Effects of Multicomponent Training on Functional Fitness and Quality of Life in Older Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Abstract

Purpose: This study aimed to analyze the effects of twelve weeks of multicomponent training on functional fitness and quality of life in elderly women.

Methods: Sixty-two older women were randomized into a multicomponent group (MG: n = 32, 65.28 ± 4.96 years) or a stretching group (SG: n = 32, 64.40 ± 3.68 years). MG performed joint mobility exercises, walking, muscle strength exercises and intermittent activities. SG has performed flexibility exercises with minimal physical effort. In order to verify the functional fitness, the Senior Fitness Test battery was used and the quality of life was verified by means of the WHOQOL BREF questionnaire in the pre and post 12 weeks of training. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) 2 × 2 for repeated measures was used for comparisons between groups for all variables.

Results: At the end of the intervention, MG presented statistically significant improvements in all variables in relation to the initial values. When compared to SG, it promoted statistically significant adaptations in the variables: balance/agility (p = 0.001, +11.92%), lower limb strength (p = 0.001, +20.62%), upper limb strength (0.001, +17.72%), cardiopulmonary capacity (p = 0.021, +6.19%) and quality of life (p = 0.031, +7.95%). However, in posterior chain flexibility and shoulder mobility, no differences were observed between groups (p ≥ 0.05).

Conclusion: This multicomponent protocol is efficient to improve functional fitness and quality of life in physically active elderly women, suggesting its use in physical exercise programs.
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Introduction

The participation in regular physical exercise programs contributes positively to health, quality of life, and independence of the older adult, by stimulating conditioning capacities, such as muscle power, balance, cardiorespiratory endurance and other variables related to human functionality [1,2]. According to the positioning of the American College of Sports Medicine [3], physical exercise programs for older adults should contemplate endurance exercises, to improve aspects of cardiovascular function; balance exercises to reduce the risk of falls and flexibility exercises to maintain adequate levels of mobility in the joints commonly used in daily life. In the last years, it has been noted that health promotion programs from physical exercises focus on protocols that aim mainly for multisystem adaptations [4].

In this context, considerable attention has been given to Multicomponent Training (MCT) methodologies with emphasis on the stimulation of different physical qualities (balance, flexibility, cardiopulmonary capacity, muscle strength and power) in the same session and movements, directed for the daily living of the older adult [5], which involves the simulation of daily activities performed in a resistance training facility, contemplating multistage and multi-articular movements. The exercises also are performed at maximum concentric speed and associated with stabilization move-
A sample size estimation was conducted with G*Power (version 3.0.10, University Kiel, Germany). Expecting an average increase in functional fitness of 10% [8], adopting a median effect size of 0.20, an α level of 0.05, and a power (1 - β) of 0.80, it would be necessary to include at least 50 volunteers (25 participants for each group).

The compliance of the participants was 95% (~34 sessions) for the MG and 85% (~31 sessions) for SG. The MG lost one participant due to medical reasons, and two by training frequency lower than 85%. Five participants of the SG were excluded from the analysis, because they did not complete all the steps of the study.

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study after a detailed description of study procedures was provided. This investigation was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local University Ethics Committee (number 1.021.732/CAAE: 42022915.9.0000.5546) and by the Brazilian Registry of Clinical Trials (RBR-5T9HP5).

**Anthropometry**

Body mass was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated electronic scale (Lider®, P150C, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil), and height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with a stadiometer (Sanny®, ES2030, Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil). Participants wore light clothes and no shoes. Body mass index was calculated as body mass in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. Waist and hip circumferences were measured according to World Health Organization protocol [9].

**Quality of life**

The WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire was used to measure quality of life [10]. Briefly, it is a self-report measure with 2 general questions (on quality of life and satisfaction with health) and 24 specific questions as-
sessing four quality of life domains: Physical (7 items), Psychological (6 items), Social Relationships (3 items), and Environmental (8 items).

**Functional fitness**

In the attempt to measure functional fitness, we employed Senior Fitness Test battery, validated by Rikli and Jones [11], with tests that evaluate physical fitness components (flexibility, agility/dynamic balance, muscular strength of lower and upper limbs, and cardiorespiratory capacity) to perform normal daily activities in a safe and independent way, without undue fatigue.

During the measures, the examiners were blinded to the intervention groups. During the tests, verbal encouragement was given to all participants to motivate them to perform each test action as fast as possible.

**Intervention program**

**Multicomponent group (MG):** After pre-familiarization tests, the MG underwent two weeks of familiarization, in which 50% of the planned intensity for the first session was applied. Afterwards, the participants performed a MCT during 12 weeks, three times a week, non-consecutively, composed by exercises in movement patterns commonly used in daily activities, at maximum concentric speed, under time constraints.

Each session was divided into four blocks: 1: 5 min of

| Table 1: General description of multicomponent training sessions. |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| **Type**              | BLOCK 1 | BLOCK 2 |
|                       | Joint mobility | Walking |
| **Exercises**         | Rotations of the wrist, head, shoulder, hip and ankle; lateral inclination of the trunk; and hip flexion/extension. | Continuous walk. |
| **Volume**            | Total time: 5 min | Total time: 15 min |
|                       | 1 set of 8 s for each joint. | OMINI-GSE: 6 a 7. |
| **Type**              | BLOCK 3 | BLOCK 4 |
|                       | Muscle strength | Cardiometabolic (HIIT) |
| **Exercises**         | 1- Smith machine; 2- Seated row; 3- Leg press 45°; 4- Vertical press; 5- Leg curl; 6- Seated lat pulldown; 7- Standing calf rise; 8- Stiff. | 1. Interval running; 2. Rope training; 3. Aerobics. |
| **Volume**            | Total time: 25 min | Total time: 5 min |
|                       | 8 exercises, 2 sets of 8-10 repetitions | OMINI-GSE: 8-9. |
|                       | OMINI-GSE: 7-8. | |

**Figure 2:** Main exercises performed during multicomponent training sessions.
mobility for the main joints required in daily activities; 2: 15 min of continuous walking; 3: 25 min of exercises for lower, upper and middle zone limbs, organized in circuit and focusing on movement patterns essential for daily activities (pulling, pushing and squatting); and 4: 5 min of intermittent activities (Table 1).

In block 3 all participants trained in pairs, and were supervised by experienced physical education professionals, whose responsibility was to maintain established protocols and ensure safety. The OMNI-GSE scale [12] was used to control the intensity, in which the volunteers were instructed to choose a single score that reflected their degree of fatigue, during and after each training block. The addition of external load during training period occurred from a score 6 (easy) on the OMNI-GSE scale, and with the number of repetitions performed until spontaneous fatigue or inability to sustain exercise with quality, for maintenance of 8 to 10 repetitions with 1 s cadence in the concentric phase and 2 s in the eccentric phase. The training density was 1/1 (30 s of work and 30 s of recovery and transition between the stations) (Figure 2).

In the HIIT exercises (Block 4), collective activities with an executable motor complexity were used, following a density of 30 s of work per 30 s of transition between the following stations:

1) **Interval running**: In a space of 30 meters, groups of five participants were separated. Of these participants, three formed a column behind a cone and the other two formed another column at a distance of 20 meters. Working time consisted of walking this distance with maximum speed and recovery allowed while the other participants in the group performed the sprints. The total volume was 8-12 sprints per individual.

2) **Classic aerobics gymnastics**: In this activity, the density was controlled by musical bpm (high intensity: 150 - 165 bpm and low intensity of 130-145 bpm). It was used rhythmic movements of executable complexity, with displacements in the recovery phase, and jumps or rapid movements in the effort phase.

3) **Rope training**: Activity consisted of groups with equal numbers of participants at the ends of the training rope. The groups were oriented to pull at maximal strength. To achieve the maximal effort in the estimated time, two coaches were positioned by the middle of the rope to equalize the forces between the groups. The total volume was eight efforts per individual.

**Stretching group (SG)**: The SG performed a stretching training program, 3 times a week, during 12 weeks. The training session lasted 50 min, and was composed by 18 stretching exercises, executed in a submaximal range of motion, 2 sets of 20 s each exercise, for the whole body (neck, shoulders, trunk, lower and upper body major muscle groups), in addition to relaxation activities with no physical efforts [13].

**Statistical analysis**

The data were expressed as means standard deviations and percentage change. Normality was checked by the Shapiro-Wilk’s test and Levene’s test was used to analyze the homogeneity of variances. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) 2 × 2 for repeated measures was used for comparisons between groups for all variables. When F-ratio was significant, Bonferroni’s post hoc test was employed to identify the mean differences. The effect size (ES) was calculated as post-training mean minus pre-training mean divided by pooled standard deviation of pre-training and post-training [14]. Reproducibility was assessed by ICC. For all analyses, a P < 0.05 was accepted as statistical significant. The data were stored and analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 22.0.

**Results**

Table 2 depicts general characteristics of both groups at pre-training. There were no significant differences between groups for the variables.

According to Table 3, the MG presented significant changes in all variables after the intervention, with greater scores than SG regarding balance/agility (p = 0.001), upper and lower limb muscle strength (p = 0.001) cardiorespiratory fitness (p = 0.021), and quality of life (p = 0.031), with ES ranging from moderate to large. However, no differences were found between groups for lower limb flexibility (sit-and-reach test) and shoulder mobility (back scratch) (p ≥ 0.05).

**Discussion**

The main results of the present study were the positive effects on all functional fitness components from a multicomponent protocol with focus on muscle

Table 2: General characteristics of the groups at baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>MG (n = 32)</th>
<th>SG (n = 30)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65.28 ± 4.96</td>
<td>64.40 ± 3.68</td>
<td>0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>66.86 ± 13.89</td>
<td>62.75 ± 11.53</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>151.34 ± 6.30</td>
<td>154.14 ± 5.72</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.13 ± 5.48</td>
<td>26.40 ± 4.66</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR (WC/HC)</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WC = waist circumference, HC = hip circumference, WHR = waist-hip ratio.
power in actions commonly used in daily activities, where MG showed in 12 weeks increases of 11.92% in agility/dynamic balance, 26.62% in lower limb muscle strength, 17.72% in upper limb muscle strength, 6.19% in cardiorespiratory capacity and 7.95% in quality of life when compared to SG. In fact, in physically active older adults, programs that stimulate the different components of physical fitness into functional actions seem to be the most effective intervention for improving general physical fitness and preventing disabilities [5,15].

The investigation of Freiberger, et al. [16] with a training program focusing on strength, balance and endurance, which is similar to ours, showed significant changes on agility/dynamic balance, mobility, muscle strength, and walking speed. However, it is important to mention that the protocol of the present study was more efficient in the physical performance, since there was an increase of activities of articular mobility and intermittent of high intensity to the exercises of muscle strength and dynamic balance.

Regarding dynamic agility/balance, the augments on MG can be attributed to its relationship with muscle power [17], whose stimulus was focused in the present intervention. Exercises performed at maximum concentric velocity contribute to improved neuromuscular performance by reducing antagonist co-activation and increased activation of type II fibers [2], Thus, Bassey, et al. [18] identified positive and significant correlations ($r = 0.65-0.88$) of lower limb power with measures of functional performance (sit and stand up, climb stairs and walk) in nonagenarians, indicating muscular power as a variable that deserves attention in exercise programs for the older adult.

The adaptations provided by MG in muscle strength can be justified by adaptations commonly observed from resistance training with weights, such as increased recruitment of motor units, improved intra- and intermuscle coordination, reduction in the number of non-contractile tissues, and improved energy availability [19,20]. With an intervention similar to that of the present study, Cadore, et al. [7] found significant increases in muscle power, maximal dynamic and isometric strength, accompanied by significant changes in the quadriceps total cross-sectional area with low fat infiltration and high muscle density after 12 weeks of multicomponent training in the elderly.

Regarding cardiorespiratory fitness and walking ability performance, significant changes on MG may be derived from mobility exercises, especially for the ankle and hip joints [21], in addition to the metabolic characteristics of walking, of resistance training in a circuit format [22] and intermittent high-intensity activities [23], promoting changes in muscle elasticity and in the mechanisms responsible for the transport and use of oxygen. By suggesting some of the key adaptive responses to combined aerobic and resistance exercise protocols, three times a week, also at an intensity of approximately 80% of 1RM, Frontera, et al. [24] observed improvement in VO2 max accompanied by a 15% increase in the amount of capillaries per fiber and 38% increase in the activity of oxidative enzymes.

In the present study, the protocols applied were equally efficient in improving flexibility, and this adaptation may be due to the mobility exercises performed in the first block of the interventions and the execution of multi-articular exercises in large amplitudes in the MG and by the specificity of the training in the GA [25]. Corroborating our findings, Correia, et al. [26] stated that strength training is efficient in decreasing joint stiffness and muscle elasticity in the elderly, regardless of the protocol of exercise applied, suggesting as main mechanisms the reduction of the rate of muscular spindle firing and decrease of non-contractile tissues.

Improvement in quality of life can be considered as a global result of participation in systematic exercise programs aimed at increasing muscle strength in functional tasks, involving aspects such as lifestyle change, improvement of health levels, physical well-being and psychological reduction, disease reduction, and improved social interaction [27]. These results are consistent with the findings of Whitehurst, et al. [28] who when assess-

### Table 3: Functional fitness and quality of life pre and post intervention in older women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Multicomponent group (n = 32)</th>
<th>Stretching group (n = 30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit-and-reach (cm)</td>
<td>3.35 ± 7.38</td>
<td>6.15 ± 7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back scratch (cm)</td>
<td>-5.47 ± 6.72$^a$</td>
<td>-3.70 ± 6.48$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time up go (s)</td>
<td>4.84 ± 0.57</td>
<td>4.36 ± 0.44$^x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit and stand (repetitions)</td>
<td>17.50 ± 3.55</td>
<td>20.18 ± 4.48$^x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow flexion (repetitions)</td>
<td>21.23 ± 3.86</td>
<td>24.18 ± 4.03$^x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six-minute walk (m)</td>
<td>558.00 ± 53.29</td>
<td>581.14 ± 48.82$^f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life (points)</td>
<td>101.34 ± 11.24</td>
<td>107.34 ± 12.50$^x$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ P < 0.05 vs. pre, $^b$ P < 0.05 vs. Stretching group, ES = effect size, Δ% = Percent change.
ing quality of life through the Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) and observed increases in their functioning and physical vitality as a consequence of improved functional capacity after the multicomponent exercise circuit.

Currently it is evident that the changes provided by the MCT in the neuromotor control were reflected in the improvement of the functional capacities, repercussion in the improvement of the quality of life [29]. Corroborating also with this information, Villareal, et al. [30] observed a 21% increase in general physical performance and consequent 24% improvement in quality of life after six months of combined training in obese elderly, also showing the multisystem benefits of similar proposals to the present investigation.

The present investigation focused on analyzing adaptive responses to a training protocol with particularities not yet scientifically tested and, although it has provided important information on the benefits of MCT in functional fitness in physically active older women, some limitations need to be addressed: o intervention period was quiet short, our sample was composed only by older women, which does not allow the extrapolation of results (i.e. to older men and sedentary), not to mention lack of measures of body composition components.

Conclusion

Multicomponent training is effective in improving physical fitness and quality of life in physically active older women. The present research shows that a physical training program designed to stimulate the various systems that promote health benefits for the older adult, should focus on improving the components of physical fitness, in movement patterns commonly used in the activities of daily living, performed at maximum concentric speed, respecting safety criteria, effectiveness and functionality.
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