Female Pelvic Organ Prolapse (POP) Surgery. Results at 24-Month Follow-Up
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Abstract

Background: PROLIFT (anterior, posterior, total) is a type of the surgical procedure during which synthetic implants are used. PROLIFT procedure involves the use of porous, non-absorbable polypropylene mesh and fixation of the uterine fascia and its ligaments. The aim of this study: to evaluate the efficacy, outcomes and complications of the PROLIFT procedure and evaluate the changes in the patients sexual function (before and after surgery).

Material and methods: a prospective study based on observation of clinical outcomes of the PROLIFT procedure performed by one surgeon during the period of 4 years (01/05/2008 -01/05/2012). Patients were observed and examined after 3, 6, 12 and 24 months. Our studied women responded to the FSFI questionnaire and special 4 questions before and after surgery about quality of their sexual life.

Results: Analysis of 51 PROLIFT procedures was performed. Mean age of women – 52.19 years. All women reported having active sexual life. 70.6% of women were menopausal. The mean number of births – 2.21. The following factors for POP were distinguished: birth weight over 4kg in 37 cases (72.5%), BMI above 30kg/m2 of births – 2.21. The following factors for POP were distinguished: birth weight over 4kg in 37 cases (72.5%), BMI above 30kg/m2 (37.3%) in 19 women, and manual work was reported by 8 women (15.7%). Three types of the PROLIFT procedures were carried out: anterior – for 45 women (88.2%), posterior - 5 women (9.8%) and total - 1 woman (2%). PROLIFT procedure was combined with colpoperineoplasty in 45 cases (88.2%), with amputation of the uterine cervix in 5 cases (9.8%), and in 1 case (2%) with TVT operation. Spinal anesthesia was administered in 43 cases (84.3%), general anesthesia - in 6 cases, (11.8%) and in 2 cases (3.9%) - intravenous anesthesia. Mean PROLIFT procedure time – 43.2 minutes. Mean hospital stay – 2.7 days. Mean amount of blood loss during procedure - 131ml. Efficacy of the procedure was evaluated after 24 months and showed a 98.0% success rate. There was 1 case of recurrence (2%), thus the procedure was repeated.

Conclusions: PROLIFT as minimally invasive surgical treatment for POP is shown to be effective in 98.0 % of cases after 24 months. With aging and pelvic organ prolapse stage, a number of early complications increases. Women have significantly better sexual life after PROLIFT procedure.
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Introduction

Women pelvic organ prolapse (POP) is a common pathology in urogynaecology. Given the fact that women’s lifespan is increasing and society is aging, this disorder is becoming more relevant, moreover, it is embarrassing for the women involved.

Literature points out that pelvic organ prolapse is common in middle age women and occurs in 50% of parous women [1-3], however, not all women require surgical intervention. Approximately, from 11 to 20% of all women may have the risk of surgical treatment for POP [3-6]. There is no data and register on prevalence of pelvic organ prolapse in Lithuania.

POP has been classified depending on which presumed organ protrudes into vaginal opening or vagina. When the bladder protrudes it is called vesicocele or cystocele, when the bladder/urethra– vesico/ cystourethrocele, when the uterus – uterine prolapse, when the intestines protrude in the presence of hysterectomy - enterocoele, when part of the rectum - rectocele [7].

Since 1996 The International Continence Society has defined the system of Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantification (POP-Q). This system has become predominant, though some European countries use Baden-Walker classification [8,9].

Pelvic organ prolapse may result from injuries of the levator ani, vaginal wall, disorders of smooth muscle functions and changes of the connective tissue [10]. The risk factors for these pathologies are as follows: vaginal childbirth, age, menopause, a reduced level of estrogens, chronically increased intra-abdominal pressure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, constipation, obesity, pelvic floor trauma, genetic factors, race, injuries of the connective tissue, hysterectomy, spinal hernia [3,11,12].

Pelvic organ prolapse is characterized by different symptoms involving anatomical or functional systems. The symptoms of this condition are as follows: felt or seen protrusion into the wall of the vagina or perineum, pressure or feeling of heaviness in the pelvis or vagina. The symptoms of urinary disorders - incontinence, the symptoms of overactive bladder, the feeling that the bladder is not completely empty, change in position or a need to digitally reduce vaginal bulge to initiate or complete urination. Bowel symptoms are...
the following: incontinence of gas, fluids or watery stools, incomplete emptying, difficulty in opening the bowels or urge to have bowel movements. The symptoms of sexual function include dyspareunia, reduced lubrication, lack of sensation with intercourse, lack of friction. Pain felt in the vagina, the bladder, the rectum, pelvic area and lower back- loin [13].

Women who are asymptomatic with POP or have minimal symptoms do not require treatment. Women having stages III - IV POP or severe symptoms need surgical or conservative treatment. Conservative treatment comprises pessary use [14] and strengthening pelvic muscles by Kegel exercises [15,16]. Various surgical techniques are used for POP management: classical colpopereinoplasty, vaginal hysterectomy, colpopexy, promontorium fixation [3,17,18]. However, clinical outcomes of surgery are not always satisfactory, and study data show that up to 30% of women need repeated surgical intervention over the 5 years following the previous operation [3,19,20]. Recurrence of pelvic organ prolapse and a number of repeated surgeries declined since original minimally invasive procedures - synthetic prolene meshes - have been introduced. The efficacy of this surgical procedure corresponds the outcomes of laparotomy, laparoscopic colpopexy and promontorium fixation, i.e. the Level 1A recommendation, when POP is treated surgically [17,21].

PROLIFT (anterior, posterior, total) is a type of the surgical procedure during which synthetic implants are used. PROLIFT procedure involves the use of porous, non-absorbable polypropylene mesh and fixation of the uterine fascia and its ligaments. According to studies, the efficacy of ProLift surgical procedure ranges from 87% to 97% [22-29]. Complications of ProLift procedure may be early occurring perioperatively, and late postoperative complications, which further is classified into early postoperative and late postoperative. Most common perioperative complications are injury of the bladder, which occurs from 0.9% to 2.6% [22,26,28-32]. The other perioperative complication is damage of the main surrounding nerves and blood vessels [33]. Early postoperative complications may include pyrexia, urinary infection, urinary retention, abscess, large hematoma. Late postoperative complications comprise mesh protrusion, recurrence, granuloma, the shrinking of the mesh, vaginal erosion, adhesions, chronic pain of pelvis and buttocks, sexual dysfunction [22,29-32]. The rate of recurrence of pelvic organ prolapse ranges from 4.7% to 10 % of all operated women [22,31,32].

The aim of this study is to evaluate the efficacy, outcomes, and complications of PROLIFT surgical treatment and estimate its impact on the patient’s sexual function.

Material and Methods

It is a prospective study based on observation of clinical outcomes of PROLIFT procedure performed by one surgeon during the period of 4 years (01/05/2008 -01/05/2012) in tertiary level hospital, department of Gynecology. Patients were observed and examined after 3, 6, 12 and 24 months. The surgical technique was followed product guidance.

The number of patients to be studied was established using PASS (Power Analysis and Sample Size programme). The statistical power of the research was found to be 0.8.

The stage of the prolapse was assessed in the lithotomic position while the patient performed a Valsalva maneuver. During physical examination, the prolapse was classified using the Baden and Walker classification. According to this classification, stage 1 was defined when the leading edge descends to the first third of the vagina, stage 2 to the mid vagina, stage 3 at the hymen level and stage 4 outside the hymen.

A concomitant procedure was performed if necessary, including posterior vaginal repair with perineorrhaphy or TVT as SUI procedure, or amputation of uterine cervix.

Inclusion criteria: stage III and stage IV prolapse, a patient has sexual intercourse on regular basis and agree to buy single use GYNECARE PROLIFT kit by themselves (there is no compensation from the patient fund) and signed informed consent form confirming their willingness to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria: clinical depression or other major psychiatric disease, patients who filled questionnaire incompletely.

Prior and after procedure, patients were asked Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) and non-validated special author’s questions about quality of their sexual life.

1. How does POP affect your life?
2. How often per month do you have sexual intercourse?
3. Do you always experience orgasm? (If not – please state how often in per cent).

After surgery, the following questions were asked:
1. Are you satisfied with the results?
2. If not, tell the reasons.
3. How often per month do you have sexual intercourse?
4. Do you always experience orgasm? (If not –state how often in per cent).

The FSFI is a 19-item survey that assesses six domains of female sexual dysfunction (FSD) developed by Rosen in 2000. Scores range of the FSFI from 2 to 36.0; a total score of 26.55 or less is suggestive of FSD and individual domain scores of less than 3.6 are considered dysfunction. This questionnaire assesses: arousal, desire, orgasmic disorder and sexual dysfunction associated with pain over the preceding month of a patient’s life.

After surgery, history and physical examination with speculum exam and vaginal palpation were repeated at 3, 6, 12, 24 months for all patients.

Recurrent prolapse was defined as any descent of stage 3 or 4 (cystocele, vault or uterine prolapse, enterocoele or rectocele) even if the patient had no symptoms. Failure was defined as recurrent prolapse or any symptomatic prolapse.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 19.00 for Windows. Calculation was performed with the use of Student’s test and chi-square test, Pearson’s correlation coefficient and p<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

The study was approved by the Ethic Committee of the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences. Permission number: BEC-MF-306. Informed consent from the patient J.M. was obtained for agreement to publish the photo pictures Number 5 and 6 of this manuscript.

No financial assistance was received from any company for the execution of this study.

Results

Analysis of 51 PROLIFT surgical procedures was performed over the investigated period. All the patients had a prolapse with at least a stage 3 or 4 component.

Mean age of women undergoing the procedure – 52.19 years, the youngest woman was 30 years old, and the oldest – 68. All women are married and reported having active sexual life. Of our studied women, 36 (70.6%) were menopausal. Mean number of births – 2.21 (range from 1 to 4). Three women gave birth to twins (5.9%) (Table 1).

Table 1: Data of patients and PROLIFT procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (range)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women’s age, years</td>
<td>52.19 ± 8.88 (30-69)</td>
<td>(n=51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>28.4 ± 4.3 (20.1-40.3)</td>
<td>(n=51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of childbirths, number</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.86 (1-4)</td>
<td>(n=51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of procedure, minutes</td>
<td>43.2 ± 8.6 (30-60)</td>
<td>(n=51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hospital stay, days</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.14 (1-6)</td>
<td>(n=51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of blood lost during the procedure, ml</td>
<td>131.0 ± 153.11 (20-650)</td>
<td>(n=51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following risk factors for pelvic organ prolapse were analysed: birth weight over 4kg in 37 cases (72.5%), BMI above 30kg/m² in 19 women, and manual work was reported by 8 women (15.7%). Out of 51 women, 2 had bronchial asthma (3.9%) that could predispose POP, since bronchial asthma is a disease, which increases intra-abdominal pressure.

Distribution of surgeries recorded in anamnesis was: laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy (LAVH) - 1 case (2%), TVT - 1 case (2%), subtotal hysterectomy - 2 cases (3.9%), Dollar-Gilliam operation - 1 case (2%), colpoperineoplasty - 1 case (2%), vaginal hysterectomy - 3 cases (5.9%), Manchester operation - 2 cases (3.9%), Mayo hysterectomy - 1 case (2%).

Pelvic organ prolapse stage III was in 37 cases (72.5%) and stage IV - in 14 cases (27.5%). Three types of PROLIFT procedure were carried out: anterior – for 45 women (88.2%), posterior - 5 women (9.8%) and total – for 1 woman (2%).

In all cases PROLIFT procedure was combined with other surgical treatment: with vaginal repair and perineorraphy in 45 cases (88.2%), with amputation of the uterine cervix in 5 cases (9.8%), and with TVT in 1 case (2%).

Most commonly, spinal anesthesia was administered in 43 cases (84.3%), general anesthesia - in 6 cases, (11.8%) and in 2 cases (3.9%) - intravenous anesthesia.

Mean PROLIFT procedure time - 43.2 minutes. Mean hospital stay – 2.7 days. Mean amount of blood loss - 131ml. Efficacy of the procedure was evaluated after 24 months and showed a 98.0% success rate. There were 1 case of recurrence (2%), thus the procedure was repeated.

Two early complications were distinguished. Hemorrhage was evaluated when amount of blood lost was above 500 ml in 2 cases (3.9%) and pyrexia – in 3 cases (5.9%).

Late post-operative complications were also evaluated and were as follows: vulvar hematoma in 1 case (2%), parametral hematoma – in 1 case (2%), retention of urine – in 2 cases (3.9%), prolene protrusion - in 4 cases (7.8%), dyspareunia – in 2 cases (2%) and pelvialgia occurred in 2 cases (2%). There are no severe cases when eroding a large area of mesh, it just a single suture seen in all prolene protrusion cases.

Statistically significant direct relationship between age and early complications was determined (r=0.974, p<0.05), and similar relationship between POP stage and early complications was found (r=0.964, p<0.05) suggesting that a number of early complications increased with age and pelvic organ prolapse stage. There are no statistically significant relationship between age, POP stage and late complications.

Analysis of type of early complications and POP stage did not reveal statistically significant difference (χ²=3.771; lls=5; p=0.583).

Our studied women responded to the FSFI questionnaire and 4 special questions before and after procedure. The aim of questionnaire presented before surgery was to find out the reasons of choosing surgical treatment and symptoms of complaints. Of 51 women, 39

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Preoperative (n=51)</th>
<th>Postoperative (n=51)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desire</td>
<td>3.24 ± 1.30</td>
<td>3.66 ± 1.28</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arousal</td>
<td>2.64 ± 2.20</td>
<td>3.53 ± 1.95</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubrication</td>
<td>1.99 ± 1.59</td>
<td>2.56 ± 1.26</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orgasm</td>
<td>2.28 ± 1.83</td>
<td>2.93 ± 1.49</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>3.44 ± 1.88</td>
<td>4.31 ± 1.60</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>2.76 ± 2.32</td>
<td>3.45 ± 2.01</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16.35 ± 10.39</td>
<td>20.43 ± 8.56</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FSFI: Female Sexual Function Index.

Data presented as mean ± SD, p<0.05 is considered significant.
Statistically significant difference between a number of intercourse per month before and after surgery was determined ($\chi^2=7.6, p<0.000$). It showed that women after PROLIFT procedure had a more active sexual life, and a higher number of intercourses per month compared with their sexual life before procedure. Frequency of orgasm was not influenced by surgical treatment ($p=0.384$).

### Discussion

According to literature, the main possible risk factors for pelvic organ prolapse are vaginal childbirth, number of childbirths, age, increased intra-abdominal pressure, obesity [3,11,12]. This study revealed that 37 women (72.5%) delivered newborns with birth weight over 4.5 kg. BMI over 30 kg/m$^2$ was determined in 19 women (37.3%). Difficult manual work was reported by 8 women (15.7%). 2 women (3.9%) had bronchial asthma that could predispose POP, since this disease increases intra-abdominal pressure. 12 women (24.0%) had dyspareunia – in 2 cases (3.9%) and pelvialgia in 2 cases (3.9%). According to other authors, retention of urine occurred in 2.5% of patients, however, pelvialgia, which in scientific sources is reported rarely, affected 2.5% of women [36].

Some differences in the outcomes and complications rate between our results and the other published studies might be related to some limitations in our study. All operations were performed by one surgeon, so, experience increased during the long inclusion into the study period.

Evaluation of women’s sexual life before and after surgery in our study determined statistically significant difference between data of number of intercourse per month before and after surgery. When comparing the data with Withagen M et al. [34] study, the participants had to answer the question how often they had intercourse; before surgery 39% of respondents reported not having intercourse, and after surgery - 30%. Dyspareunia before and after surgery was pointed out by 35% of women, and severe dyspareunia was reported by 10% of women before surgery and 2% - after surgery [37]. According to our data, dyspareunia after surgery was pointed out by 3.9% of women. The results of the FSFI indicated that satisfaction was improved (Table 2). In sexually active women preserving sexual capacity of the vagina is an important aspect of surgery. In our study group, results from the FSFI questionnaire received before surgery showed clinically significant sexual dysfunction, which indicated that pelvic organ prolapse is negative impact in their sexual function. Statistical analysis of the FSFI questionnaire revealed statistically significant differences in satisfaction, desire and response scores between before and after surgery.

**Figure 4:** Patient before operation (authors photo picture).
changes in arousal and satisfaction domains and in the full score before and after surgery. Other domains slightly improved, but didn’t reach statistically significant difference.

Our study showed that the PROLIFT was effective in 98.0% of cases, and due to recurrence, repeated surgery was needed in 2% of the studied (Figure 4.5). A comparison of our data and other studies also revealed a low rate of recurrence. Van Raalte et al. [22] in the USA report 9.43% of recurrences [22], Neuman M et al. [28] present a 0.7% rate of unsuccessful procedures [28]. Evaluation of women’s reports after surgery in our study revealed that a positive effect was pointed out by 43 women (84.3%) and 8 women (15.7%) reported being not satisfied. Seeger D et al. [38] in prospective study in Germany reported that 89.2% of patients did not experience POP symptoms [38].

Currently, to treat POP, less traumatic surgeries such as abdominal, laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy and using fixation to promontorium are performed. Other authors have shown that the efficacy of sacrocolpopexy ranges from 83% to 100% [39-43]. According to other data, the efficacy of using fixation to promontorium is from 88.5% to 92.4% [44-46]. These data are very similar to the outcomes in laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy and using fixation to promontorium. The efficacy was pointed out by 43 women (84.3%) and 8 women (15.7%) in our study, therefore, we may state that efficacy of PROLIFT procedure corresponds to the results obtained after laparotomy, laparoscopic colpopexy and using fixation to promontorium suggesting that PROLIFT is level IA recommendation in POP treatment.

Conclusions

PROLIFT procedure as minimally invasive surgical treatment for POP is shown to be effective in 98.0% of cases after 24 months. With aging and pelvic organ prolapse stage, a number of early complications increases. Women have more active sexual life after PROLIFT procedure.
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