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Introduction

An important feature of suppurative odontogenic infections is that they are typically polymicrobial in nature, with mixed aerobic and anaerobic bacteria present. However, the anaerobes generally outnumber aerobes by a factor of two to four folds [1-3].

Early recognition and management of orofacial infections is mandatory. The majority of orofacial infections spread along the contiguous facial planes, leading to more severe infections [4].

Treatment of these infections is challenging because potentially fatal complications such as sepsis, airway obstruction, cavernous sinus thrombosis, necrotizing fasciitis, and mediastinitis can occur. Treatment of odontogenic infections is mainly surgical aiming at removal of...
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the source. Root canal therapy, dental extractions and incision and drainage of infected spaces are usually supported by antibiotics and other measures to improve patients’ defense [5].

Maxillofacial infections (MI) are characterized as polymicrobial, endogenous, opportunistic, and dynamical and mixed (aerobic and anaerobic bacteria) [6]. The literature shows that MI affects mainly male patients either in adult or child populations [5,6].

In this study all odontogenic maxillofacial infection seen over 15 year period were analyzed to determine whether factors such differences in antibiotic therapy, timelines of exploration and drainage and presence or absence of underlying disease processes affected the outcome.

During the last 15 year, there were no detailed studies about odontogenic maxillofacial infections in Venezuela. However, severe maxillofacial odontogenic infections are prevalent. After treatments are completed, all related documentation is archived centrally. This central accumulation information allows for the study of time trends in disease incidence as well as examination of risk factors and different aspects of disease management. Having this considerable amount of data available presents a unique opportunity for retrospective analysis, which has scientific value as well as clinical implications.

The aim of the present study was to analyze treatment modalities and results in patients with severe odontogenic maxillofacial infections treated by our protocol in the Dr. Angel Larralde Universitary Hospital, Valencia Venezuela, between August 2001 and May 2016 during a 15-year period.

Patients and Methods

In this study 1124 patients were retrospectively evaluated, a descriptive study was conducted including all patients with odontogenic maxillofacial infection. All patients admitted to Oral and Maxillofacial Service at Dr Angel Larralde Universitary Hospital was studied for a 15 years period.

The patients that were included after confirming the following inclusion criteria: 1) The presence of severe odontogenic infections; and 2) The need for hospital admission. The usual criteria for hospital admission in this type of pathology include: Trismus (defined as an oral aperture of less than 40 mm), dysphagia, odynophagia, dyspnea, high fever (over 38 °C), worsened general con-
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Results

In our study, Out of total 1124 patients, 580 patients (51.60%) were males and 544 patients (48.40%) were females. The mean age of patients was 30.23 years, with a minimum age of 2 years and a maximum of 92 years (Table 1). Majority of patients were in age group of 21-29 years, followed by 31-55 years (Table 2). Only 5% of the women were pregnant in second trimester of pregnancy.

Incidence of all odontogenic infection was 1124-75 cases each year, with a maximum of 78 cases and minimum of 66 cases per year. Distribution of odontogenic maxillofacial infections during the 15-y period is shown in Figure 2.

Table 1: Distribution of patients for sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>51.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>48.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1124</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Age group (years) distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-Feb</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Jun</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-Oct</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-29</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-55</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-92</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Incidence of maxillofacial infection.
On average, maxillofacial odontogenic infections accounted for 6.7% of all Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery patients who were hospitalized each year.

Toothache was the most common symptom found in all 1124 patients (80.07%), followed by neck swelling in 204 patients (18.19%), trismus in 846 patients (75.21%), facial pain in 844 patients (75.09%) and odynophagia each in 844 patients (48.75%), fever in 665 patients (58.72%), airway difficulty in 523 patients (43.77%) and torticollis in 215 patient (18.86%) (Table 3).

In term of management incision and drainage were done in 1008 patients (89.67%). All 1124 patients were given broad spectrum intravenous antibiotics which were later changed based on culture and sensitivity report.

All surgical procedure was performed within the first 6 h after hospital admittance. An intraoral incision was made in 104 cases, extraoral incision were made in 1008 patients. Twelve patients drained through the postexodontic alveolus.

The most common bacteria isolated were Viridans streptococci, Prevotella, Staphylococci, and Peptostreptococcus. Viridans streptococci accounted for 28.99%, Prevotella for 21.2% (57 isolates), Staphylococci for 8.9% and Peptostreptococcus for 4.8% from the total isolates. Culture and sensitivities were reviewed on 1012 patients; however, anaerobic sensitivities are routinely not performed at this institution.

The 97.88% of all cases of maxillofacial infections were admitted for surgical and pharmacological management, the rest of cases were ambulatory. Mean hospital stay was 5.2 days with a minimum of 4 days and a maximum of 15 days. 37.2% of the patients were hospitalized for more than 8 d.

The complications observed during hospital stay were also documented. Following hospital discharge, we collected information on those patients. Complication occurred in 1% of cases: 2 patients had Mediastinitis and need to be treated by Department of surgery, two patients had necrotizing fasciitis. There was no death during the 15 years of study.

Of all patients, 379 (36.1%) were smokers; 128 were females (33.7%) and 251 were males (66.2%). Thirty patients (2.7%) had diabetes mellitus, 59 (5.4%) had arterial hypertension, and 41 (3.8%) patients were pregnant women.

Patients with diabetes mellitus not controlled and malnutrition conditions had longer hospital stays than patients without systemic conditions. A strong correlation of variables between diabetic status and hospitalization could be established and was determine a more time for recovery of maxillofacial infection.

Discussion

Odontogenic infections are among the conditions most commonly treated by oral and maxillofacial surgeons. These infections affect a large portion of the population, and can give rise to serious complications if not treated quickly and adequately. Thus, the importance of infections of dental origin is their high incidence and morbidity [9-13].

In our series of cases the mean patient age was 30.23 years, which is somewhat younger than in other studies which a mean of 40.3 years [14].

Epidemiological surveys are conditioned by socio-economics profiles, geography, inadequate nutrition, educational level, health services access [12]. In our study the systemic disease nutrition and the access to odontology service conditioned the odontogenic infection.

The literature in replete with many reports on odontogenic infections. In 2001 Parker, [15] present a study with 373 patients, the mandibular first permanent molar was the most offending tooth at 33.2% followed by mandibular third molars (10%), mandibular permanent second molars (9.1%), mandibular second deciduous molars (7.2%), maxillary first permanent molars (5%) mandibular first deciduous molars (13 cases: 3.5%), maxillary deciduous first molar (2.4%) and maxillary second molar (2.4%).

In comparison with our study we have the mandibular third molar was the most offending tooth 45.20%, in second place was mandibular first molar (Table 3). In contrast, other studies have found the lower molars to be the most frequent causal teeth [16-20].

Table 3: Distribution of dental units causing odontogenic infections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teeth</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Cases (%)</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Cases (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Third molar</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>16.01</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>29.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular first molar</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>9.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Canine</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular second molar</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular deciduous first molar</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular deciduous second molar</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary first molar</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The advantages of such treatment are based on its appropriate spectrum, few side effects and low cost. However, some studies have alerted to an increase in the incidence of beta-lactam resistant organisms, particularly beta-lactamase producing anaerobes and viridans streptococci, which are frequently found in odontogenic infections [1,7,15,16]. In this study, penicillin and ampicillin resistance was found in 9.5% of the samples.

There are many complications subsequent to odontogenic infections as reported in the literature. Notable squeal are septicemia with disseminated intravascular coagulation, mediastinitis, thoracic emphysema, cardiac tamponade, and death [27-30].

**Conclusions**

Maxillofacial infections present a significant public health problem and one of the main reasons for consultations of the maxillofacial emergency service.

In our study, it is shown that the most effective treatment with incision, drainage and removal of etiology.

The obtaining of cultures and sensitivity reports does not appear clinically helpful, and don’t modified the management in the majority of the cases.

It is also very important to give attention to the high risk groups like diabetics, old age, patients with systemic diseases and pregnant women. All patients should be started with empirical intravenous antibiotics therapy which should be later on changed as per culture and sensitivity report.

A multicenter prospective study with follow up data would be desirable to compare the different treatment options.
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