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#### Abstract

Ab initio calculations were carried out to analyze the interaction between one molecule of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ molecules at the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ computational level. $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ could act as a hydrogen bond donor through its bridged hydrogens $\left(H_{b}\right)$; while, the $B-B$ can act as a hydrogen bond acceptor. Thus, the interaction of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ with the mentioned molecules resulted in formation of $\mathrm{H}_{b} \ldots$. X and/or $B-B \ldots H$ hydrogen bond complexes; whereas, $\mathrm{H}_{t}$ atoms of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ were ineffective to form $H_{t} \ldots H$ dihydrogen bond complexes with the amine molecules. Results showed that the B-B...H interactions were stronger than $H_{b} \ldots X$ counterparts. The obtained structures were analyzed by the natural bond orbital (NBO) and Atoms in Molecules (AIMs) methodologies.
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## Introduction

Borane complexes are extensively studied and have even been the subject of Nobel Prize by Brown [1]. Many scientific data exist that have shown that boron is an essential microelement in animal cells. With the knowledge that borate linkages function in cell-to-cell adhesion, it has been hypothesised that boronates target structural glycoproteins located along the cytoskeletonplasma membrane-cell wall assembly. On the other hand, boron-carrier molecules can be used as a therapeutic mean to fight cancers [2,3]. Also, they have been the subject of proton affinity experiments in chemical ionization mass spectrometry. Among non-covalent interactions which have been known in boron chemistry, both dihydrogen and hydrogen bonding patterns are particularly significant [4-9].
$\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, designated as diborane (4), is probably the best known electron-deficient analogue of ethylene [10-13]. $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ bears 10 valence electrons for chemical bonding. There are two standard two electron terminal B-H bonds, thus accounting for a total of four electrons. This leaves a total of six electrons to share between the two bridging H and the two B atoms. Consequently, there are two $3 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e}$ curved 'banana' $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bridging bonds. According to the above illustrations, $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ has two types of hydrogen atoms: terminal $\left(\mathrm{H}_{t}-B\right)$ and bridging $\left(B-H_{b}-B\right)$ ones, which differ in nature and characteristics. The bridging hydrogens of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ participate in the electron deficient 'three-center,
two-electron bonds'; thus, they bear enough partial positive charge to act as hydrogen bond donor (HBD) to form $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}} \ldots \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O})$ hydrogen bonds with electron donating molecules [6,7,13]. On the other hand, recent studies showed that the B-B bond also could act as HBA in the interactions of borane clusters with HBD species to form H...B-B hydrogen bonds [6,13].

From a fundamental point of view, the present work aims to extend the knowledge of the intrinsic activity of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond of diborane as a hydrogen bond acceptor or hydrogen bond donor towards other molecules. For this purpose, we investigated the interaction of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3-\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=0-3)$ derivatives through theoretical calculations.

## Computational Methods

Calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 system of codes [14]. The geometries of the isolated $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3-\mathrm{n}}$ molecules as well as their complexes were fully optimized at the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ computational level. Harmonic vibrational frequency calculations confirmed the structures as minimal and enabled the evaluation of zero point energy (ZPE). The counterpoise procedure was used to correct the interaction energy for basis set superposition error [15]. The AIMAll package was used to obtain bond properties and molecular graphs [16]. The natural bond orbitals (NBO) method was implemented within the Gaussian 03 set of codes and was applied to perform NBO analysis [17].

## AIM analysis

The atoms in molecules (AIM) theory [16] is applied here to analyze the characteristics of the H...N and H...B-B interactions through studying the location of Bond Critical Points (BCP) with $(3,-1)$ coordinates in the Hessian matrix fitted to the intermolecular contact area. In table 1, results of the QTAIM topological parameters, namely as electronic density ( $\rho$ ), Laplacian ( $\nabla^{2} \rho$ ) and the ratios between kinetic ( G ) and potential ( U ) electron energy density [18] are obtained. The last ones are embodied into the QTAIM formalism as follows:
$\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{U}$
$\left(\hbar^{2} / 4 \mathrm{~m}\right) \nabla^{2} \rho=2 \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{U}$
This equation indicates which type of interaction may exist
between the two nuclei, wherein, the profile of $\nabla^{2} \rho$ is embodied into the contribution of $G$ and $U$. If the potential electron energy density is outweighed by the kinetic, the positive profile of $\nabla^{2} \rho$ indicates a depletion of charge density along the inter-nuclear connecting Bond Path (BP) [19]. Furthermore, the atomic connection is recognized as close-shell interaction, which is often designated to H -bonds or to other intermolecular weak bound contacts, such as halogen bonds [20], dihydrogen bonds [21-23], and $\pi$-staking [24]. Regarding the

Table 1: Topological parameters for the fully optimized complexes at MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ.

| Complex | H-bond | $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text {BCP }}$ | $\nabla^{2}$ BCP | -G/V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$ | $\mathrm{N} 7 \ldots \mathrm{H} 2$ | 0.0094 | 0.0242 | 1.0629 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{HB}$ | $\mathrm{N} 7 \ldots \mathrm{H} 3$ | 0.0097 | 0.0270 | 1.0931 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}-\mathrm{HB}$ | $\mathrm{H} 3 \ldots \mathrm{~N} 7$ | 0.0118 | 0.0300 | 1.0257 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NMe}_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$ | $\mathrm{H} 3 \ldots \mathrm{~N} 7$ | 0.0126 | 0.0308 | 0.9945 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HM}$ | B5 $\ldots \mathrm{H} 9$ | 0.0153 | 0.0344 | 1.1122 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{HM}$ | $\mathrm{H} 8 \ldots \mathrm{~B} 4$ | 0.0164 | 0.0345 | 1.0735 |

values gathered in table 1 , first it should be highlighted that the positive values of $\nabla^{2} \rho$ ensure that all H -bonds are closed-shell interactions due to the low charge density concentration. The values of -G/U higher than 1, indicate that besides the non-covalent character, the N...H and H...B-B have no tend to be covalent [25].

## Results and Discussion

Interaction of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ molecules gave the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ complexes which have hydrogen bond interactions between $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond as HBA and OH functions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ as HBD. Results are demonstrating that later complex has greater stability than the former one.

The association of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3-\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=0-3)$ derivatives led to the formation of the $1: 1$ hydrogen bond complexes which has been denoted as $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NMe}_{3}$, figure 1. In these complexes hydrogen bond interactions has been found between a bridging proton of the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ as a proton donor and nitrogen atom of amine as a proton acceptor $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}} \ldots \mathrm{N}\right)$. According to the data given in table 2, stabilities of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3-\mathrm{n}}$ complexes


Figure 1: Schematic representation of the optimized complexes at MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ computational level. Distances are in $\AA$.
increased with enhancing basicity of amines in the following order: $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NMe}_{3}>\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}>\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}>\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$

The results due to the intermolecular bond lengths are given in the table 3 and figure 1. In the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ complexes, the B1-B4 bond has elongation (0.0015); but, other bonds of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ are shortened (from -0.0009 to -0.0053 ) upon complex formation. Moreover, a 0.0061 lenthening was observed for O-H bond in these complexes.

On the other hand, the $\mathrm{N} . . . \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ distances in the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3-\mathrm{n}}$ complexes are in the range of 2.6196 to $2.4997 \AA$. These distances could be considered as weak bonding interactions between the two components. Comparison of the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}} \ldots \mathrm{N}$ distances showed that the obtaine trend was in agreement with the stability of these complexes.

In $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$, the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecule interacts with a bridging hydrogen atom of the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ molecule. Data given in table 2 showed that bridging $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bonds as well as $\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{4}$ bond were contracted $(-0.0046,-0.0055,-0.0023,-0.0028$ and -0.0024 for B1-H2, B4-H2, B1-

Table 2: The SE ${ }^{\text {uncorrr }}, \mathrm{BSSE}, \triangle Z P E$, and SE ${ }^{\text {corr }}$ (corrected with BSSE and $\triangle Z P E$ ) in kcal. $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ calculated at MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ.

| Complex | SE $^{\text {uncorr }}$ | BSSE | $\Delta$ ZPE | SE $^{\text {corr }}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$ | -2.10 | 0.75 | 0.83 | -0.52 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{HB}$ | -3.15 | 1.15 | 0.80 | -1.20 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}-\mathrm{HB}$ | -3.96 | 1.47 | 0.71 | -1.78 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NMe}_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$ | -4.32 | 1.73 | 0.61 | -1.98 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HM}$ | -4.02 | 0.96 | 1.66 | -1.40 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{HM}$ | -4.53 | 1.16 | 1.08 | -2.29 |

Values of SEuncor were determined as follows: SEnnoor $=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdots \mathrm{Y}\right)-\left[\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right.$ $+\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Y})]$ with $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$; Values of $S E^{\text {coor }}$ were determined as follows: SE $^{\text {coor }}=\mathrm{SE}$.uncor $+\Delta Z \mathrm{ZPE}+\mathrm{BSSE}$.

H3, B4-H3 and B1-B4 bonds, respectively); while, terminal B1-H5 and B4H6 bonds designated a small elongation after complexation.

In the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$, an interaction occurs between the $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ molecule and the bridging $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ atom of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. In this complex, $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{~B} 1-\mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{~B} 4-\mathrm{H} 3$ and $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 4$ bonds showed contraction ( -0.0077 , $-0.0069,-0.0023$, and -0.0015 , respectively); while, terminal bond $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{H} 5$ and the bridging bond B4-H2 were elongated after complex formation.

In $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{HB}$ and in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$, interactions occured between the bridged H 3 atom of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and the amine molecules. In these complexes, B1-H2, B1-H3, B4-H2, B4-H3 and B1B4 bonds were contracted ( -0.0020 to -0.0044 ); while, terminal bonds of B1-H5 and B4-H6 showed small elongation after complexation.

In $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HB}$ and in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{HB}$ complexes, the B1B 4 bond was elongated ( 0.0015 ); but, other bonds of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ were shortenned ( -0.0009 to -0.0053 ) upon complex formation. Also, a $0.0061 \AA$ bond lengthening was observed for O-H bond in these complexes.

The selected vibrational stretching frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ with the corresponding intensities ( $\mathrm{km} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for the studied complexes are listed in table 4. In the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3-\mathrm{n}}$ complexes, the B1-B4 vibrational absorption band is less affected by complex formation, thus their observed shifts are negligible. But, in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HM}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{HM}$ complexes this bond shows $-8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ red shift which is in agreement with its lengthening due to complex formation. In agreement with lengthening of $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{H} 5$ and $\mathrm{B} 4-\mathrm{H} 6$ bonds, their unsymmetric stretching frequencies, which appeared at $2811 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in free $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, are red shifted by 6 and $9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3 \text {-n }}$ complexes. In contrast, unsymmetric stretching frequencies of $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{H} 5$ and B4- H 6 showed $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ blue shift in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$

Table 3: bonds length of free $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and their variation during intermolecular interactions at MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ. Distances are in $\AA$.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NMe}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bond | d | $\Delta \mathrm{d}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{d}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{d}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{d}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{d}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{d}$ |
| B1-H5 | 1.1827 | -0.0009 | -0.0010 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| B4-H6 | 1.1828 | -0.0009 | -0.0009 | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 |
| B1-B4 | 1.4908 | 0.0015 | 0.0015 | -0.0024 | -0.0015 | -0.0020 | -0.0020 |
| B1-H2 | 1.3586 | -0.0033 | -0.0024 | -0.0046 | -0.0077 | -0.0029 | -0.0028 |
| B1-H3 | 1.3587 | -0.0028 | -0.0018 | -0.0023 | -0.0069 | -0.0035 | -0.0040 |
| B4-H2 | 1.3593 | -0.0039 | -0.0041 | -0.0055 | +0.0024 | -0.0036 | -0.0035 |
| B4-H3 | 1.3592 | -0.0033 | -0.0053 | -0.0028 | -0.0028 | -0.0040 | -0.0044 |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ | 0.9658 | 0.0061 | 0.0061 |  |  |  |  |
| N.... H |  | - | - | 2.6196 | 2.6187 | 2.5206 | 2.4997 |
| H...B1 |  | 2.4771 | 2.4701 |  |  |  |  |
| H...B4 |  | 2.4764 | 2.4630 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Unscaled vibrational frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ with corresponding intensities (values given in parenthesis, $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and its complexes at MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ.

| Compound <br> Bond | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ |  | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NMe}_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ | v | $\Delta \mathrm{v}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\Delta \mathrm{v}$ | $v$ | $\Delta \mathrm{v}$ | v | $\Delta \mathrm{v}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{4} \\ & \text { sym- } \mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{4} \\ & \text { usy-B }-\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{4} \\ & \text { us- } \mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~B}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{6} \\ & \text { sym- } \mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~B}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{6} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \ldots \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{H} \ldots . \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} \\ & \text { symH-O-H } \\ & \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1343(3) \\ & 2129(18) \\ & 2136(44) \\ & 2811(36) \\ & 2851(0.2) \\ & \\ & \\ & 3804(4)^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & 3938(67)^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & 3841(34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1345(2) \\ & 2136(27) \\ & 2147(13) \\ & 2805(42) \\ & 2847(0) \\ & 105(20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 7 \\ & 11 \\ & -6 \\ & -4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1342(2) \\ & 2134(34) \\ & 2147(21) \\ & 2805(37) \\ & 2846(0.2) \\ & 110(11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & 5 \\ & 11 \\ & -6 \\ & -5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1343(1) \\ & 2123(13) \\ & 2141(40) \\ & 2803(35) \\ & 2844(0) \\ & 119(8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & -6 \\ & 5 \\ & -8 \\ & -7 \end{aligned}$ | 1342(2) $2120(16)$ $2141(40)$ $2802(34)$ $2843(0)$ $94(1)$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & -9 \\ & 5 \\ & -9 \\ & -8 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ vsym O-H; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ vunsym O-H

Table 5: The NBO analysis of studied complexes at MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ.

| Complexes | donor $\rightarrow$ acceptor | qCT <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> $(\Delta Q)$ | $\mathrm{E}(2)$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$ | $\mathrm{Ip}(\mathrm{N}) \rightarrow \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{~B} 1-\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{B} 4)$ | 0.0039 | 2.15 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{HB}$ | $\mathrm{Ip}(\mathrm{N}) \rightarrow \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{~B} 1-\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{B} 4)$ | 0.0072 | 1.27 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NHMe}_{2}-\mathrm{HB}$ | $\mathrm{Ip}(\mathrm{N}) \rightarrow \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{~B} 1-\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{B} 4)$ | 0.0082 | 2.09 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NMe}_{3}-\mathrm{HB}$ | $\mathrm{Ip}(\mathrm{N}) \rightarrow \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{~B} 1-\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{B} 4)$ | 0.0085 | 2.15 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HM}$ | $\mathrm{bd}(\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 4) \rightarrow \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$ | 0.0060 | 3.85 |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{HM}$ | $\mathrm{bd}(\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 4) \rightarrow \sigma^{*}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$ | 0.0085 | 5.54 |

$E(2)$ in $\mathrm{kcal}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and qCT in electron volt. aqCT refers to the charge transfer between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ molecules with $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.
complexes; which is in line with shortening of the related bonds. The sym-B1-H2-B4 band showed $7,5,15$ and $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ blue shifts in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ complexes, respectively; while, -6 and $-9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ red shifts were observed in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ additives. On the other hand, unsym$\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{B} 4$ band showed 5 to $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ blue shifts in these complexes. Moreover, the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ band in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and in $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ complexes showed -40 and $-127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ red shifts with respect to free $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ molecules, respectively.

## Natural bond orbital analysis

Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was performed for the minima found on the studied $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ complexes. These complex formations are associated with an orbital interaction between the bonding pairs in the electron donor and the antibonding orbital in the electron acceptor. The quantity of charge transfer from donor to the acceptor $(\Delta \mathrm{Q})$ due to the interaction of donor and acceptor orbitals were obtained as $0.0039,0.0072,0.0082,0.0085,0.0060$ and 0.0085 for $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ complexes, respectively. The charge transfers presented above indicated that electron fraction is transferred from HBA to HBD molecule, thus charge transfer is not concentrated on the interacting atoms; but, is mostly dispersed among the molecules. Therefore, interpretation of the bond variations and frequency shifts in the $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ could not be carried out simply.

A useful quantity which might be derived from the results of natural bond orbital analysis is NBO binding energy (E2). The second-order perturbation energy can be taken as an index to judge the strength of the intermolecular bonds. Table 5 lists the quantity of charge transfers from donor to the acceptor qCT and the second-order perturbation energy due to the interaction of donor and acceptor orbitals. E (2) allows quantitative evaluation of the charge transfer involving in the formation of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ complexes. According to the results, the $\mathrm{E}(2)$ value for $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was greater than for $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which confirmed the order obtained for the interaction energies of these complexes. But for amine complexes, some contraversies were seen between the order obtained for their $\mathrm{E}(2)$ and the interaction energies.

## Conclusion

$\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ has two types of terminal $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{B}\right)$ and bridging $\left(\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{B}\right)$ hydrogen atoms which differ in nature and characteristics. The bridging hydrogens of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ participate in the electron deficient 'three-center, two-electron bonds'; thus, they bear enough partial positive charge to act as hydrogen bond donor (HBD) to form $H_{b} \ldots X$ ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ ) hydrogen bonds with electron donating molecules. The present work extended the knowledge of the intrinsic activity of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and B-B bond of diborane as a hydrogen bond acceptor or hydrogen bond donor towards other molecules. For this propose, the interaction of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3-\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=0-3)$ derivatives thorough theoretical calculations are studied in detail.
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