Bacteremia in Pediatric Gastroenterology Patients with Central Venous Catheters Presenting to the Emergency Department
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Abstract

Objectives: The purpose of this study is to determine if there are clinical and laboratory results that can predict bacteremia in pediatric gastroenterology (GI) patients with central venous catheters (CVCs) presenting to the Emergency Department (ED) with fever.

Methods: Medical records were retrospectively reviewed for gastroenterology patients presenting to a single, Pediatric Emergency Department with fever and a CVC from April 1, 2014 to December 31, 2016. The primary outcome measure is a positive blood culture. Additional variables studied include age, sex, history of prematurity, primary GI diagnosis, type of CVC and when it was inserted, the presence or absence of an enteral feeding tube, the use of total parenteral nutrition (TPN), weight, ED presenting and discharge temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation, the amount of intravenous fluid given, the presence of upper respiratory infection (URI) symptoms such as cough, congestion and rhinorrhea, laboratory values including total white blood cell count, absolute neutrophil count (ANC), platelet count, C-reactive protein (CRP), urinalysis results, and whether the patient was discharged home, admitted to the general ward or admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU). Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics.

Results: One hundred thirty-one ED visits were sampled, and 110 encounters were included in the study. Seventy-five patients were bacteremic (68.2%), and the most common pathogen isolated from blood cultures was coagulase-negative Staphylococci species. Of the variables studied, only total platelet count showed a significant association with bacteremia, with a lower mean platelet count in the bacteremia group.

Conclusion: The incidence of bacteremia in febrile GI patients with CVCs is high. Lower total platelet count is associated with bacteremia in this group. However, platelet count alone should not be used as a predictor of bacteremia. The current practice of admitting these patients to the hospital on empiric antibiotics while awaiting blood culture results and susceptibilities remains prudent.

Introduction

The presence of a central venous catheter (CVC) is an independent risk factor for central line associated blood stream infection (CLABSI) in all pediatric patients. Previous studies have shown the incidence of CLABSI in febrile pediatric gastroenterology (GI) patients, and in particular pediatric patients with intestinal failure, to be as high as 47-69% [1-3]. This is much higher than in other pediatric patient populations with CVCs, such as the pediatric oncology population, in which the incidence of bacteremia is only as high as 39% in both neutropenic and non-neutropenic patients [4].

Pediatric GI patients require CVCs for various reasons, however, most commonly this is due to the need for parenteral nutrition in the setting of intestinal failure. Intestinal failure (IF) is defined as the“reduction of gut function below the minimum necessary for the absorption of macronutrients and/or water and electrolytes, such that intravenous supplementation is required to maintain health and/or growth [5]”. In the pediatric population, this is often due to short bowel syndrome,
but there are many other causes. Parenteral nutrition made IF a survivable disease when it was introduced over 50 years ago, but this disease still carries a significant burden, with mortality rates from 25 to 37.5% [6-8]. CLABSI is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in IF patients [9,10]. If patients account for up to 20% of all bloodstream infection hospital admissions, the majority of which have CVCs [11]. The reason for such high rates of bacteremia in IF patients goes beyond skin flora being introduced into the CVC, and is multifactorial [12]. These factors include, bacterial translocation from the intestine, increased mucosal inflammation, impaired or dysregulated immune function, increased gut permeability, an altered microbiome, contamination of the TPN, or direct contamination of the line from stool [13-16].

As a result of these unique risk factors, there is an increased concern for blood stream infections in pediatric GI patients with CVCs who present to the Emergency Department (ED) when febrile. Due to the high incidence of bacteremia and concern for resultant morbidity and mortality, these patients are frequently admitted to the hospital and started on empiric antibiotics while awaiting culture results and sensitivity testing.

As there are few previous studies looking at predictors of bacteremia, we sought to determine if there are clinical and laboratory results associated with bacteremia in pediatric GI patients with a CVC who present to the ED with fever.

Methods

This is a retrospective cohort study conducted at a large, urban, tertiary care children’s hospital. The pediatric ED at this institution sees > 90,000 patient visits each year, and the hospital is a large referral center for pediatric GI patients. The Institutional Review Board at the study hospital approved this study.

An electronic query of patient medical records was performed to identify ED visits between April 1, 2014 and December 31, 2016 with a documented chief complaint of fever and an associated International Classification of Diseases, Ninth or Tenth Edition primary gastroenterology diagnosis. Patients were included if they were 21 years of age, had an indwelling CVC present, had a temperature of ≥ 38 Celsius documented at the time of the ED visit or reported by the caretaker prior to arrival to the ED, and had a blood culture obtained from the CVC upon ED presentation. Exclusion criteria included, any patients transferred to the ED from an outside hospital, patients who had any antibiotics in the 24 hours prior to the ED visit, patients discharged from any hospital in the 48 hours preceding the ED visit, or patients receiving total parenteral nutrition (TPN) for any reason other than a primary GI diagnosis. Some patients had more than one encounter, and each eligible encounter was included in the analysis.

All data were extracted by 2 study personnel, CEB and JS. An electronic data collection form was created using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) [17] hosted by the study institution and all data were entered directly into this data form. Inter-rater reliability was calculated with the first 30 patient records; initial reliability statistic was moderate (Cohen’s Kappa = 0.78) between the 2 retrospective reviewers. Following inter-rater reliability calculations, the 2 reviewers met and discussed discrepancies and came to a consensus on subsequent reviews.

The primary outcome for the analysis was bacteremia which was defined by a microbiology laboratory-confirmed bacterial or fungal species growth from the blood sample taken from the CVC upon presentation to the ED. These were considered to be real and not contaminants if the patient received a treatment course of antibiotics or antifungals. Bacteremia was chosen as the outcome measure instead of the commonly used definition, CLABSI, as we determined that this would capture all patients receiving a treatment course of antibiotics or antifungals at our institution due to a positive blood culture and would not exclude patients for which another source of infection was also possible. Peripheral blood cultures as well as anaerobic were not routinely drawn in the ED during the study time period, and therefore are not included in the analysis. Additionally, the catheter tip was not routinely sent for culture from the ED, so was also not included in the analysis. The following independent variables were determined, a priori, and extracted from the chart for each encounter: age, sex, history of prematurity, primary GI diagnosis, type of CVC and when it was inserted, the presence or absence of an enteral feeding tube, the use of TPN, weight, ED presenting and discharge temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation, the amount of intravenous fluid given, the presence of upper respiratory infection (URI) symptoms such as cough, congestion and rhinorrhea, laboratory values including total white blood cell count, absolute neutrophil count (ANC), platelet count, CRP, urinalysis results, and whether the patient was discharged home, admitted to the general ward or admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU).

A minimum sample size of 98 independent cases was proposed. This achieved a desired study power of 80% to detect an incidence of positive blood culture of 50-65%. These calculations were based upon the incidence of positive blood cultures from previously published literature [1-3]. Continuous variables were summarized as a mean with standard deviation and median with interquartile range (25th percentile - 75th percentile). Frequency and percentages were used to summarize categorical variables. A two-sample t-test was used to compare the mean difference for continuous variables that are normally distributed between negative and positive blood cultures. Quantile regression analysis,
a non-parametric approach, was used to compare the median difference for continuous variables that are not normally distributed between the two comparison groups. For categorical variables, chi-square test was used when the expected cell count was more than 5, and Fishers exact test was used when the expected cell count was less than 5. To assess the association in age in 6 months intervals with bacteremia, logistic regression analysis was used. Statistical significance was set at 0.05 with two-sided analysis throughout. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 15.1 Intercooled (StataCorp College Station, Texas).

**Results**

One hundred thirty-one ED visits were sampled. A total of 110 febrile encounters were included in the study. Of the 21 excluded patients, 13 did not have a central venous catheter present at the time of the encounter, 5 did not have fever or a history of fever, 2 did not have primary GI diagnoses, and 1 patient presented after leaving against medical advice from another institution where he had been receiving antibiotics. Several patients had more than one encounter.

Table 1 describes the characteristics of the patients included in the analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of association/differences</th>
<th>Bacteremia negative (N = 35)</th>
<th>Bacteremia Positive (N = 75)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.462**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11 (31.43%)</td>
<td>29 (38.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24 (68.57%)</td>
<td>46 (61.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>3.70 (± 2.43)</td>
<td>4.24 (± 3.09)</td>
<td>0.3561**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (in kilograms)</td>
<td>15.89 (± 6.36)</td>
<td>16.80 (± 7.60)</td>
<td>0.5415**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short bowel syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.094'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 (8.57%)</td>
<td>1 (1.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32 (91.43%)</td>
<td>74 (98.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal obstruction/pseudoobstruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.537'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>34 (97.14%)</td>
<td>74 (98.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (2.86%)</td>
<td>1 (1.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other GI diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.237'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>33 (94.29%)</td>
<td>74 (98.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 (5.71%)</td>
<td>1 (1.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of prematurity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.189*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11 (31.43%)</td>
<td>15 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24 (68.57%)</td>
<td>60 (80%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of central line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.380'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICC</td>
<td>3 (8.57%)</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broviac®</td>
<td>32 (91.43%)</td>
<td>72 (96%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of CVC lumens</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35 (100%)</td>
<td>74 (98.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPN administered through central line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.537'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 (2.86%)</td>
<td>1 (1.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34 (97.14%)</td>
<td>74 (98.57%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional enteral nutrition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.999'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 (2.86%)</td>
<td>3 (4.00%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>34 (97.14%)</td>
<td>72 (96%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-tube or GJ tube present?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.873'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7 (20%)</td>
<td>16 (21.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>28 (80%)</td>
<td>59 (78.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any prior bacteremia 0.999'
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Yes (33, 94.29%)</th>
<th>No (2, 5.71%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever measured prior to arrival</td>
<td>34 (97.14%)</td>
<td>1 (2.86%)</td>
<td>0.267*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of fever at home</td>
<td>101.45 (± 0.93)</td>
<td>101.86 (± 1.06)</td>
<td>0.0642**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of upper respiratory symptoms</td>
<td>34 (97.14%)</td>
<td>1 (2.86%)</td>
<td>0.665^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage/Initial temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37.96 (± 0.98)</td>
<td>38.17 (± 1.04)</td>
<td>0.3107**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage/Initial heart rate</td>
<td>147 (± 25.26)</td>
<td>152.28 (± 25.87)</td>
<td>0.3175**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage/Initial respiratory rate</td>
<td>27.08 (± 5.30)</td>
<td>29.55 (± 9.71)</td>
<td>0.1634**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage/Initial systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>110.81 (± 11.52)</td>
<td>111.82 (± 17.42)</td>
<td>0.7684**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage/Initial diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>68.48 (± 11.60)</td>
<td>66.39 (± 11.77)</td>
<td>0.4146**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage/Initial pulse oximetry</td>
<td>98.8 (± 1.35)</td>
<td>98.34 (± 2.94)</td>
<td>0.3777**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total white blood cell count</td>
<td>7.3 (3.96 - 10.76)</td>
<td>6.66 (4.69 - 10.8)</td>
<td>0.613~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total absolute neutrophil count</td>
<td>4.09 (2.44 - 6.97)</td>
<td>4.98 (2.9 - 7.35)</td>
<td>0.320~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>3.9 (2 - 6.1)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.9 - 6.6)</td>
<td>0.551^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine dip and/or micro obtained</td>
<td>16 (45.71%)</td>
<td>19 (54.29%)</td>
<td>0.155^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine leukocyte esterase</td>
<td>15 (42.86%)</td>
<td>17 (48.57%)</td>
<td>0.178'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine nitrite</td>
<td>15 (42.86%)</td>
<td>18 (51.43%)</td>
<td>0.074'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine microscopy count</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.074'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fluid bolus given in ED (milliliters)</td>
<td>340 (0 - 624)</td>
<td>475 (80-800)</td>
<td>0.312~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fluid bolus/weight (kg)</td>
<td>20.08 (0 - 40.12)</td>
<td>29.20 (18.74 - 57.06)</td>
<td>0.137~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposition</td>
<td>35 (100%)</td>
<td>71 (94.67%)</td>
<td>0.305'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Admit to NICU or PICU | 0 (0%) | 4 (5.33%)
--- | --- | ---
Reason for ICU admission | | NA
Vasoactive meds needed | 0 (0%) | 3 (4%)
Other | 0 (0%) | 1 (1.33%)
Discharge temp (°C) | 37.17 (± 0.77) | 37.17 (± 0.69) | 0.9585 **
Discharge heart rate | 126.09 (± 20.02) | 129.05 (± 21.34) | 0.4901 **
Discharge respiratory rate | 25.89 (± 5.41) | 27.75 (± 8.04) | 0.2166 **
Discharge systolic blood pressure | 103.20 (± 9.36) | 101.14 (± 12.85) | 0.3978 **
Discharge diastolic blood pressure | 66.83 (± 11.97) | 61.86 (± 13.59) | 0.0675 **
Discharge pulse oximetry | 99.06 (± 1.20) | 98.30 (± 5.53) | 0.4396 **
Urine culture obtained | | 0.491 ^
No | 20 (57.14%) | 48 (64%)
Yes | 15 (42.86%) | 27 (36%)
Platelets | 186 (117 - 262) | 146 (99 - 196) | 0.043 ~

*: Statistical difference is based on Chi-Square test.
**: The difference in mean between two groups is based on two sample t-test.
~: The difference in median between two groups is based on quantile regression model.
*: Statistical differences are based on Fisher’s exact test.

### Table 2: List of pathogens detected from blood cultures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central blood culture pathogens (N = 75)</th>
<th>N (% of total positive cultures)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus species</td>
<td>29 (38.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>10 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella species</td>
<td>10 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter species</td>
<td>6 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed pathogens</td>
<td>6 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus species</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serratia marcescens</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>2 (2.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>2 (2.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida species</td>
<td>2 (2.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrobacter freundii</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leuconostoc species</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

included in the analysis. A blood culture was positive in 75/110 (68.2%) encounters included in the study. The most common pathogens isolated from blood cultures were Coagulase negative staph species, followed by Klebsiella species and Staphylococcus aureus species. Candida species was detected in 2 blood cultures and there were 6 polymicrobial infections (Table 2). One hundred six patients had an underlying diagnosis of IF. This was most commonly due to short bowel syndrome (SBS) secondary to gastroschisis or necrotizing enterocolitis.

The only statistically significant association with the presence of bacteremia was the total platelet count (p = 0.043), with lower median total platelet count (143, IQR 99-196) in the bacteremia group vs. the non-bacteremia group (186, IQR 117-262).

All patient encounters resulted in admission to the hospital. Four patients (3.6%), all of whom were bacteremic, resulted in admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) from the ED for further management. No patients in the non-bacteremia group required ICU admission. Of the patients requiring ICU admission, 3 also required vasoactive medications i.e., Dopamine.

### Discussion

In this study of children with primary GI diagnoses and CVCs presenting to the ED with fever, the incidence of bacteremia was high, occurring in 68.2% of encounters. This is similar to the incidence reported in previous literature.

With CLABSIs contributing to such a high morbidity and mortality rate, it is helpful to determine which GI patients with a fever and CVC are more likely to be bacteremic. Few previous studies have examined risk fac-
tors for CLABSI in pediatric patients with IF, but there is evidence that elevated absolute neutrophil count, low total white blood cell count, thrombocytopenia, elevated C-reactive protein (CRP), age < 1 year, and temperature ≥ 39°C were associated with higher rates of bacte-

remia [1,2,9,18,19].

Bacteremia was associated with a lower total platelet count in the current study. This is similar to the findings in a recent study by Eisenberg et al which also showed that thrombocytopenia was associated with bacteremia in pediatric IF patients with a CVC presenting to the ED with fever [2]. Thrombocytopenia is common in patients in the setting of bacteremia, sepsis, and septic shock. The mechanisms for this are complex and may be due to altered thrombopoiesis due to inflamma-

tion and endothelial dysfunction and coagulopathy [20]. Thrombocytopenia is also common in patients with intestinal failure associated liver disease. This is likely due to the decreased production of thrombopoietin in the diseased liver and to portal hypertension causing increased splenic consumption [21].

In the study by Eisenberg, et al. they found that in addition to low platelets, height of fever and low total white blood cell counts were also associated with CLABSI. Their findings were similar to previous studies showing association between these variables and serious bacterial infection. However, we did not find the same associations in the current study. This may be due to several factors including patient demographics, study site location, and the higher incidence of bacte-

remia caused by gram-positive organisms in our study. Although these risk factors for bacteremia were identi-

fied, the study still found the incidence of bacteremia to be 25.4% even when all the identified risk factors were absent. Thus, the study authors concluded that these patients could not be risk-stratified into high and low-risk of bacteremia and all IF patients should be treated with empiric broad-spectrum antibiotics. In our study population, that is almost entirely comprised of pa-

tients with an underlying diagnosis of IF, it is difficult to determine if the association of low platelets with the bacteremia group is truly due to the presence of the bacterial infection and not confounded by the presence of possible intestinal failure associated liver disease as the number of patients with IF who also had intestinal failure associated liver disease was not specifically studied. We therefore caution the use of lower total platelet count as a reliable predictor of bacteremia in this pa-

tient population.

Forty percent of bacteremia cases in the current study were due to Coagulase negative staph species. In several studies, gram negative organisms accounted for the majority of bacteremia cases. This high incidence of Coagulase negative Staph may be due to the fact that for all cases in which a Coagulase negative Staph spe-

cies grew from the initial blood culture, these patients were treated with a full course of antibiotics. This was the case even in some instances when the culture result was positive from only one of the CVC lumens. Some of these CVCs may have been colonized with these bacte-

ria, and thus a peripheral culture or repeat culture may not have yielded a positive result. Therefore, the inci-

dence of true bacteremia may be elevated in the cur-

rent study.

Only 4 (3.4%) of patients in the current study required admission to an intensive care unit. Previous studies have cited a higher percentage of patients requiring intensive care management (9-11.5%) [1-3]. Although there was not a statistically significant asso-

ciation between ICU admission and bacteremia in this study, all four patients admitted to an ICU in this study had blood cultures growing an organism meeting the study definition of bacteremia. Each of these patients required extensive fluid resuscitation of more than 60 ml/kg in the ED and three of the patients required va-

soactive medication initiation prior to ICU admission. Additional similarities between these patients included a primary diagnosis of IF, age less than two years, and tachycardia upon arrival to the ED.

Additionally, though not statistically significant, 22.7% percent of patients with bacteremia required ex-

tensive fluid resuscitation of 60 ml/kg or more vs. only 5.7% in the non-bacteremia group. Intravenous fluid ad-

ministration is crucial in the management of sepsis and septic shock. Since fluid was administered at the discretion of the treating physician, there were likely vital sign, physical exam, or laboratory abnormalities in the bacteremia group that triggered the treating physicians to deem this group more ill and in need of more aggres-

sive fluid management. It is possible that this extensive fluid resuscitation may have stabilized some patients, and therefore, obviated the need for ICU admission.

Limitations

There are several limitations to this study. Given the retrospective nature of the study, it is possible that we may have missed some eligible encounters of pediatric GI patients presenting to the ED. Some variables were missing from some of the encounters and thus were not included in the analysis. Although there was adequate agreement between the study personnel extracting data, there was not complete agreement between the parties and therefore there may have been some discrep-

ancies in the data that was extracted.

Laboratory studies were ordered at the discretion of the treating physician and not as part of a protocol. Therefore, not all of the studied laboratory data were obtained on each patient making the association with laboratory values and bacteremia difficult to interpret. During the time of the study, peripheral blood cultures and anaerobic blood cultures were not routinely or-

dered at our institution and therefore not included in
the study. This may have resulted in an over or under-
estimation of the number of true cases of bacteremia. Similarly, the amount of normal saline given to each
patient was also at the discretion of the treating physi-
cian which allowed for some interpretation. The current
study also did not look at age adjusted vital signs. While
we did not find any statistically significant association
between vital signs and bacteremia, it is possible that if
vital signs were adjusted for age there may have been
an association.

The outcome measure we chose for this study, bac-
teremia, included all patients with a positive blood
culture who received a treatment course of antibiot-
ics. This does not specifically exclude patients with the
possibility of another source of infection. We chose to
define the outcome this way in order to reflect the clin-
ical practice at our institution. There were three cases
of bacteremia in this study in which the patient also
had a positive urine culture. It is possible that in these
cases, the patient’s true source of infection may have
been the urine and the positive blood culture may have
been a contaminant. However, each of these cases met
the study definition of bacteremia and was therefore,
included in the analysis.

Our study showed only a statistically significant as-
sociation between platelet count and bacteremia. One
possible reason for this is that the study is powered to
detect a 50-65% incidence of bacteremia, however, the
actual incidence was higher. Therefore, more subjects
may have been needed in order to detect a significant
difference with regard to other variables.

Lastly, although difficult to determine its influence on
bacteremia, TPN duration was not evaluated, nor was the
length of remaining bowel determined for study subjects.

Conclusion

Pediatric GI patients with CVCs have a high incidence
of CLABSIs in the setting of fever. The current study
identified only platelet count as being associated with
the presence of bacteremia. While the platelet count
may be low in a patient in the setting of serious bacte-
rial infection, there are other factors that may contrib-
te to thrombocytopenia in the pediatric GI population.
Therefore, thrombocytopenia alone cannot be used to
reliably predict which patients may be bacteremic. While
there were fewer patients in the current study re-
quiring ICU admission than in previous studies, the high
incidence of bacteremia is sufficient evidence to con-
tinue the current practice of admitting these patients on
empiric antibiotics while awaiting culture and sensitivity
results. A multi-center, prospective study would help to
further understand this clinically important topic.
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