Effect of Chemical Irrigants on the Bond Strength of a Universal Adhesive System to Dentin-Enamel Junction and Different Dentin Regions
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Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this in vitro study was to evaluate the influence of different irrigation solutions on the microshear bond strength of a universal adhesive system applied in etch & rinse mode and self-etch mode to dentin-enamel junction (DEJ), coronal dentin, pulp chamber dentin, and floor of the pulp.

Materials and methods: The specimens were randomly divided into 4 main groups according to the irrigation solutions used: Group 1-distilled water (control), Group 2-NaOCl (Sodium hypochlorite), Group 3-NaOCl combined with 17% EDTA (Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) and Group 4-chlorhexidin gluconate. Additionally, each main group was divided into the 2 subgroups (n = 15) according to the application mode of the Single Bond Universal Adhesive system. After applying the adhesive to the dentin, a vinyl tube was placed on the dentin-enamel junction and dentin surfaces, then Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior composite was packed into the cylinder’s lumen and was light cured. The specimens were stored in water at 37 °C for 24 h. A universal testing machine was used for the microshear test. The data in MPa units were subjected to two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test (α = 0.05). Scanning electron microscope analysis was performed on all tested samples.

Results: Distilled water was found to have a statistically higher bond strength compared to the other irrigation solutions. No statistically significant differences were found (P > 0.05) when bond strength was compared between etch-and-rinse mode, and self-etch mode of adhesive systems. The mean bond strength of floor pulp dentin groups was significantly lower than that of other regions (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: The chemical irrigant solutions and dentin regions influenced the adhesive bond strength.
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Introduction

As well as a highly adequate apical seal [1], an effective coronal seal [2], is one of the primary goals of a successful endodontic dental treatment. Recently, a large number of adhesive systems have been developed not only to increase the bond strength of composite resins to dentin but also to serve as resistant barriers that prevent coronal micro-leakage, achieve an effective coronal seal, and optimize the sealing of different regional dentins [3].

Adhesion to dentin is influenced by many factors. During root canal treatment, the use of chemical irrigants changes the chemical composition of the dentin...
surface [4]. Furthermore, the pulp chamber dentin is exposed to a series of irrigants with different wettability, surface tension, and chelating effects that result in the alteration of its mineral and organic contents as well as its surface energy [4]. Therefore, after irrigation, the dentin tissue may possess irreversibly altered properties that have an impact on its interaction with the material used for coronal sealing [5]. This adhesion mostly involves the cut surface of coronal dentin with a flat surface, smear layer, and smear plugs within the dentin tubules. However, being a complex structure, pulpal floor dentin consists of primary dentin and regular and irregular secondary dentin [6]. Having less mineral content in the presence of regularly oriented parallel bundles of collagen fibers and predominant branches of dentinal tubules, the region of the dentin-enamel junction (DEJ) is rich in organic material [7,8]. Moreover, the DEJ has been shown to be distinct from enamel or dentin and considered a fiber-reinforced bond that is moderately mineralized [7,8].

Application of irrigation solutions containing chlorhexidine gluconate and NaOCl is not sufficient to remove the smear layer completely [9]. To fix this issue, EDTA is used as an adjunct to NaOCl for removing the smear layer [10]. Tooth survival following root canal treatment depends not only on the apical sealing after efficient chemomechanical debridement of the root canals but is also highly dependent on coronal sealing [11]. Even though the apical seal prevents the leakage process into the periradicular tissue, microorganisms can still enter the canal coronally via the gap, as well as bacterial products could cause inflammation of the impervious apical part through the lateral surface coronally, resulting in the failure of endodontic therapy [12].

Independent determination of regional adhesions at the coronal and middle level is therefore important. These adhesives create bonds strong enough to endure the contraction forces exerted by polymerized resin composite on dental structures. Many studies [5,11] have focused on the bonding of root canal treatment to adhesive materials in different regions of the dentin, but there are no studies that investigated the bond strength of adhesive systems in the DEJ region. Moreover, no studies have compared the bonding effectiveness between self-etch and etch & rinse applied to the DEJ and different regions of the dentin comprehensively.

Recently, a new family of adhesives known as “universal” or “multi-mode”, was introduced in the dental market. To overcome the deficiencies of the previous generations of single-step self-etch adhesives, they were developed to allow for application of the adhesive with phosphoric acid pre-etching in the total etch and selective-etch or no etching approaches in order to achieve a durable bond to enamel and widely accepted with good results from in vitro [13] and in vivo studies [14]. It has been reported that enamel etching with phosphoric acid enhances the bonding performance of these bonding agents [15] and phosphoric acid demineralizes dentin at a greater depth than self-etch adhesive systems can infiltrate. These adhesives also contain copolymers of polyalkenoic acid have been associated with contradictory results regarding their bonding effectiveness when combined with MDP [10-Methacryloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate] [16].

The purpose of this in vitro study was to evaluate the influence of different endodontic irrigation solutions on the microshear bond strength of a universal adhesive system applied in etch & rinse mode and self-etch mode to the dentin-enamel junction, coronal dentin, pulp dentin chamber, and floor of the pulp. The first null hypothesis is that chemical irrigation solutions do not have a significant effect on the adhesion of the resin composite to the DEJ, coronal dentin, pulp dentin chamber, and floor of the pulp using different application procedures of a universal adhesive system. The second null hypothesis holds that the bond strength of different application procedures of a universal adhesive system compared to control group is not affected by the use of different irrigation solutions for DEJ and different regions of the dentin.

Materials and Methods

Specimen preparation

One hundred and twenty extracted, sound human molars were used. The teeth were cleaned and then stored in an aqueous solution of 0.5% chloramine-T at 4 °C for a maximum of one month before use. Using a water-cooled diamond saw, the teeth were mesiodistally sectioned into halves comprising DEJ, coronal dentin, and pulp dentin regions; thus, providing 120 specimens. Then, the floor of the pulp chamber was obtained by sectioning at the mid-point between the floor of the pulp chamber and the root furcation; thus providing 120 specimens (Figure 1). Each specimen was embedded in an acrylic resin block with the dentin-enamel junction and dentin surfaces facing up while the other surface of the tooth was totally embedded in the acrylic resin. The specimen surface was then wet-ground with 600-grit silicon carbide paper under a stream of water to create a uniform smear layer and surface roughness.

Experimental groups and application procedures

The materials and contents used in the study are shown in Table 1. The specimens were randomly assigned to four groups according to the irrigation solutions used:

Group 1: Irrigated with distilled water for 10 min (control) (N = 30).

Group 2: Irrigated with 5.25% NaOCl for 10 min and
adhesive was light cured. The Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior (3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA) composite was packed into the cylinder’s lumen, a plastic strip was placed over the resin composite, and the material was light-cured for 40 s using an EliparTM FreeLight 2 LED curing light (3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA). The specimens were stored in water at 37 °C for 24 h. The vinyl tubes were removed with a sharp knife, and then the specimens were checked under a light microscope at X10 magnification to discard any specimens with air bubbles or gaps evident at the interface.

**Bonding procedures**

Experimental groups were divided into two subgroups according to application modes of the adhesive system. The first subgroup was bonded with the Single Bond Universal Adhesive (3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany) using etch-and-rinse mode, and the second subgroup was bonded using self-etch mode according to the manufacturer’s instructions (n = 15). After applying the adhesive to the dentin, a vinyl tube (Tygon tubing) 0.75 mm in diameter and 0.5 mm high was placed on the dentin-enamel junction and dentin surfaces, then the adhesive was light cured. The Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior (3M ESPE, St. Paul, USA) composite was packed into the cylinder’s lumen, a plastic strip was placed over the resin composite, and the material was light-cured for 40 s using an EliparTM FreeLight 2 LED curing light (3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA). The specimens were stored in water at 37 °C for 24 h. The vinyl tubes were removed with a sharp knife, and then the specimens were checked under a light microscope at X10 magnification to discard any specimens with air bubbles or gaps evident at the interface.

**Measurement of microshear bond strength**

A universal testing machine (Lloyd Instruments, Fareham, UK) was used for the microshear bond test. A wire of 0.2-mm diameter was looped around the resin composite and gently held flush against the resin/dentin interface. The wire loop and the center of the load cell were aligned as straight as possible to ensure correct
DEJ, coronal dentin, and pulpal dentin groups (P > 0.05).

The scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis images of the fractures are shown in Figure 2.

**Discussion**

Adhesion to the DEJ and different dentin regions was affected by the endodontic chemical irrigants. The results of this study showed that when etch & rinse and self-etching adhesive systems were applied, chemical irrigants had an adverse effect on the bond strength in DEJ and different regions of the dentin. This result supports our first null hypothesis since no difference was found in the microshear bond strength between the self-etching and etch & rinse adhesives when bonded to the DEJ and different dentin regions that were irrigated with various endodontic irrigants. The second null hypothesis, that the bond strength of adhesive systems is not affected by the use of different irrigation solutions for DEJ and that different dentin regions is rejected because a drastic reduction was observed in bond strength of adhesive systems compared with the control group.

Previously, NaOCl treatment had been shown to accelerate the polymerization of bonding resin [17]. It was demonstrated that NaOCl induces the oxidation of some components in the dentin matrix, forming protein radicals that will compete with the increasing vinyl-free radicals created by the light-activation of resin adhesives, leading to premature chain termination and application of the shear force. A shear force of 0.5 mm/min was applied until failure occurred. The data in MPa units were subjected to two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test at a significance level of 0.05. Scanning electron microscope analysis was performed on all tested samples in groups.

**Results**

Mean, standard deviation, and statistical data obtained by irrigation solutions and adhesive system applications are shown in Table 2 according to different regions (coronal dentin, pulp dentin chamber, floor of the pulp and dentin-enamel junction). The bond strengths of the distilled water (control) were found to be statistically higher than the irrigation solutions (Chlorhexidine, NaOCl and EDTA/NaOCl) on DEJ and three different dentin groups (coronal dentin, pulp dentin and floor of pulp dentin) based on the adhesive system application modes used (P < 0.05). No statistically significant differences were found (P > 0.05) in the bond strength between etch-and-rinse mode and self-etch mode of adhesive system in four regions using different irrigation solutions.

When the adhesive systems were applied, the floor of the pulp groups showed significantly lower mean bond strength compared to other regions and experimental groups (P < 0.05), but no statistically significant differences in bond strength values were found among DEJ, coronal dentin, and pulpal dentin groups (P > 0.05).

The scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis images of the fractures are shown in Figure 2.

**Table 2:** Regional microshear bond strengths of dentin bonding system after treatment with different irrigation solutions (MPa).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation Solutions</th>
<th>Distilled water</th>
<th>NaOCl</th>
<th>EDTA/NaOCl</th>
<th>Chlorhexidine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enamel-dentin junction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Bond Universal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-etch</td>
<td>28.6 (6.86)a</td>
<td>19.2 (2.77)b</td>
<td>17.0 (2.41)c</td>
<td>19.6 (3.85)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etch &amp; rinse</td>
<td>28.2 (6.80)a</td>
<td>18.9 (4.40)b</td>
<td>20.1 (4.66)c</td>
<td>21.7 (2.64)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F = 9.600</td>
<td>P = 0.000, P &lt; 0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coronal dentin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Bond Universal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-etch</td>
<td>26.7 (3.37)a</td>
<td>21.7 (2.65)b</td>
<td>20.8 (4.59)c</td>
<td>21.0 (2.21)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etch &amp; rinse</td>
<td>26.0 (3.37)a</td>
<td>18.9 (2.21)b</td>
<td>20.1 (3.90)c</td>
<td>21.2 (2.88)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F = 5.641</td>
<td>P = 0.000, P &lt; 0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulp dentin chamber</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Bond Universal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-etch</td>
<td>26.3 (3.60)a</td>
<td>18.3 (2.85)b</td>
<td>18.1 (3.17)c</td>
<td>17.5 (2.86)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etch &amp; rinse</td>
<td>25.2 (3.06)a</td>
<td>15.1 (4.25)b</td>
<td>14.6 (3.88)c</td>
<td>20.7 (1.08)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F = 18.586</td>
<td>P = 0.000, P &lt; 0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Floor of the pulp</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Bond Universal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-etch</td>
<td>12.0 (1.61)a</td>
<td>9.8 (1.11)b</td>
<td>8.8 (1.21)c</td>
<td>8.6 (1.17)d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etch &amp; rinse</td>
<td>11.8 (1.06)a</td>
<td>8.7 (1.71)b</td>
<td>8.9 (1.62)c</td>
<td>9.8 (0.58)c,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F = 18.084</td>
<td>P = 0.000, P &lt; 0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each row, means with the same lower case superscript letters indicate a nonsignificant difference between groups (p > 0.05).
The use of a reliable dentin bonding system allows a root-filled tooth to be restored by replacing only the lost tooth structure, because it should be capable of strengthening the residual tooth structure. For adhesive restoration of a root-filled molar, all regions of the coronal and pulp chamber (i.e. the DEJ, floor, and walls) should be used to gain more surface area for bonding.

The results of this in vitro study showed that the bonding of the two adhesive systems was almost the same in the DEJ region and in different regions of the dentin, with no statistically significant difference. The DEJ had a fracture strength between those of enamel and dentin [23]. While a complex, scalloped pattern between enamel and dentin is a perceptible property of the DEJ, bonding to the DEJ region depends on physical characteristics of dentin and enamel bonding [24].

Differences in bonding to dentin have been shown to be a result of morphological and structural variations in dentin (Figure 2) [25,26]. Similar results were demonstrated in this study. Compared to coronal dentin, the ultrastructure of the dentin at the floor of the pulp chamber seems similar to secondary or reparative dentin and contains irregular, fewer, and narrower tubules, as reported previously [25,26]. Tubular irregularities may have occurred because of mineral accumulations, organic components of odontoblast processes, or peritubular deposits. Thus, morphological variations affect the infiltration of adhesive resin monomers into the dentin tubules, leading to weaker bonding in this region [25]. Also, the pulpal floor dentin is rich in incomplete polymerization [18]. Due to its small size, the hypochlorite anion can readily infiltrate mineralized collagen and destroy the collagen fibrils, producing a mineral-rich, collagen sparse ghost mineral matrix with reduced flexural strength [19]. Moreover, decreases in calcium and phosphorus levels and changes in mechanical properties of dentin, such as the elastic modulus, flexural strength, and microhardness were reported after irrigation of root canals with 5% NaOCl, which can also contribute to a reduction in the micromechanical interaction between adhesive resins and NaOCl-treated dentin [20].

The present results showed that successive use of EDTA and NaOCl led to reduced adhesion of the bonding adhesive systems. EDTA, which demineralizes the inorganic component, and the opposite action of NaOCl, which dissolves the organic component, probably resulted in demineralization of the dentinal surface and enlargement of the orifices of the dentinal tubules. Repeated application of EDTA and NaOCl solutions to the dentin, as done in the combined regimen, obviously caused progressive dissolution of both the organic and inorganic components of pretubular and intertubular dentin, which resulted in the loss of the globular surface configuration of the calcosphere and enlargement of the tubular orifices [21]. Significantly lower microshear bond strength was shown when chlorhexidine gluconate was used and it was suggested that remnants of chlorhexidine gluconate could interact with the calcium and phosphate available in the dentin and, therefore, inhibit the bonding ability of the bonding agent [22].

The use of a reliable dentin bonding system allows a root-filled tooth to be restored by replacing only the lost tooth structure, because it should be capable of strengthening the residual tooth structure. For adhesive restoration of a root-filled molar, all regions of the coronal and pulp chamber (i.e. the DEJ, floor, and walls) should be used to gain more surface area for bonding.

The results of this in vitro study showed that the bonding of the two adhesive systems was almost the same in the DEJ region and in different regions of the dentin, with no statistically significant difference. The DEJ had a fracture strength between those of enamel and dentin [23]. While a complex, scalloped pattern between enamel and dentin is a perceptible property of the DEJ, bonding to the DEJ region depends on physical characteristics of dentin and enamel bonding [24].

Differences in bonding to dentin have been shown to be a result of morphological and structural variations in dentin (Figure 2) [25,26]. Similar results were demonstrated in this study. Compared to coronal dentin, the ultrastructure of the dentin at the floor of the pulp chamber seems similar to secondary or reparative dentin and contains irregular, fewer, and narrower tubules, as reported previously [25,26]. Tubular irregularities may have occurred because of mineral accumulations, organic components of odontoblast processes, or peritubular deposits. Thus, morphological variations affect the infiltration of adhesive resin monomers into the dentin tubules, leading to weaker bonding in this region [25]. Also, the pulpal floor dentin is rich in organ-
In the present study, the specimens were prepared for microshear testing which allows for testing of small areas. This test method provides similar results with the micro-tensile test when multiple specimens obtained from the same tooth are examined but does not involve sectioning procedures that may cause premature micro-cracking within the specimens [30].

In this study, no aging process was performed and a single adhesive system and a single restorative material were used. Within the limitations of this study, we found that the chemical irrigants reduced the bond strength of the etch & rinse and self-etching adhesive systems to the DEJ and different regional dentin. There was no difference in the bond strength between the etch & rinse and self-etching adhesives in the DEJ and different dentin regions following irrigation with different solutions, whereas the bond strength of the pulpal floor dentin was lower in both adhesive systems.

**Conclusion**

Although the irrigation solutions used in this study reduced the bond strength of the etch & rinse and self-etching mode of adhesive systems to DEJ and different dentin regions, they showed comparable bond strength. Moreover, floor of the pulp region exhibited the lowest bond strength among all regions following application of irrigation solutions.
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