Pre-Hospital Ultrasound: Current Indications and Future Perspectives
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Abstract

The mission of pre-hospital medical service is to provide rapid and high quality life support. The development of specific protocols, the availability of new diagnostic tools have determined a significant improvement of pre-hospital care. The use of ultrasound in the pre-hospital medical service is a new and reliable diagnostic device. Pre-hospital ultrasound must have few and focused indications, in order to match rapidity with effectiveness. The FAST approach is extensively and effectively used worldwide and it is useful for the evaluation of either penetrating or blunt trauma of the chest and the abdomen.

Ultrasound has been introduced in the management of cardiac arrest, as assessed in the international guidelines of cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

This paper revises the most important indications and the future perspectives of pre-hospital ultrasound.
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Introduction

The mission of pre-hospital care is to provide rapid and high quality support and to carry the patients to the hospital while preventing complications. Emergency medicine covers pathologies that belong to many sub-specialties, like surgery, orthopedics, internal medicine, cardiology, nephrology, pediatrics, and obstetrics. The management of these conditions can start before the arrival at the hospital. The reduction of the “door to balloon time” for the treatment of ST elevated myocardial infarction (STEMI) is one of the most important examples [1].

The same advantage can be obtained in many other situations [2,3]. Specific protocols have been validated for the management of diseases in the pre-hospital setting and new, smaller, cheaper and portable medical devices are available that can be located inside the ambulance and used outside of the hospital. These include the electrocardiograph, defibrillator, ventilator and echograph (Figure 1).

A new generation of small, light and hand-held ultrasound appliances have been developed that produce high quality images. They are available to everybody, can be used everywhere and represent a new and interesting challenge, as well as opportunity, in emergency medical transport. “Outdoor” ultrasound was first used by the military to assist in surgical triage in many remote and austere conditions; an ultrasound system is also installed on the International Space Station [4-7].

These extreme experiences paved the way for pre-hospital ultrasound and it is one of the top five priorities in physician-provided pre-hospital critical care according to the opinion of a consensus meeting of an European expert panel [8].

In these remote settings, the indications should be more focused and goal directed than in-hospital ultrasound. This is critical to match their effectiveness with rapidity. The technical skills are the same or even simpler [9].

This review revises the available evidence and suggests some major indications and future perspectives for prehospital ultrasound.

Methods

The article is a narrative review. A literature revision was conducted both on Medline and as a focused Internet search. The search was limited to English language documents published between January 1, 1990 and January 1, 2016.

Figure 1: Medical devices in a modern ambulance. (A) monitor, electrocardiograph and defibrillator; (B) ventilator; (C) echograph.
The most important indications of FAST are the management of penetrating cardiac injuries as well as chest and abdominal blunt and penetrating trauma. Walcher et al. showed that ultrasound is reliable for surgical triage, it has high sensitivity, specificity and accuracy for abdominal bleeding (93%, 99% and 99%, respectively). Some uncertainties remain regarding the management of penetrating injuries of the abdomen because ultrasound cannot identify intestinal lesions. The high sensitivity for bleeding makes FAST a precious technique even in these situations because it prioritizes the management of injured patients [23].

John Ma et al. stressed the importance of a multiple view examinations: sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were 87%, 100% and 98%, respectively, with the standard multiple-view approach. It was 51%, 100% and 93%, respectively, with a single-view approach (Morrison’s pouch) [24]. Moreover a second look improves significantly diagnostic accuracy, especially in severe trauma and when clinical conditions change. [17,23,25].

Cardiac ultrasound has 100% sensitivity, 97% specificity, and 97% accuracy for identifying hemopericardium and cardiac tamponade after a penetrating injury of the trunk [18]. In another study by Tayal et al., the specificity and sensitivity reached 100% and were correlated with the need for thoracotomy [26]. Finally lung ultrasound is more accurate than X-ray for the diagnosis of pneumothorax and pleural effusions [20,27,28].

Title and abstracts were firstly reviewed and assessed for inclusion. Then references were scrutinized for relevance and included definitively in the study.

The selection was based on the following criteria: point of care ultrasound (or critical/ portable/ bedside ultrasound), pre-hospital setting, emergency physician and paramedics, diagnostic accuracy, clinical outcome and cost effectiveness. No filters were applied for study type.

**Major Indications**

**Trauma**

FAST is the acronym of "Focused Assessment Sonography for Trauma" and represents a worldwide accepted protocol for the management of trauma. It is usually performed during the primary survey, it was introduced in the ATLS protocol and has replaced the diagnostic peritoneal lavage [10-13]. The original FAST was integrated with the Extended-FAST (EFAST) for the evaluation of pneumothorax followed by the FASTER (plus extremities and respiratory tract) and FAST-ABCDE (including breathing, circulation, disabilities, exposure) [14-16]. FAST was successfully used by the military for the management of trauma in the battlefield and is important in pre-hospital care, in car accidents, injuries at work, environment disasters and crimes [4-6,17-23].
Pre-hospital FAST remains the same as in-hospital FAST, the only difference is that it should be "faster" (Figure 2). Although there are contrasting data about the utility of clinical outcomes (see review by Jorgensen et al. [29]), ultrasound undoubtedly improves the capacity to identify hidden bleeding before hospital admission [23,29]. There are some warnings however, slow and small bleeds cannot be observed in the first 24 hours [30]. However, evaluation of the dynamics of trauma, clinical observations, and the integration with CT scan remain compulsory. Lung sliding should also be monitored, especially in ventilated patients. An occult pneumothorax can increase during ventilation, and it can cause a tension pneumothorax with rapid deterioration of respiratory function during transport if not drained.

The identification of severe thoracic and abdominal injuries outside of the hospital can change the patient’s care [2]. Treatment can be adapted to the clinical condition of the patient and to the ultrasonographic findings, e.g., fluid therapy according with vena cava collapsibility and heart contractility. The indication to perform invasive procedures can be given such as drainage of a pleural effusion and/or pneumothorax in a patient with respiratory insufficiency and a pericardiocentesis in case of a cardiac tamponade and a pulseless electric activity [26,31,32]. Communication with the in-hospital emergency physicians can be improved including a request for rapid surgical evaluation and blood supply. Evidence of peritoneal effusion is certainly an indication for immediate surgery, but if hemodynamic conditions are stable a CT scan can be immediately prescribed skipping useless examinations. Finally, the ambulance crew can ask for medical centralization towards the nearest “trauma center”. The probability of death increases by 1% for every 3 minutes of delay. Thus, faster diagnoses imply a higher probability to save and effectively treat the patient [33].

Lung ultrasound

Lung evaluation is already included within the EFAST to identify traumatic pneumothorax and hemothorax, but it can also be used for the management of non-traumatic respiratory insufficiency [14]. The international recommendations for point-of-care lung ultrasound was published in 2012 and provided important and well-documented evidence about the role of ultrasound in the diagnosis and management of many lung diseases [27].

Pre-hospital lung ultrasound might have just three indications: i) the determination of lung profile (A profile: dry lung; B profile: wet lung) (Figure 3A and Figure 3B), ii) the diagnosis of pleural effusions (Figure 3C) and iii) the identification of a spontaneous pneumothorax. Lung evaluation is very rapid, and physicians can effectively discriminate between a wet and a dry lung and rule in or rule out an alveolar interstitial syndrome or a pneumothorax in a few minutes, sometimes in less than 1 minute [9,21,33,34].

Point-of-care techniques are extremely simplified in comparison to in-hospital protocols. A rapid 2-point technique (upper anterior and basal lateral area) is enough to rule in or rule out an alveolar interstitial syndrome that is characterized by bilateral homogeneous increases in B lines (Figure 3B) [27,35]. Pleural effusions are also easily evaluated (Figure 3C) [9-21].

Many studies have demonstrated the high correlation between B-lines and the diagnosis of interstitial syndrome and the superiority of ultrasound in comparison to standard X-ray for the diagnosis of both interstitial syndrome and pneumothorax [14,27]. Neesse et al. found that pre-hospital chest ultrasound was useful for the differentiation between cardiac heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [36]. Consequently, an early diagnosis determines the appropriate pre-hospital pharmacological therapy, ventilation settings, and reduces inappropriate drugs, medical failures and intubations [9,37].

Echocardiography

The application of echocardiography in critically ill patients has been well recognized for several years, especially for the evaluation of blunt or penetrating chest trauma [38]. Pre-hospital critical care echocardiography can be also used in patients without trauma for the identification of pericardial effusions with or without tamponade, for a rapid assessment of heart contractility in patients with hypotension, heart failure and shock [39-42] as well as for the management of cardiac arrest [3,39,40,43-46].

A subxiphoid projection is often sufficient to collect all the required information [47]. An apical view is sometimes necessary in the case of obese patients, severe abdominal pain or meteorism. A sector probe is used for standard echocardiography, but a curvilinear

Figure 3: Lung ultrasound. (A) dry lung: A lines; (B) wet lung: multiple B lines in a patient with decompensated heart failure; (C) large pleural effusion; (D) a dilated inferior vena cava.
high frequency probe is often used out-of-hospital because the concomitant evaluation of other organs is easier. Data collection is limited to the identification of free fluid in the pericardium, cardiac chamber dimensions, and general heart contractility (Figure 4). The integration of these data with the lung profile and inferior vena cava dimensions and collapsibility significantly improves the diagnostic accuracy in patients with shock and hypotension [40,41].

Critical care echocardiography rapidly determines whether the cause of shock and hypotension is cardiac (severe left ventricle dysfunction, right ventricle overload, cardiac tamponade) or non-cardiac (hypovolemia or vasoplegia) (Figure 4) [39,41,42]. Ultrasound was successfully used during cardiopulmonary resuscitation and its role was mentioned in the 2015 international guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation [3,39,43,44,46]. A focused ultrasound assessment should not delay resuscitative interventions but may be considered as an additional diagnostic tool. The FEER study suggested 10-second assessment of the heart during the rhythm check. It showed that no time was wasted during cardiopulmonary resuscitation [44]. Ultrasound was also used to checking the efficacy of chest compressions and collects cardiac data without stopping CPR [48]. The ability to see the heart during cardiopulmonary resuscitation identifies some reversible causes of cardiac arrest (cardiac tamponade, pulmonary embolism, pseudo-PEA) that must be treated to improve survival rate.

Abdominal ultrasound

Pre-hospital ultrasound is performed in patients with severe abdominal pain to study the abdominal aorta and to search for peritoneal effusion [6,9,17]. Aorta studies are usually very fast (less than 1 minute) even though obesity, abdominal tenderness and intestinal air can limit the examination. In these situations, lateral and/or longitudinal scans using the acoustic windows of the liver and of the left kidney should be exploited to identify the artery.

A dilated aorta is easily identified, whereas the diagnosis of dissection is more difficult. It can sometimes only be predicted by the presence of indirect signs such as free peritoneal fluid (Figure 5). The only chance for a patient with a broken aneurism is a fast diagnosis and surgical treatment: here, pre-hospital critical ultrasound can make the difference.

An appropriate treatment can start in the pre-hospital setting with aggressive fluid replacement, blood pressure control and the use of pain-killers that do not affect platelets activity. Moreover the identification of an aortic aneurism in a patient with abdominal pain and shock helps route the patient to the most appropriate hospital [49].

Free abdominal fluid in subjects without an aortic aneurysm, can be a complication of blunt abdominal trauma or the manifestation of many abdominal pathologies. Non-traumatic sources of intraperitoneal fluid must be carefully investigated including ascites in liver disease or peritoneal carcinomatosis, acute inflammatory bowel diseases, appendicitis, and pelvic free fluid in pregnant women or in young and healthy women (Figure 6).

In all of these situations, the combination of ultrasound with
patient history and clinical assessment becomes crucial for the correct interpretation of images.

**Future Perspectives**

**Airways**

Airway management is one of the most difficult challenges in emergency medicine, especially in the pre-hospital setting where diagnostic and therapeutic resources are low. Difficult airways can significantly slow down the resuscitation process and increase the risk of respiratory insufficiency. Ultrasound has been successfully used for the diagnosis of some pathologies of the upper airway, for the evaluation of trauma of the head and the neck, and for airway management [50-53].

Real-time monitoring of endotracheal intubation and the presence of bilateral lung sliding confirm the correct positioning of the tube. Standard management based on auscultation and capnography remains the gold standard, but noisy environments hamper the auscultation, and capnography is not always available [46,47,54]. Ultrasound can easily identify crico-thyroid membrane and the first tract of the trachea as well as can guide cricothyrotomy and tracheostomy [55,56]. This opportunity is extremely important in those patients with a difficult anatomy of the neck such as obese patients, those with a deviation of the trachea for masses of the neck, or severe trauma patients [55].

**Cerebral diseases**

Patients with trauma of the head, severe headache, neurological impairment or persistent coma could be evaluated for intracranial hypertension with ultrasound. Intracranial pressure can be estimated by measuring the optic nerve diameter, 3 mm distal to the optic disk, with a low frequency linear probe (Figure 7). An increased intracranial pressure is supposed when the diameter of the nerve is larger than 5 mm [56,57]. Optic nerve identification and measurements are simple and reproducible. The correlation with intracranial hypertension is high but the real utility in acute patients is still under investigation. The majority of studies suggest the use of optic nerve diameters for monitoring patients inside the intensive care unit [56,57].

Transcranial Doppler is another application for the diagnosis of cerebral ischemia in traumatic brain injuries [58]. It detects an impaired cerebral perfusion that should be treated more aggressively to restore an adequate cerebral perfusion through the adjustment of blood pressure or with hyperosmotic solutions. Although it directly represents cerebral perfusion, it is not as simple as optic nerve evaluation. It requires expert hands, and the images are not often sufficiently clear.

**Triage**

Triage is increasingly important in high patient numbers. The ability to correctly evaluate and categorize wounded patients identifies those who need to be transported urgently to a hospital for care, to which hospital, who can be postponed, and those who do not need hospital care [11,23,59]. This is especially critical in crowded and noisy environments such as disasters or mass casualty situations.

Some papers have demonstrated the utility of portable ultrasound for the management of trauma in the battlefield, in military setting, in austere conditions, and in multi-casualty events [4-6,9,23,60]. The management of resources can be improved too such as the request of other means of transport like a helicopter or other ambulances.

**Obstetrics emergencies**

In 2004 a screening examination named “The Fetal Evaluation for Transport with Ultrasound (FETUS)” was introduced in the helicopter during air transport for high-risk obstetrics cases to evaluate fetal heart rate, position, movement and condition of the placenta [60]. Traditional evaluation with Doppler stethoscope is not possible in noisy situations like those in a helicopter or ambulance. On the contrary, ultrasound is always available and is not influenced by the means of transport. It can be repeated easily if necessary [60].

**Wireless and satellite transmission**

Pre-hospital ultrasound is increasingly needed for remote image reading. The possibility to send images using wireless or satellite transmission to the hospital emergency physician for remote evaluation is under evaluation [61,62]. According to published data, the transmitted data resulted in no degradation in image quality and offers an accurate interpretation at a distance by emergency physicians [61].
Both physicians and paramedics can acquire the data. This facilitates a new and interesting opportunity for widespread ultrasound use worldwide, especially in remote and poor regions. Paramedics or technicians can be trained to learn the correct technique for the acquisition of images, which can then be sent for remote interpretation. An ultrasound system is already installed on the International Space Station, and non-physician crew acquire all target images without difficulty after a specific and rapid training [7].

Conclusion

Ultrasound is a new and interesting opportunity for pre-hospital medical service. It significantly improves the management of pre-hospital care and reduces the "door to diagnosis and therapy time", which is one of the most important factors in improving medical assistance and survival.

The first recommendation of pre-hospital ultrasound is rapidity, if used correctly, it will certainly improve the management of patients and precious time will be saved. Therefore, the examination must be rapid, not more than 2-3 minutes. For example, pre-hospital FAST usually takes no more than 3 minutes [23]. The identification of lung sliding, the diagnosis of pneumothorax, and the evaluation of abdominal aorta usually lasts less than 1 minute [14,33]. Heart studies during cardiopulmonary resuscitation should be performed in 10 seconds during the rhythm check. Alternatively, images and video clips can be recorded and analyzed later while RCP is ongoing [44].

Technical skills are the same or even simpler than in-hospital critical ultrasound. The exam should answer specific yes/no questions, i.e., Is there a pneumothorax? Is there free fluid in the pleura, pericardium, peritoneum? Is there an aortic aneurysm? Are the lungs wet or dry?

The indications must be few and well-defined to combine effectiveness and speed. Trauma, respiratory insufficiency, shock, cardiac arrest and severe abdominal pain, to rule in or rule out an abdominal aortic aneurysm, are situations where pre-hospital ultrasound makes the difference [9-13,21,34,54].

There are also promising and valuable perspectives regarding some other indications, such as pre-hospital triage, airway management, obstetric emergencies and in wireless and satellite transmission [7,9,17-23,54-56,60-62]. Some studies evaluated the possibility to extend the use of ultrasound to paramedics, with few and well defined indications. Paramedics were able to obtain adequate images and to perform examinations as accurate as those performed by physicians. However, further researches are necessary to confirm these findings [13,63].

The rapid spread of critical ultrasound has focused attention on training [16]. In the last decade, specific recommendations were published for lung ultrasound, critical care echocardiography, and ultrasound-guided central venous catheterization [13,16,27,47,64]. Moreover critical ultrasound has evolved from an "organ-based" to a "problem-based approach" (aortic aneurysm, pneumothorax, and hydrenephros) to a "syndrome based approach" (hypotension, cardiac arrest, respiratory insufficiency, and trauma). Finally, global patient management has emerged as theorized by Neri et al. in the FAST-ABCDE [16]. Therefore, technical capabilities must be integrated with a good knowledge of anatomy, physiopathology and pathology. A tighter link among these elements increases the effectiveness of point-of-care critical ultrasound.

Pre-hospital ultrasound still has some limitations. First, international guidelines and a specific training are still lacking. There is no worldwide agreement on pre-hospital ultrasound yet. Also, diagnostic capability is well known, but some doubts remain regarding the real impact on outcome and survival. Moreover there isn’t any relevant evidence regarding the cost-effectiveness of pre-hospital ultrasound.

There are also some technical limitations such as adverse environmental conditions (luminosity with no possibility to obscure the area of the screen and rainy/snowy weather) and narrow/ closed spaces inside the ambulance or the helicopter that can limit the number of scans and the possibility to move the patient. There are also patients with specific needs for assistance or with a reduced available "body surface" such as those trapped inside a vehicle or with severe injuries including crushed, degloved or mangled extremities or active bleeding.

Finally the study design of this revision has some limitations: it doesn’t consider some important confounders, such as study settings, type of patients, quality of ultrasound appliances, expertise of physicians and paramedics.
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