Electrocardiographic Brugada Pattern Unmasked by Febrile Illness
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Abstract

Brugada syndrome is associated with a high risk of sudden cardiac death and some authors suggested the possibility that a febrile state may unmask the Brugada syndrome. We present a case of fever-induced type I electrocardiographic Brugada pattern. This is well known among the cardiologists, but it is necessary to introduce this clinical entity to other specialists, especially among internists and physicians of the emergency.
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Introduction

Brugada syndrome, first described in 1992 as a new clinical entity by Pedro and Josep Brugada [1], is an autosomal dominant disease associated with a high risk of sudden cardiac death in healthy young people [2]. In 2000, some authors suggested the possibility that a febrile state may unmask the Brugada syndrome and in the years thereafter many more examples have been reported [3-12]. This is well known among the cardiologists, but it is necessary to introduce this phenomenon to other specialists, especially among internists and physicians of the emergency.

We present a case of fever-induced type I electrocardiographic (ECG) Brugada pattern.

Case Report

A 39-year-old male came to our Emergency Department with fever, cough and flu symptoms. He had no family history of sudden death, nor experienced any episode of syncope. On admission his body temperature was 38.8°. The cardiovascular and respiratory examinations were normal, the blood sample and the chest x-ray were normal. Electrocardiogram showed ST elevation in lead V1-V2 with right bundle branch block typical of Brugada pattern (Figure 1).

He was admitted in Cardiology ward and there was no evidence of structural heart disease as shown by a normal echocardiogram. The electrophysiological study was normal.

After therapy with paracetamol he showed clinical improvement and was no more febrile. An electrocardiogram with normal temperature demonstrated reversibility of the ECG Brugada pattern (Figure 2).

Discussion

Brugada syndrome is characterized by a typical pattern of electrocardiogram with right bundle branch block and a coved-type ST-segment elevation ≥ 2 mm in the right precordial leads (“type I” ECG Brugada pattern) [2,13]. Types II and III, which are not truly diagnostic of Brugada pattern, are characterized by a “saddle-back” ST-segment elevation, that is ≥ 2 mm in type II and < 1 mm in type III [2,13]. Displacement of the right precordial leads electrodes one or two intercostal spaces above their normal positions may disclose the diagnostic pattern when conventional leads, recorded at the fourth intercostal space, are non-diagnostic or even normal. High right precordial
The term “Brugada syndrome” should be restricted to patients who have diagnostic ECG changes, as well as a history of symptoms. Asymptomatic subjects should be categorized as having an ECG Brugada “pattern” or “sign” [2].

This disease shows an extreme variability in clinical presentation, due to its dynamic nature; there are marked day-to-day changes in ECG pattern and electrocardiogram can show type I, II, and III patterns in a single patient at different times or even be temporarily normal [13].

leads should be recorded whenever standard V1-V3 leads raise the suspicion of Brugada pattern [2,14].

Brugada syndrome should only be established in presence of a type I ECG pattern associated with at least one of the following clinical criteria: Documented ventricular fibrillation or polymorphic ventricular tachycardia, family history of sudden cardiac death at < 45 years of age or type I ECG pattern in other family members, inducible ventricular arrhythmias during electrophysiological study, syncope or nocturnal agonal respiration [2,13].

Figure 1: On arrival electrocardiogram showed right bundle branch block and a “coved-type” ST elevation in lead V1-V2 (type I ECG Brugada pattern).

Figure 2: Reversibility of the ECG Brugada pattern after normalization of temperature.
ECG changes are dynamic, often hidden, and may reveal themselves in the presence of triggers like fever, intoxication (alcohol, cocaine, cannabis), vagal stimulation, electrolyte imbalance, anesthetics (propofol, bupivacaine), psychotropic agents (amitriptyline, lithium), and sodium channel blockers [11,15,16].

Fever-induced Brugada signs is becoming a well known entity. Adler and coll. [17] showed that type 1 ECG Brugada pattern was 20 times more common in the febrile patients than in the afebrile group.

This syndrome is included among the so called channelopathies and some studies have noted that cardiac sodium channel mutations can result in temperature gated channel whose potential for arrhythmogenicity increases with higher temperature [8,18].

An association between fever and sudden death (due to arrhythmias), beyond the Brugada syndrome, has also been recently reported in a patient with a congenital long QT syndrome; during a febrile episode he showed an arrhythmogenic syncope with appearance of “torsade de pointes” secondary to further lengthening of the QT period [19].

So therefore, often prompt and aggressive control of fever is crucial in preventing malignant arrhythmias [20].

Conclusions

There are not clear-cut guidelines on the use of routine electrocardiogram in patient admitted with non-cardiac illnesses, but an electrocardiogram done as a part of routine workup in the Emergency Department could contribute to increase the evidence of Brugada pattern, especially in febrile patients [11].

This phenomenon is well known among the cardiologists, but it necessary to introduce this clinical entity to other specialists, especially among internists and physicians of the emergency.
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