Severe Musculoskeletal Injuries due to the use of Cleats Whilst Cycling
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Abstract

In cycling, pedalling symmetry and foot position is paramount for an energy efficient and injury free ride. Traditionally a clipped pedal was used to secure the foot onto the pedal, which was found to be related to a number of overuse knee injuries, leading to the advent of clipless pedals or cleats, which allowed for a more efficient pedal stroke. Whilst there is some research behind cleat design in football, little remains for cycling. Here we present a series of five patients with severe traumatic musculoskeletal injuries due to the use of cleats whilst cycling, with brief supporting biomechanical rationale. The majority of patients in this series sustained lower limb fractures primarily involving the hip joint, with one patient sustaining upper limb and spinal fractures, highlighting the importance of correct set-up.
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Introduction

There are three contact points between a body and a bike during cycling: the handle bars, the saddle and the pedals. This harmony can be disrupted if there is slight anatomical, biomechanical or mechanical mal-alignment. The most integral interaction is the foot to pedal interface with riders averaging up to 5000 pedal revolutions during an hour of cycling. It is this relationship that drives forward motion as the force from the quadriceps and gluteal muscles is transferred to the foot; therefore the design of shoes and pedals is important in achieving this action. Injury usually occurs when the stability of this axis is disrupted [1,2].

A popular way of securing the foot into the pedal is by the use of cleats, which is a device bolted to the sole of a shoe and held in place by a spring loaded mechanism on the pedal. They are a form of clipless pedals that keep the feet securely in place, allowing for a degree of positional variation. Cleats can either be an external attachment or a metal or plastic protrusion at the sole of the shoe. They act to provide traction on an otherwise smooth surface [2].

Once a cleat is assembled and fixed in position it allows for no movement except for a very small number of degrees in abduction and adduction referred to as float, with free float meaning riders do not have to work against spring loaded resistance [3]. In essence this determines the orientation of the whole limb, from the various joint angles and muscle length that contribute to the overall force and economy of movement during pedalling [3,4]. The case at which one can clip in and out of the pedal is referred to as tension. To disengage the cleat and foot from the pedal, a sideways twisting action is deployed [2].

There are a number of suggested specifications for optimal cleat position. Fore-aff refers to the position that the centre of the cleat is applied to the shoe. With the foot in the shoe, the midpoint between two parallel lines at the level of the base of the first and fifth metatarsal is the fore-aff position, which allows a neutral set-up. Evidence suggests the recommended foot position in the horizontal plane is for the ball of the foot to lie over the pedal axis, thus an anterior foot position, which reduces the risk of stress on the knee ligaments and adds a mechanical advantage to gastrocnemius and soleus [5].

It is very well known that cycling causes a number of overuse knee injuries. However, here we briefly describe five patients who presented with various acute, traumatic injuries all directly resulting from cleat failure. All patients were amateur cyclists who presented following a fall from their bikes where their cleats had failed to release, meaning they were unable to safely dismount in time falling to one side. To our knowledge there is only one case report of a lower limb injury published resulting from cycling and cleat failure [6].

Case series

Case 1

A 54 year old lady, with past history of successfully treated breast carcinoma, presented with a right transcervical neck of femur fracture following her cleat injury. This was successfully managed with cannulated screws with no long term problems on follow-up (Figure 1a and Figure 1b).

Case 2

A 54 year old male, with no past medical history presented with a left greater trochanter fracture following a fall from his bike. This was managed conservatively, with gradual increase in weight bearing, with no long term issues on follow-up (Figure 2).

Case 3

A 49 year old lady sustained a left trimalleolar ankle fracture-dislocation, who underwent open reduction and internal fixation, whilst on holiday. She also suffered a sprained wrist. Unfortunately the fixation did not hold where she presented to our department two weeks post injury requiring revision of this fixation with syndesmotic screws. On removal of the metal work from the revision
the joint was found to be subluxing once again, with the feeling that the syndesmotic soft tissue complex had not healed. This patient subsequently underwent an ankle fusion (Figure 3a, Figure 3b, Figure 3c and Figure 3d).

Case 4

A usually fit and well 68 year old man sustained multiple injuries after falling onto his left hand side from not being to safely clip out of his cleat. X-ray imaging revealed posterior rib fractures (ribs 5-9), a left clavicle fracture and a displaced base of proximal phalanx fracture of the left ring finger. CT scan further revealed a T3 wedge compression fracture, a left scapular fracture with a small left apical pneumothorax, and a moderate left haemothorax. An intercostal drain was inserted for the management of his haemopneumothorax and his other injuries were managed non-operatively. He received physiotherapy on discharge with no adverse outcomes on follow-up (Figure 4a and Figure 4b).

Case 5

A 64 year old male presented with a transverse impacted left neck of femur fracture following cleat failure whilst cycling. He underwent a successful total hip replacement with no post-operative complications. He however, was found to have a new diagnosis of vitamin D deficiency (Figure 5a and Figure 5b).

Discussion

Up to 9.4% of frequent bicycle users may experience a cycling accident [7], 30% of which are due to a collision with another vehicle [8], resulting in more serious injuries with a higher morbidity and mortality [7,9]. In most cases the cause of the accident is loss of control by the cyclist, when this occurs the ability to use the feet to regain control and avoid falling is important [10]. This is made
there is some literature to support cleats as a risk factor for foot and ankle injuries through shoe-surface interaction in football, there is little published evidence in cycling [11-14].

Celts are important in achieving maximal cycling performance. They must be correctly configured according to their angle and position on the foot and pedal to impact tension, where inadequate traction and stability can lead to injury [15]. If a cleat fails to release on falling, the rider loses all control of the fall, where the entire body may rotate around the clipped foot putting huge torsional forces through the lower limb, altering limb orientation and the ability to safely control clipping in and out of the pedal. All patients in our series described an inability of the cleat to release when falling.

Clipped pedal models and those with rigid float have been found to place stresses on the knee resulting in an increased incidence in knee injuries by altering the natural pedalling mechanics [16]. Incorrect fore-aft position may affect the mechanical axis of the lower extremity during cycling, where the effective length of the foot lever arm is increased with cleats positioned too far forward on the sole of the shoe, causing gastrocnemius and soleus to work harder and tire, possible meaning they are less efficient in deploying the sideways twisting action to release from the cleat [2]. Disruption to the anterior foot position in the horizontal plane disrupts the natural anatomical axis, again affecting these muscles which may have led to difficulty in disengaging from the cleat when cyclists have only seconds to react.

Sub-optimal foot inclination through incorrectly angled cleats have also caused such injuries and to our knowledge there are no studies investigating the optimal angle of inclination that would provide the most efficient pedal stroke and safe release [16]. Rotation around the vertical axis may also pose a similar problem and increase the likelihood of injuries if not set at the right level. Cleats offering some toe-inward or toe-outward movement have suggested better force transfer but those not enabling any have led to injuries [4,17]. It could be that a subtle manipulation in this plane would reduce injuries by allowing for more outward swing at the heel to release from the pedal.

Whilst we have briefly addressed the various factors affecting the biomechanical axis that may have affected safe release, another cause of the cleat failing to release is wear and tear. This may result from prolonged use or from direct damage secondary to walking on the cleat attached to the cycling shoe, which is supposed to be worn on the pedal only.

Almost all bicycle injuries in young patients are caused by direct impact [7]. In contrast all lower limb injuries in our series seem to have resulted from rotation of one lower limb around the cleat that was firmly attached to the pedal. The patient with the multiple fractures involving the upper body was unable to disengage from both cleats and thus had no control over his fall resulting in direct trauma rather than the rotational element of one lower limb attached to a cleat seen in the other patients. Reports of intracapsular hip fractures resulting from bicycle injuries are common among patients older than 63 years [18] but in our series the two patients sustaining hip fractures were younger than this age, potentially meaning numbers may rise as the sport grows in popularity risking significant morbidity. In addition, most hip fractures are low impact injuries resulting from falling while getting on or off the bike [19] not from falling with the bike in momentum as in our series, combining rotational and direct trauma. This further supports the importance of incorrect cleat set-up attributed to malrotation of the limb when unable to disengage.

To our knowledge this is the only case series of musculoskeletal trauma resulting from using cleats. All injuries occurred in patients with no underlying bone or joint pathology who then went on to suffer a significant amount of morbidity when unable to disengage from their cleats when loosing balance. Although, we were not able...
to investigate or deduce the cleat position in our patients. Ramos-Ortega et al. suggest that cleat position is unique to each individual and through our discussion it is quite possible this may have been set incorrectly leading to these injuries [3].

It is possible that injuries resulting from cleat failure may be under-reported and as bicycles are becoming a common mode of transportation and likely to increase, it is not unreasonable for an increased understanding behind such injuries. Given it could prove to be a major burden on the medical sector we propose a need for further research with a potential to direct improved cleat design [18].

**Conclusion**

This article highlights the potentially severe musculoskeletal trauma that can result from failure to dismount safely from cleats. Their correct configuration is particularly relevant to professional athletes who undergo considerable revolutions and loads. However, we argue it is just as important in the untrained cyclist and stress the importance of understanding the correct set-up of cleats in recreational cyclists.
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