of the warm-up (or more, based upon subject reported information) in an attempt to determine the 12-RM load. At this point, subjects were instructed to follow the metronome-based cadence of three seconds per lifting and lowering movement. If a subject was able to complete 12 repetitions such that the last repetition did not produce momentary muscular failure, the load was increased by approximately 20-40% of body weight during subsequent attempts until the 12-RM load was determined. In cases where additional weight did not permit 12 repetitions, 10-20% of body weight was subtracted from the load and another attempt was made. A three-minute rest period was provided between all attempts. The testing protocol and rest periods used during this session were adapted from protocols reported elsewhere [12].

**Experimental session**

After a minimum of 48 hours but not more than 10 days, subjects returned for the experimental session. The experimental session began with one warm-up set of 15 repetitions using the same load and a self-selected speed, as with the preliminary visit. This was followed by three sets of 10 repetitions with the 12-RM load at both the slow cadence, and at the fast cadence. The order in which the three sets were performed at either cadence (slow then fast, or fast then slow) was alternated with each subject.

Following each of the three sets performed at either cadence during the experimental session, a three-minute rest period was allowed. To ensure consistency in procedures, as well as general safety and recovery, at least 10 minutes between the entire three sets of exercise at either cadence was permitted for reductions in HR, as well as SBP and DBP to within 5 mmHg of resting values. If any blood pressure did not return to within 5 mmHg of its resting value within 45 minutes, subjects were not allowed to continue participation.

Furthermore, flexion and extension movements of the knee were recorded using an electronic goniometer (TSD 180, BIOPAC Systems, Goleta, CA) that was calibrated during the subject set-up period through the use of a manual goniometer. This was performed so that entire repetitions, as well as their concentric and eccentric phases, could be identified easily during subsequent analyses.

**Heart rate assessment**

Continuous, beat-by-beat recording of heart rate values was performed with a typical three lead electrocardiogram (ECG) configuration using leads I, II, III. Analog signals of ECG waveforms were derived through an automated device (Colin Pilot®, Colin Medical Instruments Corporation, San Antonio, Texas), and electronically in-
Results

For analysis of peak heart rates, repeated measures (RM) ANOVA was applied for repetitions and sets for each of the cadences (slow and fast). Additionally, the procedure was applied to peak heart rate for cadence, repetitions, and sets.

In the absence of interaction, main effects were tested using simple main effects analyses. Statistical significance was initially accepted at a level of $p < 0.05$. Bonferroni adjustment resulted in a final statistical significance level of $p < 0.017$ (0.05/3). Statistica software vs. 10.0 (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA) was used in data analysis.

For analysis of peak heart rates, repeated measures (RM) ANOVA was applied for repetitions and sets for each of the cadences (slow and fast). Additionally, the procedure was applied to peak heart rate for cadence, repetitions, and sets.

Table 2: Peak heart rate values by set and cadence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>HR slow (bpm)</th>
<th>HR fast (bpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>72.8 ± 13.1</td>
<td>68.4 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Set 1</td>
<td>112.8 ± 12.2</td>
<td>118.0 ± 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Set 1</td>
<td>81.4 ± 16.3</td>
<td>70.9 ± 12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Set 2</td>
<td>115.6 ± 13.9</td>
<td>116.0 ± 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Set 2</td>
<td>84.4 ± 19.8</td>
<td>74.1 ± 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Set 3</td>
<td>119.1 ± 17.1</td>
<td>116.8 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Set 3</td>
<td>87.0 ± 19.7</td>
<td>75.0 ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviations; ‘Significantly different than Peak Set 1 ($p < 0.017$).

Table 3: Reference data for rest and recovery blood pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manual Sphygmomanometry</th>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>SBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>DBP (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>112.5 ± 7.8</td>
<td>66.3 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Set 1</td>
<td>126.1 ± 11.5</td>
<td>64.4 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Set 2</td>
<td>128.5 ± 10.9</td>
<td>63.5 ± 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Set 3</td>
<td>132.3 ± 10.9</td>
<td>62.6 ± 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>112.5 ± 6.5</td>
<td>63.8 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Set 1</td>
<td>125.0 ± 10.8</td>
<td>67.0 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Set 2</td>
<td>125.8 ± 9.1</td>
<td>68.4 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Set 3</td>
<td>127.9 ± 9.8</td>
<td>69.0 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± standard deviations.

Discussion

The findings of this study are supported by previous observations that cardiovascular demand increases in proportion to exercise intensity and duration. This stems from increased muscle blood flow and active hyperemia during resistance exercise, primarily from direct motor unit and accessory muscle recruitment needed for exercise, and as fatigue occurs, resulting in acute HR elevations [5,13].

A relatively linear increasing trend exists for HR values across repetitions at the slow and fast cadences as shown in Figure 3, which is similar to most cardiovascular exercise bouts of incrementally increasing intensity. In this study, peak HR of any set at either cadence never exceeded 120 bpm. However, another leg press resistance exercise study yielded acute HR values as high as 186 bpm in five repetitions of the leg press when performed with a 5-RM load [14]. Such differences suggest
was observed at the slow, but not the fast cadence. This is presumably due in part to an immediate and exaggerated pressor response resulting from the condition, as well as longer work duration of 60 seconds for the slow

Across three sets, a significant increase in peak HR

Figure 1: Raw data sample for knee joint angle and ECG tracing from a subject.

Figure 2: Trends for peak HR values by cadence.
is seated at ground level with feet placed on the sled above heart level [6,14,16-18]. In the angled leg press, venous return to the heart may be augmented posture and the effect of gravity, possibly creating stroke volume and vasoconstrictive changes as well, which may blunt the extent to which acute HR elevations may occur, as compared with the seated leg press.

Another aspect of exercise performance that can affect HR responses is load assignment and voluntary exertion. In this study, 10 repetitions were performed using a 12-RM load tested at the slow cadence to ensure the safety and feasibility of performing the six to total sets in the experimental session. This load, however, did not induce complete muscular failure at the end of each set, and since the degree to which subjects voluntarily exerted themselves cannot be known, outcomes must be viewed accordingly. As such, it is possible the 10 repetitions performed with the 12-RM load did not produce as high of peak HR values as a heavier (i.e. 10-RM) load might have, and actual momentary muscular failure been achieved.

Finally, while invoking the Valsalva maneuver is known to increase blood pressures, it can influence heart rate as well. In one resistance training study involving breathing technique, Narloch and Brandstater demonstrated that combining the Valsalva maneuver with performance of the leg press using a 5-RM load yielded a maximum blood pressure in one subject of 370/360 mmHg, and HR of 186 bpm, while breathing slowly for the same effort produced a pressure of 220/200 mmHg and HR of 132 bpm [14]. At extreme levels of exertion, it has been reported that the Valsalva maneuver is almost obligatory [16]. Though it was not

Figure 3: Mean values for heart rate by repetition across 3 sets of 10 repetitions.
possible to control, each subject in the present study was instructed how to breathe so as to avoid performing a Valsalva maneuver. While performance of 10 repetitions at a 12-RM load on the angled leg press would not be expected to elicit extreme hemodynamic responses associated with maximal efforts and the Valsalva maneuver, any extent to which it may have had and influence is unclear.

In understanding the role of cadence in this study, it is useful to contrast the traditional resistance exercise model with the forms of circuit weight training, and of super-slow training. In a study by Pichon, et al., subjects completed four exercises of a circuit weight training protocol using two sets of 20 repetitions (at ~47% of 1-RM) for 60 seconds per set, with 30 seconds rest between sets [10]. The same exercises were performed in traditional fashion, using two sets of 10 repetitions (at ~69% of 1-RM) for 30 seconds per set, with 90 seconds rest between sets. Although the relative load used was greater, HR values (averaged throughout exercise and recovery across each condition) achieved during traditional resistance exercise were 120 bpm, as compared with the circuit, at 135 bpm, despite the fact that the resulting cadence was the same under both conditions (three seconds/repetition). It was concluded that the higher result obtained from circuit training was attributed to its longer work and shorter rest periods than that of the traditional method, despite the lower loads used in the circuit.

In two investigations comparing the super slow model of resistance exercise with traditional resistance exercise, higher HR values were observed in the traditional models [9,11]. An investigation by Hunter, et al. used 10 exercises in a super slow training method of one set of 8 repetitions, at a cadence of 10 seconds/concentric action, five seconds/eccentric action, and the same exercises in a traditional method of two sets of 8 repetitions, at a cadence of one second for each concentric and eccentric action [9]. Total exercise time, inclusive of rest periods, was held constant at 29 minutes/session for both methods, with subjects using 25% of 1-RM values during super slow training, and 65% of 1-RM values during traditional training. During the work period of super slow sessions, averaged HR values were found to be 113 bpm, while during traditional training they reached 143 bpm.

In a separate investigation comparing super slow and traditional resistance exercise, Wickwire, et al. demonstrated a similar outcome, whereby super slow training yielded lower peak HR values than traditional resistance exercise when comparing three sets each of knee extension and elbow flexion exercises [11]. This super slow method used 40% 1-RM loads, and a cadence of 10 seconds/concentric action and five seconds/eccentric action, while the traditional method relied upon 65% 1-RM loads, and a cadence of two seconds/concentric action and four seconds/eccentric action. Both conditions required repetitions to be performed to failure, with no target value specified. Across both exercises and all three sets, traditional resistance exercise elicited higher peak HR values (no peak above 145 bpm) than did super slow training. These results were attributed to the higher loads and faster cadence of the traditional method, which by its nature also facilitated performance of more repetitions per set than during super slow training.

Across these three studies, the general trend observed in the attainment of higher HR values during various forms of resistance exercise tends to parallel those conditions with a relatively faster cadence when compared with those of a slower cadence, notwithstanding the load assignments used [9-11]. Stated differently, these studies showed that circuit training yielded higher HR values when compared with traditional resistance exercise, and traditional resistance exercise elicited higher HR values when contrasted with super slow training.

This investigation, employing a different - that is, traditional resistance exercise – design than the aforementioned circuit and super slow studies, demonstrates some similarity to those findings, in that the peak HR values for the slow cadence exhibited the trend they did, as compared with the fast cadence. It is almost certain that the longer duration and additive effect of the greater physical effort needed for three successive sets performed under the slow condition creates increased physiological burden on the cardiovascular system. This is also plausible based on the fact that the absolute load used under both conditions was identical, while the total time to perform each set (60 seconds slow vs. 20 seconds fast) differed.

The results of this study likely reflect the physiological responses of common resistance exercise, as three sets of 10 repetitions (with two to six second per repetition cadences) are common parameters in general fitness practice. This assumes use of traditional resistance exercise models, with loads producing failure or fatigue by the end of the set. Furthermore, these results suggest that for a constant repetition target value, a faster cadence, with a shorter set duration, may reduce the extent to which HR is elevated, and minimize cardiovascular strain. This may be of specific benefit to populations such as cardiac patients, those with cardiovascular health risks, or others who must restrict their participation in activities which may otherwise result in sustained hypertensive states.

Additionally, limiting the number of sets of a specific exercise might also reduce the possibility for additive exercise intensity from set to set that substantially elevates HR. Also, diversifying the type (e.g. upper and lower body) or number of muscle groups exercised within an exercise session, often occurring in popular practice,
can help divert blood flow from one region to another, possibly allowing enhanced localized and systemic hemodynamic recovery. For the angled leg press in particular, its user position and effect on cardiovascular dynamics may also contribute to reduced HR values attained during exercise, suggesting the same effect may be similarly experienced in other seated or non-standing exercise positions.

Future opportunities for investigation include the use of repetitions, sets, loads, rest periods, and modalities other than those of this study, along with a greater number and variety of subjects tested. In additional research, conversion of HR values to represent percentage increases, or examining basal vs. peak values, may also reduce naturally occurring variabilities, perhaps making significant changes more visible. In regard to cadence, various resistance training approaches also lend themselves to additional avenues of study. One such example could include assessing acute HR and other hemodynamic responses to the same exercise, but with varying intensities such as 6-, 8-, 10-, 12-, or 15-RM loads. Another approach might involve evaluating such responses to equal (e.g. 1 second concentric phase, 1 second eccentric phase, common in general fitness) and variable (e.g. 3 seconds concentric phase, 5 seconds eccentric phase, as in hypertrophy periodization) repetition cadences, which is not very clearly defined at present. Finally, the multiple possibilities for investigation of exercise arrangements with mixtures of traditional resistance exercise, circuit weight training, super slow training, Olympic lifting, or other combinations of isotonic, isometric, and/or isokinetic exercise might yield new, novel insights to various hemodynamic characteristics and responses.
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